Linoleic acid increases chorda tympani nerve responses to and behavioral preferences for monosodium glutamate by male and female rats
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Stratford JM, Curtis KS, Contreras RJ. Linoleic acid increases chorda tympani nerve responses to and behavioral preferences for monosodium glutamate by male and female rats. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 295: R764–R772, 2008. First published July 16, 2008; doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00916.2007.—Previous studies suggest that the chorda tympani nerve (CT) is important in transmitting fat taste information to the central nervous system. However, the contribution of the CT in this process may depend upon the presence of other taste stimuli and/or differ in males and females. Accordingly, the present study investigated the role of the CT in free fatty acid taste processing by examining electrophysiological activity of the CT in response to the free fatty acid linoleic acid (LA), as well as by measuring behavioral responses to LA-taste mixtures. We recorded whole nerve responses from the CT in response to lingual application of LA with or without monosodium glutamate (MSG) in anesthetized male and female rats. In addition, we examined preferences for MSG + LA taste mixtures in behavioral tests. Although lingual application of LA alone did not produce CT whole nerve responses, coapplication of LA and MSG elicited greater CT responses than did MSG alone. These findings were paralleled by greater preferences for MSG + LA taste mixtures than for MSG alone. In both cases, the effect was particularly pronounced in male rats. Thus LA enhances CT activity and behavioral responses to LA + MSG taste mixtures, although there are sex differences in the effects. These results suggest that CT input is important in mediating behavioral responses to fat taste, but the effects depend upon other taste stimuli and differ in males and females.

Linoleic acid (LA) is transected bilaterally (CTX), rats are unable to discriminate LA from water until the LA concentration is substantially increased chorda tympani nerve responses to and behavioral preferences for monosodium glutamate by male and female rats. Thus LA enhances CT activity and behavioral responses to LA + MSG taste mixtures, although there are sex differences in the effects. These results suggest that CT input is important in mediating behavioral responses to fat taste, but the effects depend upon other taste stimuli and differ in males and females.
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As obesity reaches epidemic proportion in the United States and in other developing countries, efforts are made to reduce, if not eliminate, fats from the diet. However, fats have critical biological functions, from nerve conduction to reproduction. Importantly, fats, and in particular, essential free fatty acids (FFAs), must be obtained from the diet, since they cannot be synthesized by the body. Thus, the detection of FFAs in food is necessary for survival. Conventional wisdom holds that dietary fats are detected by textural or olfactory attributes, a proposal supported by both personal experience and substantial research (e.g., 16, 32, 43, 56); however, rats can discriminate between different kinds of oils in behavioral tests (36) and prefer fat solutions even when texture and olfaction are minimized (19). In fact, increasing evidence suggests that FFAs may be the prominent feature in the detection of ingested fats. Rats’ preferences for fat solutions are greatly reduced by the addition of a lipase inhibitor (31), which prevents the breakdown of ingested fats, and rats demonstrate a robust preference for FFAs (31). More importantly, ingested fats are rapidly (within 1–5 s) broken down into FFAs in the oral cavity by lingual lipase (31), suggesting that FFAs, themselves, have taste qualities. Neither texture nor smell appears to be necessary for FFA discrimination by rats, since FFAs have minimal viscosity (42) and olfactory bulbectomy does not affect the ability to discriminate FFAs at very low concentrations (53).

These results suggest that FFAs activate taste receptor cells (TRCs) located on the tongue, although the mechanism by which this activation occurs remains the subject of ongoing investigations. It has been postulated that TRC activation by FFAs involves an intracellular transduction cascade that is attributable to an increase in intracellular FFAs, rather than FFA binding to membrane receptor proteins. This possibility is supported by the ready entry of FFAs into cells (25); however, an alternative possibility involves the fatty acid transporter/translocase CD36, which is localized to taste buds of the gustatory epithelium (18) and recently was implicated in TRC activation based on genetic and behavioral studies in mice (38).

