Blunted arterial baroreflex causes “pathological” heart rate turbulence
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Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is the leading cause of cardiovascular mortality in developed countries (9). There are a number of diagnostic parameters such as low left ventricular (VE) ejection fraction (8), low heart rate variability (3), abnormal signal-averaged electrocardiograms (14) and t wave alternans (12), which, if present, indicate an increased risk for SCD.

Decreased baroreflex function has been observed in patients at risk for SCD in a number of studies (1, 4). Reasons for this regulatory malfunction may be found in an altered baroreceptor sensitivity, modified central processing, or a decreased response of the heart. The latter is consistent with the finding that decreased heart rate variability (HRV) is correlated with higher mortality (16). Recently, two post-myocardial-infarction risk predictors were introduced that are stronger than all other presently available indicators: turbulence onset (TO) and turbulence slope (TS) (15). These parameters characterize the behavior of instantaneous heart rate after a VE premature beat (VPB).

A VPB with a compensatory pause leads to a drop in arterial blood pressure. Therefore, baroreflex action is essential in the compensation of blood pressure. It has been claimed (15), however, that the absence of heart rate turbulence after VPB is independent of other known risk factors. The question arises as to whether this is true, i.e., if there may be a link between the new stratifiers TS and TO and the baroreflex function.

A standard therapy scheme after myocardial infarction (13) includes β1-adrenoceptor blockade. The effect of this medication on the new parameters is not known.

METHODS

Modeling the physiological situation. Heart rate rhythmicity is maintained by excitatory signals within the heart. The heartbeat is initiated in the sinoatrial (SA) node. The excitation propagates through the conducting system across the atrioventricular (AV) node, reaching finally the Purkinje fibers in the two ventricles. If, for any reason (e.g., sick sinus syndrome or SA block), the SA node fails to generate the primary excitation, the AV node substitutes the excitation as a secondary pacemaker. Moreover, there is an additional “lifesaver.” If there is a complete AV block or if the AV node fails to generate the secondary rhythm, a tertiary center in the VE conducting tissue takes over pacing. This physiological situation was implemented in a model using three oscillators, each having its own natural frequency corresponding to the physiological correlate.

Each oscillator transits through three states, slow depolarization up to a threshold, fast depolarization, and repolarization. The three oscillators are coupled with a time delay for conductance. Thus, when one oscillator reaches fast depolarization, it pulls the neighboring oscillator to fast depolarization, provided this nearby oscillator is in the state of slow depolarization. The SA node is the primary pacemaker because it has the highest pacemaker frequency.

The second part of the model simulates the pressure in the aorta by means of a windkessel (7, 11, 17). This hemodynamic component reflects cardiac output volume being pumped into a compliant system, the aorta. The pressure within this vessel is proportional to its volume. Furthermore, aortic outflow is proportional to the pressure and is controlled by peripheral resistance.

The third modeling element describes arterial baroreflex function (2, 10). The baroreceptors are located in large-
conduct arteries. When the wall of these vessels is distended, baroreceptor discharge increases. Cardioinhibitory centers in the central nervous system sense baroreceptor output, thus enhancing parasympathetic tone and inhibiting sympathetic output to the heart. As a result, heart rate and blood pressure decrease. This reflex-loop is sluggish, hence, time delays were added for the parasympathetic and the sympathetic responses (6). In the model, autonomic activation tapers off according to a first-order kinetic process, with a shorter half time for the parasympathetic effects compared with the half time of the sympathetic decay. Modulation of heart rate by the autonomic system in this model occurs by influencing the transition time for depolarization of the sinus node.

Experiments. Two experiments were performed. First, baroreceptor sensitivity (BRS) was attenuated in steps. The risk parameters TO and TS were calculated for each step by inducing a spontaneous VE beat in the model. The second experiment takes into account the attenuation of nerve traffic to the heart, as achieved by $\beta_1$-adrenoceptor blockade, one of the standard therapy schemes (13) after myocardial infarction.

