Differential body weight and feeding responses to high-fat diets in rats and mice lacking cholecystokinin 1 receptors
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Bi S, Chen J, Behles RR, Hyun J, Kopin AS, Moran TH. Differential body weight and feeding responses to high-fat diets in rats and mice lacking cholecystokinin 1 receptors. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 293: R55–R63, 2007. First published April 4, 2007; doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00002.2007.—Prior data demonstrated differential roles for cholecystokinin (CCK)1 receptors in maintaining energy balance in rats and mice. CCK1 receptor deficiency results in hyperphagia and obesity of Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fatty (OLETF) rats but not in mice. To ascertain the role of CCK1 receptors in high-fat-diet (HFD)-induced obesity, we compared alterations in food intake, body weight, fat mass, plasma glucose, and leptin levels, and patterns of hypothalamic gene expression in OLETF rats and mice lacking CCK1 receptors in response to a 10-wk exposure to HFD. Compared with Long-Evans Tokushima Otsuka (LETO) control rats, OLETF rats on HFD had sustained overconsumption over the 10-wk period. High fat feeding resulted in greater increases in body weight and plasma leptin levels in OLETF than in LETO rats. In situ hybridization determinations revealed that, while HFD reduced neuropeptide Y (NPY) mRNA expression in both the arcuate nucleus (Arc) and the dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH) of LETO rats, HFD resulted in decreased NPY expression in the Arc but not in the DMH of OLETF rats. In contrast to these results in OLETF rats, HFD increased food intake and induced obesity to an equal degree in both wild-type and CCK1 receptor−/− mice. NPY gene expression was decreased in the Arc in response to HFD, but was not detectable in the DMH in both wild-type and CCK1 receptor−/− mice. Together, these data provide further evidence for differential roles of CCK1 receptors in the controls of food intake and body weight in rats and mice.
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PERIPHERAL CHOLECYSTOKININ (CCK) acts as a satiety signal to limit meal size. Intraperitoneal injection of CCK decreases food intake and produces the earlier appearance of a behavioral satiety sequence (2). The actions of peripheral CCK in satiety are mediated through interactions with CCK1 receptors (previously named as CCK-A receptors). CCK1 receptor antagonists dose dependently and competitively block the feeding inhibitory effect of exogenous CCK, whereas administration of a dose range of a CCK-B receptor antagonist is ineffective (11, 21, 22, 27). Consistent with exogenous CCK’s actions, endogenous CCK is released from the duodenum and jejunum in response to the intraluminal presence of nutrient-digestive products. Intraintestinal nutrient infusions reduce subsequent food intake, and such suppression is attenuated or blocked by CCK1 receptor antagonists (33). Furthermore, administration of CCK1 receptor antagonists increase food intake in a variety of test situations (22, 26).

CCK1 receptor disruption results in a deficit in CCK satiety actions in both rats and mice. The Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fatty (OLETF) rat is an animal model with a congenital CCK1 receptor deficiency, resulting from a 6847-base pair deletion spanning the promoter region and the first and second exons of the CCK1 receptor gene (31). OLETF rats develop disordered feeding behavior, characterized by increases in meal size, and they do not have a feeding inhibitory response to peripheral CCK administration (23). Similar to OLETF rats, CCK1 receptor−/− mice have no feeding response to peripheral exogenous CCK (19) and consume larger meals (7). Although both rats and mice lacking CCK1 receptors have deficits in peripheral CCK satiety, important aspects of their phenotypes differ. CCK1 receptor disruption results in hyperphagia and obesity in OLETF rats, but does not alter overall daily food intake and body weight of CCK1 receptor−/− mice. We have suggested that the hyperphagia and obesity in OLETF rats is the outcome of both a deficit in peripheral CCK satiety, leading to increased meal size (23), and a deficit in central CCK signaling, resulting in dysregulation of neuropeptide Y (NPY) gene expression in the dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH) and an overall dysfunction in energy balance control (4). In support of the view that CCK regulates DMH NPY signaling to control food intake, DMH NPY neurons contain CCK1 receptors, and parenchymal picomole administration of CCK into the DMH inhibits food intake and downregulates NPY gene expression in the DMH in intact rats (7). In contrast, intact mice do not have CCK1 receptors in the DMH and NPY gene expression is not altered in the DMH of CCK1 receptor−/− mice (7). Thus, mice lacking CCK1 receptors do not have a deficit in the regulation of NPY gene expression in the DMH and appear to be able to compensate for the absence of CCK satiety signaling. They do not become hyperphagic and obese.