In contrast to the developing evidence for the detection of FFAs in the oral cavity and the cellular effects of FFAs in TRC responses, little is known about the peripheral neural pathways that transmit fat taste information to the central nervous system (CNS), and thereby mediate behavioral responses. Work from our laboratory (55) and others (42) show that male rats can discriminate low concentrations of the essential FFA linoleic acid (LA) from water; however, when the chorda tympani (CT) is transected bilaterally (CTX), rats are unable to discriminate LA from water until the LA concentration is substantially greater. Interestingly, female rats have a lower discrimination threshold for LA taste than do male rats (55; see also Ref. 46), and their ability to detect LA also is affected by CTX. In fact, CTX shifts the LA discrimination threshold to the same LA concentration in female and male rats, so the magnitude of the shift in LA detection after CTX is greater in females. Taken together, these results suggest that the CT is important in transmitting fat taste information to the CNS in both males and females and that the CT may be more important for fat taste discrimination in females.

Surprisingly, then, our recent study (2) found that neurons in the geniculate ganglion, the location of the cell bodies of CT gustatory sensory neurons, are unresponsive to lingual LA stimulation. It should be noted that those extracellular recordings were exclusively from male rats. Thus, the apparent discrepancy between the effect of CT on LA detection thresholds and the lack of responses by CT neurons to LA stimulation may be explained, in part, by sex differences in gustatory responses. There is an important methodological difference...
METHODS

Subjects

Age-matched adult male and female Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratory) weighing 200–375 g at the beginning of testing were individually housed in a temperature-controlled (72°F) room and maintained on a 12:12-h light-dark cycle with lights on at 0700. Rats were given ad libitum access to Purina rodent chow (no. 5001) and water, except where noted. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Florida State University approved all procedures.

Chemicals

Reagent-grade chemicals were refrigerated and protected from light. Due to its lipophilic nature, LA (99% pure; Sigma) was dissolved in 5 mM ethanol (EtOH). All other chemicals were mixed in deionized water (dH2O) unless otherwise noted.

Experiment 1: CT Whole Nerve Electrophysiological Recordings

Whole nerve electrophysiological recordings were obtained from the CT in urethane-anesthetized (1.5 g/kg body wt) rats using methods from our laboratory, as previously described (2, 3, 8, 39, 40). The trachea was cannulated, and rats were placed in a nontraumatic head holder. Using a mandibular approach, the right CT branch of the facial nerve then was exposed and transected where it enters the tympanic bulla. The perineurium was removed to the point where the lingual nerve joins the CT, and the distal portion of the cut nerve was placed on a tungsten wire electrode. A silver indifferent electrode placed in the muscle near the nerve allowed differential amplification (×10,000) of nerve activity.

The tongue was slightly extended and held in place with a small suture attached to the ventral surface. Taste stimuli (see below) were applied across the tongue at a constant flow rate of 50 µl/s for 10 s. A custom computer program controlled input to a mixing platform, allowing rapid switching and/or mixing while maintaining continuous solution flow. Between stimuli, the tongue was continuously rinsed to minimize transient thermal or tactile responses. Each taste stimulus was followed by a 90-s rinse to ensure that nerve activity returned to stable baseline levels. NaCl (600 mM) was applied for 10 s at the beginning and at the end of the recording protocol, which typically was ~40 min, to evaluate the viability of the nerve. If the response to NaCl at the end of the protocol varied by >15% from the initial NaCl response, the data from the recording were not included in the analysis.

Sensory nerve activity was recorded and stored on video tape for off-line analysis using a GW Instrument 15-s data acquisition board and custom software. Amplified nerve activity was integrated using a root mean square calculation and a 150-ms time constant. Baseline neural activity was recorded during rinses for ≥30 s preceding each stimulus. Average baseline activity (in µV) for the 15-s period immediately before each taste stimulus was used to calculate area under the curve (AUC), expressed as response above baseline, for the integrated response during each stimulus. Each response was then normalized to the average response to a standard stimulus, which was applied at the beginning and end of the recording protocol.

