Growth hormone rescues hippocampal synaptic function after sleep deprivation
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Kim E, Grover LM, Bertolotti D, Green TL. Growth hormone rescues hippocampal synaptic function after sleep deprivation. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 298: R1588–R1596, 2010; doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00580.2009.—Sleep is required for, and sleep loss impairs, normal hippocampal synaptic N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) glutamate receptor function and expression, hippocampal NMDA receptor-dependent synaptic plasticity, and hippocampal-dependent memory function. Although sleep is essential, the signals linking sleep to hippocampal function are not known. One potential signal is growth hormone. Growth hormone is released during sleep, and its release is suppressed during sleep deprivation. If growth hormone links sleep to hippocampal function, then restoration of growth hormone during sleep deprivation should prevent adverse consequences of sleep loss. To test this hypothesis, we examined rat hippocampus for spontaneous excitatory synaptic currents in CA1 pyramidal neurons, long-term potentiation in area CA1, and NMDA receptor subunit proteins in synaptic membranes. Three days of sleep deprivation caused a significant reduction in NMDA receptor-mediated synaptic currents compared with control treatments. When rats were injected with growth hormone once per day during sleep deprivation, the loss of NMDA receptor-mediated synaptic currents was prevented. Growth hormone injections also prevented the impairment of long-term potentiation that normally follows sleep deprivation. In addition, sleep deprivation led to a selective loss of NMDA receptor subunit proteins in synaptic membranes, but normal NR2B expression was restored by growth hormone injection. Our results identify growth hormone as a critical mediator linking sleep to normal synaptic function of the hippocampus.
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SLEEP IS A CRITICAL REGULATOR of biological functions, including brain function, yet the signals linking sleep to brain function are not known. Previous investigations showed that growth hormone, which is normally secreted during sleep and reduced during sleep deprivation (5, 15, 21, 52), regulates synaptic function in the hippocampus (33, 57). Growth hormone could therefore be a link between sleep and brain function, but this possibility has not yet been tested. Growth hormone is released from somatotroph cells in the anterior pituitary and is essential for somatic growth and metabolism (18). Recent studies have shown that growth hormone can cross the blood-brain barrier (9, 19, 42) and that the growth hormone receptor is expressed in several brain regions including the hippocampus (24, 30). Growth hormone effects on brain and cognitive function (41) may involve growth hormone regulation of synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus and hippocampal-dependent learning and memory (25, 27, 43). In the hippocampus, N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors play critical roles in memory formation and induction of long-term potentiation (LTP), a widely used synaptic model of learning and memory (4, 31). Growth hormone supplementation in chronic growth hormone deficiency or aged animals alters expression of specific NMDA receptor (NMDAR) subunit mRNAs in the hippocampus (25, 27), supporting a link between growth hormone and brain function.