We have demonstrated that OLETF rats have deficits in their ability to alter their food intake to increases in dietary fat content (28), findings that are consistent with a feedback role of CCK in mediating the effects of dietary fats. Such deficits result in insensitivity to lipid preloads and increased rates of body weight gain when exposed to a high-fat diet. It is unclear from these experiments whether such deficits result from the absence of peripheral CCK satiety signaling, an absence of
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central CCK signaling, or both. Since CCK1 receptor−/− mice have a similar absence of peripheral CCK satiety signaling but appear to have intact controls of overall food intake and body weight, a comparison of OLETF rats and CCK1 receptor−/− mice in response to a high-fat diet would address this issue. In the present experiments, we sought to determine whether CCK1 receptor deficiency has an impact on high-fat-diet-induced obesity of rats and mice. We compared alterations in food intake, body weight, fat mass, plasma glucose, and leptin levels, and patterns of hypothalamic gene expression for NPY, proopiomelanocortin (POMC) and corticotrophin-releasing factor (CRF) in OLETF rats and CCK1 receptor−/− mice with access to high-fat diets for 10 wk. Overall, the present experiments demonstrate differential feeding and body weight responses to high-fat diets in rats and mice lacking CCK1 receptors.

METHODS

Animals and high-fat diets. Male OLETF and age-matched male LETO rats were obtained as a generous gift of the Tokushima Research Institute, Otsuka Pharmaceutical, Tokushima, Japan. Animals were 5 wk old when they arrived in our laboratory. On arrival there were no significant differences in body weight between two strains weighing 101–135 g in OLETF rats and 99–118 g in LETO rats (P > 0.05). All animals were individually housed in hanging wire mesh cages and maintained on a 12:12-h light-dark cycle (lights on at 6:00 AM) in a temperature-controlled colony room (22–23°C) and, for the initial 2 wk in the laboratory, were maintained with ad libitum access to standard chow diet. A strain of CCK1 receptor−/− mice was generated on 129/SvEv mice with targeted disruption of the CCK1 receptor gene as previously described (19). CCK1 receptor−/− male mice and their wild-type male littermates were individually housed and maintained on a 12:12-h light-dark cycle (lights on at 6:00 AM). All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Johns Hopkins University or the Tufts-New England Medical Center Animal Research Committee.

In the first experiment, at 7 wk of age 20 OLETF and 14 age-matched LETO rats were divided into six groups. One group of six OLETF rats and one group of four LETO rats continued to have ad libitum access to standard chow diet (low-fat/low-caloric diet: 15.8% fat, 65.6% carbohydrate, and 18.6% protein in %kcal, 3.37 kcal/g; Purina Rodent Chow, cat. no. 5007) and served as regular chow diet controls (OLETF Chow and LETO Chow). Two other groups of seven OLETF rats and five LETO rats were switched to ad libitum access to a medium-fat/medium-caloric diet (45% fat, 35% carbohydrate, and 20% protein in %kcal, 4.7 kcal/g; cat. no. D12451; Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ) and served as the medium-fat diet groups (OLETF MF and LETO MF). The last groups of seven OLETF rats and five LETO rats were switched to ad libitum access to a high-fat/high-caloric diet (60% fat, 20% carbohydrate, and 20% protein in %kcal, 5.2 kcal/g, Research Diets, cat. no. D12492) and served as high-fat diet groups (OLETF HF and LETO HF). Dietary regimens were maintained for a 10-wk period.

Body weights were measured daily, and food intake was recorded weekly. All animals had free access to tap water. At the end of 10 wk, all mice were killed between 9:00 AM and 11:00 AM. As in the rats, the left side of the epidydimal and inguinal subcutaneous white adipose tissue, as well as interscapular brown adipose tissue, were harvested and weighed. Trunk blood was taken for evaluation of plasma levels of glucose and leptin. Brains were removed and saved for subsequent analyses of hypothalamic gene expression.

In the second experiment, 9-wk-old CCK1 receptor−/− mice (n = 15) and wild-type litter mates (n = 13) were assigned to four groups: seven wild-type and seven CCK1 receptor−/− mice with ad libitum access to standard chow diet served as regular diet controls (WT Chow and KO Chow) The other six wild-type and 8 CCK1 receptor−/− mice had ad libitum access to high fat/high caloric diet and served as high-fat diet groups (WT HF and KO HF). Both regular chow and high-fat diets were the same as those used in rats. Dietary regimens were maintained for a 10-wk period.