Experiment 1a: Electrophysiological response of the CT to lingual application of LA. Whole nerve recordings were obtained from the CT of male (n = 7) and female (n = 6) rats. LA (11, 22, 44, and 88 µM) was applied in ascending order of concentration across the tongue for 10 s; responses were normalized to a 30 mM quinine hydrochloride (QHCl) standard. Between stimuli, the tongue was rinsed with dH2O (for NaCl and QHCl) or 5 mM EtOH (for LA).

Experiment 1b: Electrophysiological response of the CT to lingual coapplication of MSG and LA. Male (n = 10) and female (n = 7) rats were used to obtain CT whole nerve responses to ascending concentrations of MSG (40, 100, and 300 mM) with and without coapplication of 88 µM LA (MSG + LA). To control for the possibility that EtOH affected CT responses, we also applied MSG mixed in 5 mM EtOH (MSG + EtOH). In all cases, responses were normalized to a 300 mM NH4Cl standard. In experiment 1a, we used QHCl as a standard, and the modest responses evoked by QHCl were sufficient to assess CT activity, particularly given the lack of responses to LA. In this experiment, we opted to use NH4Cl, which produces greater CT responses, to provide better resolution for analyses of the mixed stimulus responses. Between stimuli, the tongue was rinsed with dH2O (for NaCl, NH4Cl, and MSG), EtOH (for MSG + EtOH), or 88 µM LA (for MSG + LA).

To provide more detailed information about the time course of CT responses, we also measured rise time (the time between stimulus onset and peak response), peak response, and response duration (the time from stimulus onset to return to baseline, including the initial 10-s stimulation), each of which was normalized to the corresponding averaged NH4Cl response.

Experiment 2: MSG and LA Taste Preferences

Male (n = 9) and female (n = 7) rats were placed on a water restriction schedule during which they had daily access to dH2O for 10...
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RESULTS

Experiment 1a: Electrophysiological Response of the CT to Lingual Application of LA

As illustrated by the representative raw trace of CT electrophysiological activity (Fig. 1A), the CT was highly responsive to NaCl and, to a lesser degree, to QHCl. The response to NaCl was significantly greater than that to QHCl \( F(1, 11) = 73.06, P < 0.001 \), but responses to NaCl and QHCl did not differ between male and female rats \( F(1, 11) = 0.16, P = 0.70 \). This is particularly important because behavioral studies have reported sex differences in taste responses to quinine (5, 14). Moreover, these responses were comparable at the beginning and end of testing \( F(1, 11) = 4.52, P = 0.07 \), confirming continued viability of the nerve. Consistent with our recent geniculate ganglion study (2), LA did not elicit a detectable CT response at any concentration \( F(3, 33) = 0.01, P = 0.99 \) in either sex \( F(1, 11) = 0.00, P = 0.42 \) (Fig. 1B).

Experiment 1b: Electrophysiological Response of the CT to Lingual Coapplication of MSG and LA

AUC. The CT was responsive to MSG in a concentration-dependent manner \( F(2, 30) = 82.99, P < 0.001 \), and LA enhanced the response to MSG \( F(1, 15) = 7.64, P < 0.05 \) (Fig. 2, A and C). Moreover, this effect was different between male and female rats \( F(1, 15) = 7.60, P < 0.05 \). Post hoc analyses of the interaction between sex, solution, and concentration \( F(2, 30) = 4.60, P < 0.05 \) revealed that responses to both MSG and to MSG + LA increased significantly with each increase in MSG concentration (all \( P < 0.01 \)). However, female rats had significantly greater responses to all concentrations of MSG alone than did males \( P < 0.01 \). Finally, there were sex differences in the increased response to MSG when LA also was applied. In male rats, 88 \( \mu \)M LA enhanced CT responses to MSG at 40 and 100 mM (both \( P < 0.05 \); Fig. 2B), whereas 88 \( \mu \)M LA enhanced responses in female rats only at 100 mM \( P < 0.01 \); Fig. 2D).