Secretion of pituitary growth hormone is strongly related to sleep. A major surge of growth hormone secretion occurs during sleep, and sleep deprivation suppresses growth hormone secretion (5, 15, 21, 52). Sleep deprivation itself has long been associated with impairment of learning and memory (36, 47, 55, 56). Also, like growth hormone, sleep deprivation affects hippocampal synaptic plasticity by altering NMDAR expression and function (7, 23, 37). These sleep deprivation-induced changes in NMDAR function may underlie the LTP deficit and learning and memory impairment following sleep deprivation (6, 12, 20, 37). It seemed likely, therefore, that loss of normal growth hormone might cause the altered hippocampal NMDAR expression and function and impaired NMDAR-dependent synaptic plasticity that occur as a consequence of sleep deprivation. To test this hypothesis, we treated animals with growth hormone during sleep deprivation, and examined hippocampal NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents, LTP, and NMDAR subunit expression in synaptosomal membranes. We found that growth hormone restoration to sleep-deprived animals restored normal NMDAR function and subunit expression, indicating that growth hormone is an essential mediator of sleep effects on hippocampal memory-related functions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and treatment. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Marshall University. Sleep deprivation was produced as described previously (14). Male Sprague-Dawley rats (270–350 g) were sleep deprived by confinement on small (10.5 diameter) platforms over water for 3 days. This technique, which very effectively deprives animals of almost all rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and also fragments and reduces non-REM sleep states (17, 32, 39, 53), suppress the normal sleep-related surge in growth hormone release (52). In the first set of experiments, two controls were used: large platform control rats (control) were placed on 28-cm diameter platforms (20), and naive rats were housed individually in standard animal cages. A total of 14 animals were used in this experiment. In the second set of experiments, four groups of animals were examined: animals received either growth hormone (1 mg·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹ sc) or vehicle (sterile saline, 1 ml·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹ sc) injections and were subjected to either sleep deprivation (small platform) or control (large platform) treatment. Our growth hormone injection protocol was chosen based on a review of the literature and should have produced a peak circulating growth hormone concentra-
tion comparable to the large-amplitude growth hormone surges that occur during normal sleep (29, 50). Growth hormone-injected, sleep-deprived animals were treated with recombinant human growth hormone (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA or Cell Sciences, Canton, MA) in sterile saline, and were sleep deprived for 3 days. Growth hormone-injected control animals also received daily growth hormone injections, but were subjected to the large platform control treatment for 3 days. Vehicle-injected, sleep-deprived animals received equivalent volume sterile saline injections during 3 days of sleep deprivation. Vehicle-injected control animals received sterile saline injections and large platform control treatment. A total of 38 animals were used in this experiment. Naïve controls were not used in the second set of experiments because the first set of experiments revealed no differences between control and naïve treatment (see RESULTS). Growth hormone or saline injections were given between 9 and 11 AM on each of the 3 days of sleep deprivation or control treatment. Food and clean water were available ad libitum for all animals throughout all phases of treatment, and all animals were maintained under 12:12-h light-dark conditions with lights on at 8 AM. In preliminary experiments, we verified REM sleep deprivation by the presence of a REM sleep rebound during recovery sleep following confinement on small platforms (as described in Ref. 14) and the absence of REM sleep rebound following confinement on large platforms. To the degree possible, we minimized use of animals by collecting hippocampal and blood samples from the same animals that were used to prepare slices for electrophysiology (see below).

Slice preparation. Rats were sedated by CO2/air inhalation and decapitated. The brain was removed and placed into chilled artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) composed of (in mM) 124 NaCl, 26 NaHCO3, 3.4 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 2.0 CaCl2, 2.0 MgSO4, 10 glucose, pH 7.35, equilibrated with 95% O2-5% CO2. The brain was cut in half (3–4 M) and the hippocampal tissue was collected to prepare slices for electrophysiology (see below). Somatic whole cell patch-clamp recordings were obtained from a total of 81 CA1 pyramidal neurons from 81 hippocampal slices, as described previously (33). Patch electrodes (3–4 MΩ) were filled with 140 mM cesium gluconate, 10 mM sodium HEPES, and 3 mM MgCl2 adjusted to 285–290 mOsms, pH 7.2. Recordings were done in the continuous voltage clamp mode of an Axoclamp 2B (Axon Instruments). Signals were amplified (gain 10), low-pass filtered (3 kHz), and then digitized 10–100 kHz (National Instruments) and stored on a personal computer using WinWCP or WinEDR programs (Strathclyde Electrophysiology Software, John Dempster, University of Strathclyde, http://spider.science.strath.ac.uk/sips/software_ses.htm). Holding potentials were set to between −70 and −85 mV, corrected for a calculated liquid junction potential of 10 mV, at the beginning of each recording and kept constant for the remainder of the recording. Excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) were recorded in low-Mg2+ (50 μM) ACSF containing 10 μM bicuculline to block GABAa receptors. EPSCs were recorded for 5 to 10 min baseline period, and then washout of bicuculline (−2-aminoo-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (d-AP5; 50 μM, 10 min) was added to block NMDAR-mediated currents. Finally, washout of d-AP5 was attempted, but was not obtained in all recordings due to the long time (20 min) required for complete washout. Spontaneous EPSCs were detected and analyzed by using the Mini Analysis program (Synaptosoft) with detection threshold set to four times the root mean square baseline noise level. The detection threshold was determined separately for each cell at the beginning of the recording, and the same threshold was used for analyzing all portions of that recording. EPSCs from the final 5-min periods of the baseline recording, d-AP5 application, and washout (when obtained) were aligned and averaged separately. NMDAR-mediated synaptic current was quantified by comparing half-widths of the averaged EPSC in d-AP5 with the averaged baseline EPSC.