Body weights were measured daily and food intake was recorded weekly. All animals had free access to tap water. At the end of 10 wk, all mice were killed between 9:00 AM and 11:00 AM. As in the rats, the left side of the epidydimal and inguinal subcutaneous white adipose tissue, as well as interscapular brown adipose tissue, were harvested and weighed. Trunk blood was taken for evaluation of plasma levels of glucose and leptin. Brains were removed and saved for subsequent analyses of hypothalamic gene expression.

Cryosections and riboprobes. Coronal sections of 14 μm were cut via a cryostat, mounted on Superfrost/Plus slides (Fisher Scientific), fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and stored at −70°C for later in situ hybridization determination. In rats, sections for NPY and proopiomelanocortin (POMC) mRNA determinations were taken at the level of the arcuate nucleus (Arc), 3.1–3.5 mm caudal to bregma and for CRF at the level of the paraventricular nucleus (PVN), 1.7–2.0 mm caudal to bregma (25). In mice, sections for NPY and POMC mRNA determinations were taken at the level of the Arc, 1.7–2.0 mm caudal to bregma and for CRF at the level of the PVN, 0.7–1.0 mm caudal to bregma (24).

As previously described (7), 35S-labeled antisense riboprobes of NPY, POMC, or CRF were transcribed from rat NPY precursor cDNA, mouse full-length POMC cDNA, or rat CRF precursor cDNA, respectively, by using in vitro transcription systems (Promega, Madison, WI), and purified by Quick Spin RNA Columns (Roche, Indianapolis, IN).

In situ hybridization. Four to six sections (in 1 sections for rats or 1 in 5 sections for mice) throughout the brain regions of interest were selected, anatomically matched among animals, and used for each in situ hybridization determination. Briefly, frozen tissue sections were allowed to warm to room temperature, treated with acetic anhydride, and incubated in hybridization buffer containing 50% formamide, 0.3 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris·Cl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 1× Denhardt’s solution (Eppendorf), 10% dextran sulfate, 10 mM DTT, 500 μg/ml yeast tRNA, and 106 cpm/ml of [35S]UTP at 55°C overnight. After hybridization, sections were washed three times with 2× SSC, treated with 20 μg/ml RNase A (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at 37°C for 30 min, and then rinsed in 2× SSC twice at 55°C and washed twice in 0.1× SSC at 55°C for 15 min. Slides were dehydrated in an ascending series of ethanol, air-dried, and exposed with BMR-2 film (Kodak) for 1–3 days (7).

Quantification of in situ hybridization. Quantitative analysis of in situ hybridization signals was done with National Institutes of Health Scion image software. Autoradiographic images were first scanned by Epson professional scanner and saved in a computer for subsequent analyses with Scion image program using autoradiographic 14C micro scales (Amersham) as a standard. Data for each animal was mean of the product of hybridization area × density (background density was subtracted) obtained from four to six sections. Data from each group were normalized to LETO Chow rats or WT Chow mice as 100%. All data are presented as means ± SE.

For statistical analysis, data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA for changes in body weight, food intake, fat pad weight, plasma glucose and leptin concentration, and levels of hypothalamic gene expression across the six rat or four mouse experimental groups. Each ANOVA was followed by pairwise multiple Fisher least significant difference (LSD) comparison. P < 0.05 was interpreted as a significant difference.
RESULTS