The CT was highly responsive to both NaCl and NH\(_4\)Cl (data not shown); however, the response to NaCl was significantly greater than the response to NH\(_4\)Cl \( F(1, 15) = 24.51, P < 0.001 \). There were no differences in the responses to these salts (i.e., NaCl and NH\(_4\)Cl) between male and female rats \( F(1, 15) = 0.30, P = 0.59 \), and these responses did not change during the course of testing \( F(1, 15) = 2.14, P = 0.16 \).

Peak response, rise time, and response duration. To determine whether the observed LA enhancement of responses to MSG reflected an increase in rise time, peak response, or response duration, we analyzed each of these measures independently.

Comparisons of peak responses yielded results very similar to those of the AUC (Fig. 3, A and B): responses were significantly greater in female rats than in males \( F(1, 15) = 6.43, P < 0.05 \), and depended on solution \( F(1, 15) = 11.86, P < 0.01 \), concentration \( F(2, 30) = 87.61, P < 0.001 \), and the interaction between sex, solution, and concentration \( F(2, 30) = 5.10, P < 0.05 \).

The duration of the CT response to MSG (Fig. 3C) was not different between males and females \( F(1, 15) = 0.11, P = 0.74 \), but increased both with increasing MSG con-
centration \[ F(2, 30) = 30.00, P < 0.001 \] and when LA was added \[ F(1, 15) = 47.63, P < 0.001 \]. Moreover, there was a significant interaction between solution and concentration \[ F(2, 30) = 5.48, P < 0.01 \]. Post hoc analyses of this interaction revealed that, at each concentration, the duration of the CT response to MSG was significantly greater when LA was added (all \( P < 0.01 \)).

Rise time (Fig. 3D) was not different between males and females \[ F(1, 15) = 1.31, P = 0.27 \] and was not changed by the addition of LA \[ F(1, 15) = 0.300, P = 0.59 \], but did decrease significantly as MSG concentration increased \[ F(2, 30) = 12.22, P < 0.001 \].

Experiment 2: MSG and LA Taste Preferences

MSG and LA: Three-bottle tests. In these three-bottle tests, LA increased the preference for MSG \[ F(2, 28) = 9.89, P < 0.001 \]. Post hoc analysis of the significant interaction between sex, solution, and concentration \[ F(4, 56) = 4.12, P < 0.001 \] revealed that LA enhanced the preference for 40 mM MSG in male (\( P < 0.05 \)) but not female (\( P = 0.51 \)) rats (Fig. 4, A vs. C). However, LA enhanced the preference for 100 mM MSG by both male and female rats (both \( P < 0.01 \)). Finally, intake of water was greater than that of 300 mM MSG by male and female rats, regardless of whether LA was added (all \( P < 0.01 \); Table 1).

MSG: Three-bottle tests. There were no sex differences in these three-bottle tests (Fig. 4, B and D); however, post hoc analyses of the significant interaction between solution and concentration \[ F(4, 56) = 0.04, P < 0.001 \] revealed that rats preferred 40 mM MSG over water (both MSG bottles, \( P < 0.01 \)) but did not prefer 300 mM MSG over water (both MSG bottles, \( P < 0.01 \)). There were no differences in preference scores at 100 mM MSG.

Intake of test solutions by male and female rats in these 10-min, three-bottle tests are shown in Table 1. Similar to preference scores, intake of the solutions was not different between males and females. However, post hoc analysis of the significant interaction between solution and concentration \[ F(4, 56) = 9.25, P < 0.01 \] revealed that rats consumed more 40 mM MSG than dH2O (\( P < 0.05 \)) and that intake of 100 mM MSG was similar to that of dH2O. However, rats consumed more dH2O than 300 mM MSG (\( P < 0.01 \)).