TO and TS are the two stratifiers described in the recent work of Schmidt and colleagues (15). They defined turbulence onset as the difference between the mean of the first two sinus R-R intervals after a VPB and the mean of the last two sinus R-R intervals before the VPB divided by the mean of the latter. Turbulence slope was determined within the first 20 sinus-rhythm intervals after a VPB. To this end, the maximum positive slope of a linear regression line was assessed over any sequence of five subsequent sinus rhythm R-R intervals.

RESULTS

In the model, reduction of the BRS induced patterns of R-R intervals after VPB with a striking similarity to patterns found in patients at high risk for sudden cardiac death (Fig. 1, C and E). Conversely, intact BRS yielded patterns corresponding to low-risk patients (Fig. 1, D and F).

The relationship of the turbulence parameters vs. BRS is shown in Fig. 2. Reduced BRS, as often found in high-risk patients after myocardial infarction, markedly affected TS and TO. These results are consistent with the finding that an attenuated baroreceptor sensitivity increases the risk for SCD (4).

In the second experiment, a reduced cardiac sympathetic response (e.g., as under treatment with $\beta_1$-adrenoceptor antagonists) dramatically changed the dependency of the risk marker TS on BRS. This finding may explain why the combination of TS and TO improve the estimation of the relative risk in the study conducted by Schmidt et al. (15). Variables and constants may be found in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

The mathematical model presented in this study describes the evolution of heart rate after a VPB. For the excitation process, the hemodynamic components, as well as for the feedback part, simple assumptions were made. The model is capable of producing normal and pathological patterns after VPB as typically seen in patients (Fig. 1) (15). The model predicts that the parameter TO, which refers to the early acceleration of heart rate, is not affected as much by $\beta_1$-adrenoceptor antagonists as the parameter TS. This is mainly due to the fact that the nonaffected parasympathetic modulation of heart rate is rapid compared with the “sluggish” sympathetic effect.

Of course, models in general may only partially describe all components involved. For instance, the neural control of the heart is extremely complex, and the contribution of the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system is not a simple algebraic sum (5). Our model recognizes this fact, i.e., that each contribution of neural activity may not be infinitely large. This is due to the use of a tanh transfer function, introducing a nonlinear component at this stage.

The simulation results suggest a strong link between the new stratifiers TS and TO and the arterial baroreflex (Fig. 2). Therefore, the recently established risk stratifiers TO and TS are not independent of the al
ready known risk factor “lowered baroreceptor sensitivity” (4).

One common approach to study a system is to perturb it by an impulse and then to analyze the impulse response. A VPB is a naturally occurring experiment of this kind. Provided the system is healthy, it shows a normal characteristic pattern of heart rate turbulence.

**Perspectives**

New strategies for estimating cardiovascular risk are of mutual importance. Here, we have presented a mathematical model that may be used to gain further insight into the importance of TS and TO. The complex dynamical properties of blood pressure and heart rate are not reliably anticipated visually, i.e., without numerical simulation. Our model suggests that TS and TO may not be novel independent risk stratifiers. They rather seem to reflect baroreceptor sensitivity. It would be appropriate to validate the model prediction with the data of the autonomic tone and reflexes after myocardial infarction trial (4), in which the turbulence parameters TO and TS can readily be calculated from the holter tapes that were recorded during the study.

**APPENDIX**

**Description of the Model Properties**

*Generation of rhythms.* The rhythmicity-generating component of the model consists of three oscillators analogous to the SA node, the AV node, and (VE) tissue. Each oscillator repeatedly passes through three states (0, 1, 2), corresponding to slow depolarization, depolarization, and repolarization. The $c_X$ determines the natural frequency of the oscillator $X$. The SA node is modulated by autonomic tone, which resulting component is cmod. A state transition for state $X$ to the following state occurs if potential (U) has reached a threshold ($T_n$).