Effects of high-fat diets on body weight and food intake. As shown in Fig. 1A, high-fat diets increased body weight gain in both OLETF and LETO rats. Overall, ANOVA demonstrated significant effects of strain \(F(1,28) = 160.285, P < 0.001\) and high-fat diets \(F(2,28) = 18.817, P < 0.001\), as well as a significant interaction between strain and diets across the 10-wk period of access to high-fat diets \(F(20,380) = 1.749, P = 0.0245\), indicating that high-fat diets resulted in a greater increase in body weight in OLETF rats, with 13.3% (\(P = 0.013\)) and 16.2% increases in body weight \((P = 0.001)\) relative to LETO Chow controls, respectively. The changes in body weight between the LETO MF and HF rats gained more body weight than LETO Chow animals, with 13.3% \((P = 0.001)\) over the 10-wk period. ANOVA demonstrated significant effects of strain \([F(1,28) = 258.666, P < 0.001]\) and diet \([F(2,28) = 38.034, P < 0.001]\), as well as a significant interaction between strain and diet \([F(2,28) = 6.265, P < 0.01]\) over the 10-wk period (Table 1). The finding of a strain and diet interaction indicated that high-fat diets had a greater effect on food intake in OLETF rats. Fisher LSD comparisons revealed that OLETF rats initially increased their caloric intake in response to high-fat diets \((P < 0.05)\), but the intake was normalized by the 2nd wk on the MF diet \((P > 0.05)\) and by the 5th wk on the HF diet \((P > 0.05)\) (Fig. 1B). This normalization was then followed by a second period of overconsumption at week 13 on the HF diet \((P < 0.05)\) and at week 15 on the MF diet \((P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 1B). Overall, LETO HF animals ate the greatest amount of food and LETO MF rats had more food intake than LETO Chow rats (Table 1). In contrast to LETO rats, OLETF rats with access to MF and HF diets had sustained overconsumption (Fig. 1B). Over the 10-wk period, OLETF HF rats consumed more calories than OLETF MF and Chow animals (Table 1, \(P < 0.05\), respectively) but intake did not differ between OLETF MF and Chow rats (Table 1, \(P > 0.05\)).

In contrast to the results in OLETF rats, CCK1 receptor \(^{-/-}\) mice had the same body weight as wild-type littermates (Fig. 2A). High fat feeding resulted in increases in body weight in both CCK1 receptor \(^{-/-}\) and wild-type animals. By the end of 10 wk of access to high-fat diet, ANOVA demonstrated a significant effect of diet \([F(1,24) = 19.294, P < 0.001]\), but no significant effect of strain \([F(1,24) = 0.00387, P = 0.951]\) and no interaction between strain and diet \([F(1,24) = 0.00363, P = 0.952]\). Overall, KO and WT mice gained the same amount of body weight on the HF diet (Fig. 2A).

Feeding response to high-fat diet in rats and mice also differed. CCK1 receptor disruption did not affect daily food intake in mice \([F(1,24) = 1.531, P = 0.228]\) (Fig. 2B and Table 2). KO Chow mice consumed the same cumulative amount of food as WT Chow mice over the 10-wk period (Table 2). High fat feeding increased food intake in both CCK1 receptor \(^{-/-}\) and wild-type mice \([F(1,24) = 7.805, P < 0.05]\), but food intake did not differ between KO HF and WT HF mice \((P > 0.05)\). ANOVA did not reveal any interaction between strain and diet \([F(1,24) = 0.0678, P = 0.797]\) over the 10-wk observation period.