DISCUSSION

To continue our exploration of fat as a possible taste stimulus, we measured the integrated responses of the CT nerve to a broad range of LA concentrations in male and female rats. Although the CT nerve was unresponsive to...
lingual application of the FFA alone, CT responses to MSG were larger with LA coapplication. Furthermore, this LA enhancement of MSG neural responses was matched by a parallel increase in preference for a LA + MSG mixture over MSG alone. In addition, LA enhancement of behavioral and neural responses was greater in male than in female rats, especially for moderate MSG concentrations. Thus our data show that electrophysiological responses to LA + MSG mixtures have a direct and striking corresponding effect on behavior.

Fig. 2. CT whole nerve activity in response to lingual application of NaCl, QHCl, monosodium glutamate (MSG) + water, MSG + ethanol (EtOH) and MSG + 88 μM LA. A and C: representative traces of CT whole nerve activity (µV) from a male (A) and a female (C) rat. Gray, raw nerve activity; black, integrated, rectified activity. B and D: mean ± SE CT response to MSG and MSG + 88 μM LA by male (B) and female (D) rats. For each concentration of MSG: significantly different from MSG (a) and female significantly different from male (b).

Fig. 3. Mean ± SE peak response (A and B), duration (C), and rise time (D) of CT response to MSG and MSG + 88 μM LA by male and female rats. Significantly different from MSG (a). Female significantly different from male (b).
Consistent with our recent study showing that individual neurons from the geniculate ganglion of male rats were unresponsive to LA (2), we discovered that the whole CT nerve, which innervates the complete taste receptor field on the anterior two-thirds of the tongue, was also unresponsive to lingual application of LA (Fig. 1). These results are surprising to us given our previous findings that CTX impaired LA taste discrimination in male and female rats (55). This may be reconciled by the fact that CTX not only eliminates the afferent nerve supply to the anterior tongue but it also denervates the submaxillary and sublingual glands, thereby decreasing saliva production and secretion (7). This opens the possibility that LA may be unique compared with other stimuli and require a chemical background such as the constitutive components of saliva (27) or the presence of other taste stimuli to activate TRCs. In this regard, saliva is rinsed away with water before stimulus application in our study to ensure a uniform background for all animals during electrophysiological recordings, reducing the likelihood of a CT response to LA. Accordingly, CT nerve responses to MSG were greater in a mixture with LA than without in both male and in female rats (Fig. 2). In fact, this enhancement was apparent in both the integrated 10-s response (AUC) and in the amplitude of the peak response, reflecting an increase in stimulus intensity we well as in the duration of the tonic response (Fig. 2, B and D, and 3, A–C), reflecting a decrease in stimulus adaptation (1). In contrast, rise time, which correlates with the initial transduction of MSG taste (1), was not affected by LA (Fig. 3 D).

Fig. 4. Mean ± SE preference scores of male and female rats for water, MSG, and MSG + LA (A and C) and for water and two bottles of MSG (MSG 1 = MSG bottle 1 and MSG 2 = MSG bottle 2; B and D). For each MSG concentration: significantly greater than MSG and water (a), significantly greater than MSG and MSG + LA (b), significantly greater than water (c), and significantly greater than MSG (d).

CT Responses

The overall pattern of results was similar for male and females rats, although there were also notable differences. First, the amplitude of the CT nerve response to MSG was greater in females than in males across MSG concentrations both alone and in mixture with LA (Fig. 2, A–D), except to LA + 40 mM MSG. Although it remains unknown why the female CT is more responsive to MSG, the difference may be related to reported sex differences in response to salt and sweet (4, 5, 8–10, 35, 51), two components that contribute to umami taste.