![Fig. 2. Results for the risk parameters. Turbulence onset (A) and turbulence slope (B), as a function of the baroreceptor sensitivity of the heart (bold lines). The thin lines correspond to $\beta_1$-adrenoceptor blockade. The horizontal lines (dashed) indicate the cut-off values below which (A) and above which (B) “normal” values are expected.](http://ajpregu.physiology.org/)

![Table 1. Variables and constants](http://ajpregu.physiology.org/)
Oscillator in SA node
\[
\frac{dU_{SA}}{dt} = \begin{cases} 
 c_{SA} + \text{cm} & \text{if } state_{SA} = 0 \\
 c_{SA} + \text{cm} & \text{if } state_{SA} = 1 \\
 c_{SA} & \text{if } state_{SA} = 2 
\end{cases}
\]

Oscillator AV node
\[
\frac{dU_{AV}}{dt} = c_{AV} \text{ for } state_{AV} = i \quad i \in \{0, 1, 2\}
\]

Oscillator in the ventricle
\[
\frac{dU_{VE}}{dt} = c_{VE} \text{ for } state_{VE} = i \quad i \in \{0, 1, 2\}
\]

Excitation in oscillator \(X\), defined as \(state_X = 1\), can reach to neighboring oscillators \(SA \leftarrow AV\) and \(AV \leftarrow VE\) in either direction with a delay of \(\tau\). This coupling was achieved by setting the state of oscillators \(X, state_X\), to the value of 1 if this oscillator is in \(state_X = 0\) (slow depolarization) and there was a transition from 0 to 1 at \(time t - \tau\) in the neighboring oscillator \([de- and repolarization constants \(c_i\)]\).

Hemodynamic part. The implementation of the hemodynamic component included a windkessel (11). When the ventricular oscillator \(VE\) reaches the depolarization state, the volume is pumped into the aorta. This is accomplished in the model by setting \(f_{EjStart} = 0\). This incorporates the feed-forward from heart rate to blood pressure. The outflux is proportional to aortic pressure and is controlled by peripheral resistance. The compliance of the aorta determines the relationship between pressure and volume.

Influx
\[
\frac{dln}{dt} = \frac{E_jVolume \times \pi}{2 \times t_{EjTime}} \sin \left[\pi(t - t_{EjStart})/t_{EjTime}\right]
\]
for \((t - t_{EjStart}) < t_{EjTime}\)

Outflux
\[
\frac{dOut}{dt} = P_{Aorta/res_press}\n\]
Pressure
\[
P_{Aorta} = V_{Aorta/compl_{Aorta}}\n\]
Volume
\[
\frac{dVolume_{Aorta}}{dt} = \frac{dln}{dt} - \frac{dOut}{dt}\n\]

(For variables and constants, see Table 1.)

Baroreceptor feedback. Baroreceptors located in the conduit arteries “measure” the pressure; their discharge is pressure dependent. When pressure increases, parasympathetic tone \((Ach)\) is enhanced and sympathetic tone \((Sym)\) is diminished. There is a time delay for this feedback, \(\tau_{Ach}\) and \(\tau_{Sym}\), for the parasympathetic and sympathetic response, respectively (6). Parasympathetic tone decelerates, and sympathetic activity accelerates the frequency of the SA node. Autonomic activity tapers off according to a first-order process determined by \(g_{sym}\). The sensitivity of the heart to this baroreceptor feedback loop is characterized by BRS. The net effect of the autonomic tone on the SA node is cm. Adrenergic receptor blockade was simulated by decreasing the sympathetic influence. This was achieved reducing block from 1 to 0.5.

Sympathetic tone
\[
\frac{dSym}{dt} = K_{sym}[P_{Const} - P_{Aorta}(t - \tau_{sym})] - Sym \times deg_{sym}\n\]
Parasympathetic tone
\[
\frac{dAch}{dt} = K_{Ach}[P_{Aorta}(t - \tau_{Ach})] - Ach \times deg_{Ach}\n\]
Net effect
\[
cmod = BRS[f_{Ach}\tanh(o_{Ach} - Ach) + \text{block} \times f_{sym}\tanh(Sym - o_{sym})]
\]

(For variables and constants, see Table 1.)

Induction of VPB. After an equilibrium phase of 33 normal beats, VPB were induced in the ventricle by setting \(state_{VE}\) to 1 at 0.5 s after the last start of excitation in the ventricle. The ejection volume resulting from VPB was assumed to be \(1/4\) of normal.
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