Effects of high-fat diets on body fat mass, plasma glucose, and leptin levels. In the rats, there were significant effects of strain on the amount of epididymal fat \([F(1,28) = 67.147, P < 0.001]\), subcutaneous fat \([F(1,28) = 72.349, P < 0.001]\), and brown adipose tissue \([F(1,28) = 97.189, P < 0.001]\) as well as significant effects of diet on epididymal fat mass \([F(2,28) = 21.199, P < 0.001]\), subcutaneous fat mass \([F(2,28) = 12.406, P < 0.001]\), and brown fat mass \([F(2,28) = 3.843, P = 0.034]\). Fisher LSD comparisons demonstrated that both LETO MF and HF rats had significantly increased epididymal fat mass relative to LETO Chow rats, but only LETO HF rats had increased subcutaneous fat mass and there were no significant
differences in brown fat mass among the three LETO groups (Table 1). Compared with LETO Chow rats, OLETF Chow rats had increased fat mass in all three adipose tissues (Table 1). High-fat diets resulted in increases in epididymal, subcutaneous, and brown fat mass in both OLETF MF and HF rats relative to OLETF Chow animals (Table 1). The effects of the MF and HF fat diets did not differ in OLETF rats. Although OLETF rats gained more fat mass in response to high-fat diets,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LETO Chow</th>
<th>Cumulative Intake, kcal</th>
<th>Epididymal Fat, g</th>
<th>Subcutaneous Fat, g</th>
<th>Interscapular Brown Fat, g</th>
<th>Glucose, mg/dl</th>
<th>Plasma Leptin, ng/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4790±77</td>
<td>3.76±0.22</td>
<td>3.45±0.38</td>
<td>0.75±0.04</td>
<td>187±5.9</td>
<td>10.1±1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LETO MF</td>
<td>5196±85abcd</td>
<td>7.81±0.54</td>
<td>6.77±0.76</td>
<td>0.96±0.06</td>
<td>188±12.9</td>
<td>18.0±1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LETO HF</td>
<td>6259±70abcd</td>
<td>8.21±0.33</td>
<td>9.58±0.83</td>
<td>0.94±0.09</td>
<td>224±15.4</td>
<td>25.0±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLETF Chow</td>
<td>7150±214abc</td>
<td>8.67±0.97</td>
<td>11.95±2.88</td>
<td>1.62±0.13</td>
<td>285±30.2</td>
<td>29.1±4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLETF MF</td>
<td>7545±139abc</td>
<td>12.66±0.78</td>
<td>19.97±1.75</td>
<td>2.01±0.19</td>
<td>300±19.5</td>
<td>36.4±2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLETF HF</td>
<td>8490±309abcd</td>
<td>12.60±0.57</td>
<td>22.63±1.56</td>
<td>2.08±0.05</td>
<td>319±20.2</td>
<td>49.1±5.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE; n = 4–7/group. aP < 0.05 compared with Long-Evans Tokushima Otsuka (LETO) Chow values, bP < 0.05 compared with LETO medium-fat (MF) values, cP < 0.05 compared with LETO high-fat (HF) values, dP < 0.05 compared with Otsuka Long-Evans Tokushima Fatty (OLETF) Chow values, and eP < 0.05 compared with OLETF MF values (ANOVA and Fisher least significant difference pairwise multiple comparisons).
increased by high-fat diet \(F(1,24) = 33.691, P < 0.001\). As presented in Table 2, both KO HF and WT HF mice had significantly increased plasma leptin levels, but the levels did not differ between KO HF and WT HF mice. There was no significant interaction between strain and diet \(F(1,24) = 0.0045, P > 0.05\).

**Effects of high-fat diets on hypothalamic NPY, POMC, and CRF gene expression.** In situ hybridization determination revealed that Arc NPY gene expression was significantly affected by strain \(F(1,28) = 4.284, P < 0.05\), and high-fat diet access \(F(1,28) = 3.611, P < 0.05\), but there was no significant interaction between strain and diet \(F(1,28) = 1.524, P > 0.05\). Compared with LETO Chow rats, Arc NPY gene expression was decreased 31% and 32% in LETO MF and HF rats, respectively, and the changes in Arc NPY gene expression did not differ between LETO MF and HF rats (Fig. 3A). Relative to LETO Chow controls, OLETF rats on Chow, MF, and HF diets had a 32%, 36%, and 50% reduction in Arc NPY gene expression, respectively (Fig. 3A, \(P < 0.05\)). OLETF HF rats had further reduced Arc NPY gene expression by 27% compared with OLETF Chow rats (Fig. 3A, \(P < 0.05\)).

Table 2. Effects of high-fat diet on energy intake, fat mass, plasma glucose, and leptin levels in mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative Intake, kcal</th>
<th>Epididymal Fat, g</th>
<th>Subcutaneous Fat, g</th>
<th>Interscapular Brown Fat, g</th>
<th>Plasma Glucose, mg/dl</th>
<th>Plasma Leptin, ng/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT Chow</td>
<td>1014±46</td>
<td>0.49±0.045</td>
<td>0.23±0.036</td>
<td>0.24±0.023</td>
<td>170±8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT HF</td>
<td>1112±56</td>
<td>0.93±0.042</td>
<td>0.47±0.072</td>
<td>0.21±0.022</td>
<td>198±13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO Chow</td>
<td>1071±56</td>
<td>0.41±0.053</td>
<td>0.17±0.042</td>
<td>0.21±0.022</td>
<td>185±6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO HF</td>
<td>1155±45</td>
<td>0.92±0.088</td>
<td>0.37±0.063</td>
<td>0.19±0.013</td>
<td>172±10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE; \(n = 6–8/group\). \(*P < 0.05\) compared with wild-type (WT) Chow values, and \(\#P < 0.05\) compared with knockout (KO) Chow values by ANOVA and Fisher least significant difference pairwise multiple comparisons.