Table 1. Mean fluid intake of test solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>40 mM</th>
<th></th>
<th>100 mM</th>
<th></th>
<th>300 mM</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>4.3±1.5</td>
<td>3.4±1.3</td>
<td>4.9±1.5</td>
<td>3.2±0.9</td>
<td>11.2±1.4†</td>
<td>10.3±0.8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSG</td>
<td>2.7±0.4</td>
<td>6.4±1.3</td>
<td>3.8±0.8</td>
<td>1.3±0.4</td>
<td>2.0±0.5</td>
<td>1.9±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSG + LA</td>
<td>11.2±2.0*</td>
<td>4.4±0.7</td>
<td>11.9±1.7*</td>
<td>9.3±1.2*</td>
<td>4.1±1.2</td>
<td>2.0±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>3.9±1.1</td>
<td>2.6±0.7</td>
<td>6.4±1.5</td>
<td>3.2±0.5</td>
<td>9.3±1.4§</td>
<td>8.4±1.2§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSG 1</td>
<td>7.4±1.7‡</td>
<td>5.3±0.8‡</td>
<td>4.8±1.0</td>
<td>5.9±1.6</td>
<td>2.6±0.5</td>
<td>2.1±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSG 2</td>
<td>7.4±1.8‡</td>
<td>4.5±0.6‡</td>
<td>8.2±1.9</td>
<td>3.6±0.9</td>
<td>3.6±1.0</td>
<td>2.2±0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SE. MSG, monosodium glutamate; LA, linoleic acid; MSG 1, MSG bottle 1; MSG 2, MSG bottle 2. *Significantly greater than water and MSG. †Significantly greater than MSG and MSG + LA. ‡Significantly greater than water. §Significantly greater than MSG.
taste in rodents. Second, there were concentration-specific sex differences in LA enhancement of MSG responses that differed across response measures (i.e., integrated AUC, peak, and duration). For example, in males, LA enhanced the responses to 40 and 100 mM MSG in all three response measures, whereas in females a similar enhancement was evident only for stimulus duration. Together, these findings suggest that LA increased the intensity of 40 and 100 mM MSG in males and 100 mM in females. Moreover, LA prolonged MSG taste before adaptation for all three concentrations in both sexes. It remains to be seen whether corresponding work from individual TRCs of the taste bud or single neurons from the geniculate ganglion also will reveal a similar interaction between LA and MSG and the mechanism by which it occurs.

Taste Preferences

Both male and female rats preferred 40 and 100 mM MSG to water, but neither preferred the more concentrated 300 mM MSG. In fact, both males and females found this MSG concentration to be mildly aversive (Fig. 4), which is consistent with some (24, 34), but not all (45, 57), behavioral studies. The explanation for discrepancies in taste preferences for 300 mM MSG is unclear but could be related to methodological differences, including test length, maintenance diet, or experience with other tastes. In any event, LA did not influence the aversion to 300 mM MSG in the current study. In contrast, LA increased the preference for lower MSG concentrations in male and female rats. These results parallel the findings observed in experiment 1b, particularly the concentration-specific sex differences in the effect: wherever LA increased the CT nerve response to MSG, it also increased MSG preference. As with CT responses, the addition of LA increased the preference for 40 and 100 mM MSG in male rats, but only for 100 mM MSG in female rats (Fig. 4, A and C). Thus CT nerve responses to LA + MSG mixtures predict the fluid preferences of LA + MSG solutions, especially for lower MSG concentrations.

If the main role of LA is to augment the intensity of other solutions in a complex mixture, then it is logical to expect that LA should increase preference for lower MSG concentrations until the intensity becomes too great, as seen with higher MSG concentrations, and causes an aversion. Extending this logic more broadly, with LA, preferred tastes become more preferred, whereas aversive tastes become more aversive. This is demonstrated compellingly by a recent study showing that LA increases licking responses to sucrose and glucose but decreases licking to sodium chloride, citric acid, and quinine by rats (48).

These findings appear to contradict work by Gilbertson and colleagues (23) showing an inverse relationship between fatty acid sensitivity in fungiform taste cells and taste preference. They found that the addition of LA decreased saccharin preference in obesity-prone rats. However, rats prefer saccharin at low concentrations and avoid it at high concentrations (54), which is attributable to a bitter taste component. Consequently, the LA-saccharin mixture will be even more intensely bitter, leading to decreased preference. In fact, obesity-prone rats appear to be highly sensitive to FFAs, since they develop stronger conditioned taste aversions to LA compared with obesity-resistant rats (47).

Other receptive fields and taste nerves must play a significant role in LA taste transmission because CTX does not completely abolish LA discrimination in behavioral tests. In particular, CD36 is highly expressed in the circumvallate papillae on the posterior tongue innervated by the glosso-pha-ryngeal nerve (GL; see Ref. 15) and consequently may be especially sensitive to FFA stimulation. In addition, lingual lipase is secreted from von Ebner’s glands (15, 38), which also are located in the posterior oral cavity. In this regard, the FFA oleic acid is reported to activate the GL (33), although in that study, recordings were from the pharyngeal branch of the GL, which innervates receptors in the pharynx that are important in the control of reflexes (33). Nonetheless, bilateral transection of the GL impairs the ability of mice to discriminate LA from a control solution (20), which provides additional support for GL involvement in FFA taste processing.

Interestingly, fungiform papillae, which are innervated by the CT, show little CD36 expression, an observation that suggests FFAs play multiple roles in gustatory processing, depending on the location of the “fat taste receptors.” As first proposed by Laugerette et al. (37), FFA actions on taste receptors in the anterior part of the tongue may enhance the intensity of other taste stimuli, as seen in the present study; however, FFAs may directly activate taste receptors in the posterior oral cavity, thereby producing a unique “fat taste.” In support of the idea of multiple roles for FFA in taste, both CD36 knockout mice and Trpm5 knockout mice demonstrate significantly reduced preferences for fat solutions (50, 52), suggesting that both CD36 and Trpm5, which is expressed in circumvallate papillae and is also found in other types of TRCs (12), are important in fat taste processing. Moreover, FFA stimulation of circumvallate papillae results in a dramatic increase of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), resulting in release of neuro-transmitters, including 5-hydroxytryptamine and norepinephrine (17). On the other hand, stimulation of fungiform papillae with LA alone does not elicit a response in the geniculate ganglion (2) or the CT (current study) but does increase CT responses to MSG, as seen in our current study. Also, the addition of LA significantly increases the preference for sac-charin concentrations that apparently are undetectable without LA (22). Finally, FFAs inhibit delayed-rectifying potassium channels (DRKs) in isolated TRCs from fungiform papillae (21), which presumably prolong evoked neurotransmitter release. This latter process may involve interactions between DRKs and inhibitory G proteins, since mRNA for several orphan G proteins increases in response to FFA stimulation of fungiform TRCs (26).

Integration of these complex results from a diverse body of work leads us to believe that LA enhancement of CT and behavioral responses to MSG are not attributable to direct actions of LA at MSG receptors. Rather, we propose that MSG-stimulated neurotransmitter release on gustatory affer-ents is increased by LA action on DRKs. More specifically, when LA and MSG are applied together, MSG acts at T1R1 + T1R3 receptors while LA acts separately to inhibit DRKs. The combined actions of LA and MSG on fungiform TRCs result in an even greater increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) and, thus, prolonged release of even greater amounts of neurotransmitter. However, CD36 is not present in fungiform papillae and is rarely coexpressed with α-gustducin (38), a G protein that is expressed at high levels in fungiform papillae and is activated.
by MSG stimulation (28). Thus the effect of LA on fungiform
TRCs does not involve CD36. Although this model focuses on
LA enhancement of MSG responses as observed in the present
study, it also predicts that LA will enhance responses to other
taste stimuli by augmenting neurotransmitter release evoked by
the actions of those taste stimuli at their receptors. Clearly,
however, this effect may be more pronounced for taste informa-

tion primarily transmitted via the CT (e.g., NaCl; see Ref.
6), as opposed to the GL or the greater superficial petrosal
nerve, and ongoing studies are examining this issue by adding
LA to other taste stimuli.