While NPY gene expression was significantly decreased in the DMH of LETO rats in response to the high-fat diet, it was not affected by the high-fat diet in OLETF rats (Fig. 3B). There were no main effects of strain \(F(1,26) = 0.377, P > 0.05\) and diet \(F(2,26) = 2.786, P > 0.05\), but there was a significant strain and diet interaction \(F(2,26) = 3.562, P < 0.05\), indicating differential regulation of DMH NPY gene expression by the high-fat diets. Fisher LSD comparisons revealed that high-fat diet access resulted in a significant reduction in DMH NPY gene expression in LETO MF and HF rats with a 37% and 26% decrease, respectively, relative to LETO Chow rats (\(P < 0.05\), Fig. 3B). DMH NPY gene expression did not differ between LETO MF and HF rats (\(P > 0.05\)). In contrast, there were no differences in DMH NPY gene expression among three groups of OLETF rats (\(P > 0.05\), Fig. 3B).

Figure 3C shows Arc POMC gene expression in LETO and OLETF rats. ANOVA revealed a significant effect of strain \(F(1,28) = 22.234, P < 0.001\), but no significant effects of diet \(F(2,28) = 1.006, P > 0.05\) and no strain by diet interaction \(F(2,28) = 0.341, P > 0.05\). Relative to LETO Chow rats, OLETF Chow, MF, and HF rats had a 36%, 32%,
and 54% increase in Arc POMC gene expression, respectively (P < 0.05), but the degree of elevation did not differ among the three OLETF groups (P > 0.05, Fig. 3C).

As presented in Fig. 3D, in situ hybridization determination did not detect any significant effects of strain [F(1,27) = 3.991, P = 0.056] or diet [F(2,27) = 0.277, P = 0.761] on PVN CRF gene expression. As well, there was not a significant strain by diet interaction in CRF gene expression in the PVN [F(2,27) = 2.381, P = 0.112].

Figure 4 shows the results of Arc NPY, Arc POMC, and PVN CRF gene expression in the KO and WT mice. Consistent with their normal body weight, KO Chow mice had normal NPY gene expression in the Arc [F(1,18) = 2.227, P = 0.153](Fig. 4A). In response to high-fat diet, NPY gene expression was significantly decreased in the Arc by 37% and 31% in wild-type and CCK1 receptor−/− mice respectively [F(1,18) = 7.802, P = 0.012]. There was no significant interaction between strain and diet [F(1,18) = 0.000383, P > 0.05]. In contrast to rats, NPY gene expression was not detected in the DMH of wild-type and CCK1 receptor−/− mice with/without access to a high-fat diet.

Although there was a trend for increased POMC gene expression (35%) in KO Chow mice relative to WT Chow mice, this increase did not reach statistical significance [F(1,21) = 4.188, P > 0.05](Fig. 4B). High-fat diet access also did not affect Arc POMC gene expression [F(1,21) = 2.166, P > 0.05]. Overall, there was no significant interaction between strain and diet [F(1,21) = 1.293, P > 0.05].

Similar to rats, PVN CRF gene expression was not affected by strain [F(1,19) = 2.488, P > 0.05] or diet [F(1,19) = 2.039, P > 0.05] in mice (Fig. 4C), and there was no significant strain by diet interaction [F(1,19) = 0.946, P > 0.05].

**DISCUSSION**

The present results demonstrate that high-fat diet induced increases in daily food intake and obesity in OLETF rats lacking CCK1 receptors. Compared with LETO controls, high-fat diets resulted in greater increases in caloric consumption and body weight in OLETF rats. OLETF rats gained more body fat mass and had higher plasma levels of glucose and leptin. Analysis of hypothalamic gene expression revealed that OLETF rats had alterations in the regulation of DMH NPY gene expression. In response to high-fat diets, Arc NPY gene expression was decreased in both LETO and OLETF rats, whereas DMH NPY gene expression was significantly decreased in LETO rats but not in OLETF rats. In contrast to the results in OLETF rats, CCK1 receptor disruption did not alter body weight and feeding responses to a high-fat diet in mice. High-fat diet induced obesity and increased daily food intake to an equal degree in both wild-type and CCK1 receptor−/− mice. As well, high-fat feeding increased body fat mass and plasma leptin levels to the same degree in both mouse strains. In response to a high-fat diet, NPY gene expression was decreased in the Arc but was not detectable in the DMH in both wild-type and CCK1 receptor−/− mice. Together, these data demonstrate differential body weight and feeding responses to high-fat diets in rats and mice lacking CCK1 receptors. Dysregulation of DMH NPY gene expression in OLETF rats but not in CCK1 receptor−/− mice may account for their differential responses to high-fat diets.