In summary, the results of the current study demonstrate
that, although lingual administration of LA alone did not affect
CT whole nerve responses, CT responses to MSG were en-
hanced by the presence of LA. More specifically, LA enhanced
the intensity of MSG taste, particularly at low MSG concen-
trations. The enhancement of gustatory sensory input appears
to be behaviorally relevant, since preferences for the same
concentrations of MSG also were enhanced when LA was
present. Moreover, in both CT whole nerve recordings and in
behavioral preferences, male rats had enhanced responses to
the combination of LA and MSG at a lower concentration than
did female rats. Thus, there are sex differences in the enhance-
ment of behavioral responses to MSG by the FFA and LA, and
the CT is important in this difference.

MSG is a complex taste stimulus; therefore, increased CT
and behavioral responses to LA + MSG combinations may
reflect an enhancement of responses to the sodium component,
to the glutamate component, or to both. Moreover, LA may
enhance responses to one component while decreasing re-
sponses to the other. Some insights into the relative weights
of the MSG components in the enhancement of responses ob-
served in the present study may be obtained from the results
of our previous study in which we found that female rats increase
licking to LA-sucrose taste mixtures at lower concentrations
than do males (55). Comparison of these two studies leads to
the obvious conclusion that sex differences in behavioral re-
sponses to LA-taste mixtures may depend on the solution in
which LA is mixed. However, a “subtractive analysis” also
allows the deduction that increased preferences for LA + MSG
combinations is explained by LA enhancement of the sodium
component of MSG taste. Unfortunately, little work has exam-
ined sex differences in preferences to MSG, and the few

studies that did (30, 45) suggest that males prefer lower MSG
concentrations than do females. We did not find sex differences
in preferences for MSG alone. Rather, differences were appar-
ent only with the addition of LA and only at lower MSG
concentrations. Sex differences in preferences for LA + MSG
mixtures clearly reflected sex differences in CT responses;
nonetheless, additional behavioral and electrophysiological ex-
periments will be necessary to determine more precisely which
taste component of MSG is enhanced by LA and how gender
influences electrophysiological and behavior responses.

Perspectives

Although investigations of sex differences in taste responses
span four decades and have examined taste stimuli from sweet to
salt to bitter (4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 35, 51, 58, 59), little work has
focused on the mechanisms that underlie such differences. Cer-
tainly, this is true of sex differences in the taste of MSG and of

FFAs such as LA, taste stimuli that have received much less
attention. Not surprisingly, then, although there are sex differ-
ences in the LA enhancement of CT and behavioral responses to
MSG, the mechanism remains unknown. Sex differences in CT
responses to LA + MSG taste combinations suggest that the
behavioral differences are attributable, at least in part, to differ-
ences in peripheral taste processing. Thus, sex differences in
receptor affinity and/or in the number of MSG receptors (or in
putative LA receptors) might account for the sex differences we
observed. Circulating reproductive hormones also could be in-
volved, since estrogen decreases CT responses to NaCl (9). This
possibility seems unlikely to explain sex differences in the LA
enhancement of responses to LA, however, since estrogen treat-
ment does not affect licking responses to LA-sucrose mixtures
in ovariectomized female rats. Finally, sex differences in
peripheral input do not preclude the possibility of sex differences
in the central processing of LA + MSG taste mixtures.

Regardless of the mechanism, these findings of sex differences
in LA effects on taste processing and on related taste preferences
are especially important because taste is a major determinant of
food choice and food consumption. Although there may be spec-
ies differences in the specific tastes that are influenced by FFAs
(see, e.g., Refs. 41 and 48), if FFAs change taste intensity, the
result may be increased consumption of preferred foods and/or
greater willingness to consume foods normally not preferred. In
this regard, men prefer “protein fats” such as steak (62), whereas
women prefer “sweet fats” such as chocolate. Given that MSG is
considered by many to be the prototypical protein taste, our
findings of sex differences in preferences for LA + MSG taste
mixtures, essentially a protein and fat taste, cast new light on sex
differences in food choices by humans.
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