The role of CCK1 receptors in mediating the feeding inhibitory actions of peripheral CCK is well documented (5). Exogenous peripheral CCK administration produces dose-related suppressions of food intake and results in the earlier appearance of a behavioral satiety sequence (30). The feeding inhibitory effect of CCK is blocked by CCK1 receptor antagonists,
but is unaffected by CCK2 receptor antagonists (22). Endogenous peripheral CCK is released from the duodenum and jejunum in response to intestinal nutrients. CCK1 receptor antagonists attenuate or block suppression of food intake produced by intraintestinal nutrient infusions (33). Consistent with such a role of CCK1 receptors, CCK1 receptor deficiency prevents a feeding inhibitory response to peripheral CCK administration and increases meal size in both rats and mice (7, 19, 23). OLETF rats also have a deficit in feeding response to dietary fat and intestinal nutrient preloads. Relative to LETO controls, OLETF rats did not compensate for the increased calories when corn oil was added to their chow diet, and they did not appropriately respond to gastric and duodenal fat preloads (10, 28). To extend these findings, the current data demonstrate a greater impact of high-fat diets on food intake and body weight in OLETF rats. High fat feeding for 10 wk resulted in greater increases in body weight and food intake in OLETF rats. While LETO rats exhibited what appeared to be a transitory compensatory response to high-fat diets during which they temporally normalized their daily food intake, OLETF rats had sustained overconsumption over the 10-wk period. Although CCK1 receptor−/− mice exhibit a similar peripheral CCK satiety deficit to that of OLETF rats (7, 19), they maintain normal body weight and daily food intake and do not have altered body weight and feeding responses to a high-fat diet. CCK1 receptor−/− and wild-type mice with access to a high-fat diet had equal increases in food intake and body weight. Thus, the findings of difference in responses to high-fat diet in rats and mice lacking CCK1 receptors implies that a deficit in the satiety actions of peripheral CCK is not sufficient to explain the lack of compensatory responses to high-fat diet in the OLETF rat.

Differential roles for CCK1 receptors in maintaining energy balance in rats and mice have been suggested (7). We have previously demonstrated that, while pair feeding normalizes the obesity of OLETF rats, pair feeding results in a large increase in DMH NPY gene expression, similar to levels found in young pre obese OLETF rats (4). We have suggested that elevated DMH NPY gene expression may be a direct result of a CCK signaling deficit and serve as a major contributing factor to the hyperphagia and obesity of OLETF rats (5). Consistent with this view, we have demonstrated that DMH NPY neurons contain CCK1 receptors and that local CCK administration lowers DMH NPY mRNA levels and inhibits food intake in intact rats (7). In the current study, we demonstrate that in response to high-fat diet LETO rats had decreased NPY gene expression in the DMH, reflecting a compensatory change for increased energy intake, whereas OLETF rats did not have the same pattern of reduction in NPY gene expression in the DMH as LETO rats. These data suggest that in the absence of DMH CCK signaling, the regulation of DMH NPY gene expression goes awry in OLETF rats. Such a deficit in the regulation of NPY gene expression in the DMH of OLETF rats may cause their greater increases in food intake and body weight in response to a high-fat diet. In contrast, CCK1 receptors do not appear to play a role in the control of DMH NPY gene expression in mice. CCK1 receptor−/− mice do not have elevated DMH NPY gene expression, and there is no evidence that the DMH contains CCK1 receptors in normal mice (7). We have suggested that the absence of hyperphagia and obesity in mice lacking CCK1 receptors is due to their ability to compensate for the absence of peripheral CCK satiety signaling (7). The current data further suggest that the regulation of DMH NPY gene expression differs in rats and mice. Although high-fat feeding downregulates DMH NPY gene expression in LETO rats, NPY mRNA is not detectable in the DMH in either CCK1 receptor−/− or wild-type mice, regardless of diets.

The current findings of no induction of DMH NPY gene expression in diet-induced obese mice are different from the previous results reported by Guan et al. (15). They found that NPY gene expression was elevated in the DMH of diet-induced obese mice, and the elevation gradually decreased to lean control levels when switched to a regular diet. We do not know the reasons for this difference. It could be the result of different diets used in the two experiments. Although both studies used a high-fat diet with 60% of the calories from fat, the fat source differed. The dietary fat used in our current experiments was derived from lard (Research Diets, cat. no. D12492), whereas the diet in Guan et al.’s study (15) used hydrogenated vegetable shortening and coconut oil (Harlan Teklad, cat. no. TD97019). This diet supplies trans-fatty acids (from the shortening) and also shorter chain saturated fatty acids (from the coconut oil). Neither trans-fatty acids nor these shorter chain saturated fatty acids are found in lard. Secondarily, it could simply be due to a strain difference. In Guan et al. (15) experiments, C57BL/6j mice were used in the model of diet-induced obesity, but the CCK1 receptor−/− mice were generated on 129/SvEv mice (19).

Leptin, a hormone produced in the adipose tissue, is an adipsity signal and plays an important role in maintaining energy homeostasis through regulating hypothalamic peptide signaling pathways (1, 12, 29). Although the DMH contains the functional long-form of leptin receptors (14) and intravenous administration of leptin activates neurons in the DMH (13), the regulation of DMH NPY signaling is likely leptin independent. We have previously demonstrated that leptin receptors and NPY are not colocalized in the DMH neurons and that NPY gene expression is differentially regulated in the Arc and the DMH in response to acute food deprivation and chronic food restriction (6). We found that circulating leptin levels were equally reduced by both food deprivation and restriction, but only chronic restriction affected DMH NPY gene expression (6). Williams et al. (32) have reported that, while chronic food restriction resulted in increases in both Arc and DMH NPY levels in lean rats, leptin receptor deficient (cp/cp) rats showed no change in Arc NPY levels but did have increased DMH NPY levels in response to chronic food restriction. Our present findings further support the view that leptin does not appear to regulate NPY gene expression in the DMH. We found that, while high-fat diets increased fat mass (or levels of adiposity) and leptin levels in both LETO and OLETF rats, high-fat diet access resulted in a decrease in NPY gene expression in the DMH in LETO rats, but not in OLETF rats. Together, these data suggest that OLETF rats have a deficit in the regulation of DMH NPY gene expression in response to high-fat diet, but this deficit is independent of leptin or adiposity signaling.

Within the hypothalamus, Arc NPY and POMC signaling play important roles in the control of food intake and body weight, and their mRNA expression is regulated by leptin. Leptin downregulates Arc NPY and upregulates Arc POMC
decreased at and mice lacking CCK1 receptors do not appear to account for data suggest that altered Arc NPY and POMC signaling in rats expression in both genotypes of rats and mice. Together, these data suggest that altered Arc NPY and POMC signaling in rats and mice lacking CCK1 receptors in response to high-fat diets are similar to those of wild-type controls. High fat feeding resulted in decreased Arc NPY gene expression and did not affect Arc POMC gene expression in both genotypes of rats and mice. Together, these findings from OLETF rats have suggested that their CCK1 receptor deficiency does not result in alterations in the regulation of Arc NPY and POMC gene expression (4). We have demonstrated that hyperphagic and obese OLETF rats have decreased Arc NPY and increased Arc POMC gene expression and pair feeding normalizes their elevated body weight and altered Arc NPY and POMC gene expression (4). We have suggested that alterations in Arc NPY and POMC gene expression in OLETF rats are an appropriate response to their hyperphagia and increased body weight. In the current study, we replicate these previous results demonstrating that OLETF rats have decreased Arc NPY and increased Arc POMC gene expression. Moreover, we find that the patterns of Arc NPY and POMC gene expression in rats and mice lacking CCK1 receptors in response to high-fat diets are similar to those of wild-type controls. High fat feeding resulted in decreased Arc NPY gene expression and did not affect Arc POMC gene expression in both genotypes of rats and mice. Together, these findings are consistent with the view that a high-fat diet may impair Arc POMC signaling resulting in diet induced obesity.

In summary, the present results demonstrate differential feeding and body weight responses to a high-fat diet in rats and mice lacking CCK1 receptors. OLETF rats have deficits in their long-term response to a high-fat diet, resulting in an exacerbation of their hyperphagia and obesity. A deficit in DMH CCK actions in the control of DMH NPY gene expression may contribute to this phenotype. In contrast, CCK1 receptor−/− mice that do not have a central deficit in the control of DMH NPY gene expression have normal long-term response to a high-fat diet and regulation of NPY gene expression that resembles wild-type animals.
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