LTP was assessed by field potential recordings from a total of 35 slices. Extracellular potentials were recorded through low-impedance (3–4 MΩ) glass micropipettes filled with ACSF and placed into the stratum radiatum of area CA1. Signals were amplified (gain 100) and filtered (0.05–3,000 Hz or 0.1–10,000 Hz) and then digitized (10–100 kHz; National Instruments) and stored on a personal computer using WinWCP. Baseline responses were recorded for 15 min, and then theta burst stimulation (20 bursts, each consisting of 4 stimuli at 100 Hz, at 200 ms interburst intervals) was given to induce LTP. Recordings were continued for 60 min following LTP induction. For each slice, EPSP slopes were measured and normalized relative to the mean slope during the pretetanus baseline and then expressed as percentage change from the baseline. For statistical analysis, the percent change in EPSP slope was averaged over the 50–60 min posttetanus periods, and could be compared between slices from control animals and slices from sleep-deprived animals.

Synaptic membrane preparation and Western blot analysis. A total of 20 animals were used. Somatic membranes were obtained as described earlier (16). Dounce homogenates were prepared from hippocampal tissues in sucrose buffer containing 10 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.4), 320 mM sucrose, and phosphatase and protease inhibitor cocktails (Sigma, Roche). Homogenates were centrifuged at 1,000 g for 10 min to remove nuclei and large debris (P1). The supernatant (S1) was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 15 min to obtain a crude synaptosomal membrane fraction (P2). The P2 fraction was lysed hypoxosmotically in water containing phosphatase and protease inhibitors for 30 min and then centrifuged at 25,000 g for 20 min to yield the synaptosomal membrane fraction (L1). Pellets were rinsed with cold sucrose buffer after each centrifugation. Synaptosomal membranes were resuspended in RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Igepal CA-630, 0.1% SDS) and frozen at −80°C for later analysis.

For Western blot analysis, 30 μg of synaptosomal membrane protein were loaded onto 8% SDS-PAGE gels. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked at room temperature with 2% Advance blocking reagent (Amersham/GE Healthcare) in TTS (0.5% Tween 20, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA). Membranes were then incubated with primary antibody to NMDA receptor subunit 1 (NR1; BD Biosciences), NMDA receptor subunit 2A (NR2A; Chemicon/Millipore), or NR2B (BD Biosciences) diluted in TTS either at room temperature for 1 h or overnight at 4°C. After being washed in TTS, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies in TBS for 1 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. The blot was washed, and proteins were detected on X-ray film using the ECL Advance system (Amersham/GE Healthcare). Films were scanned and analyzed using Image J software (Wayne Rasband, National Institute of Mental Health, Washington, DC). Blots were stripped (Pierce Restore stripping buffer) and reprobed for other NMDAR subunits and for postsynaptic density protein-95 (PSD-95; Upstate/Millipore, Billerica, MA).

Measurement of serum corticosterone and IGF-1. Trunk blood was collected at the time of death of animals, kept on ice for 30 min, and then centrifuged at 1,000 g for 15 min to separate serum. Serum corticosterone (AC-14F1; Immunodiagnostic Systems, Scottsdale, AZ) and IGF-1 (AC-18F1; Immunodiagnostic Systems) were measured by ELISA, following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical analysis. All data are presented as means ± 1 SE. For tests of statistical significance, we used one- or two-way ANOVA as appropriate. When the ANOVA indicated a significant effect, post hoc pairwise comparisons were made using the Bonferroni method. An alpha level of 0.05 was considered significant. Analysis was per-

RESULTS

Synaptic NMDAR function was impaired by sleep deprivation.

In an initial set of experiments, we assessed NMDAR synaptic function in sleep-deprived, control, and naive animals. To assess NMDAR synaptic function, we examined spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSCs) in CA1 pyramidal neurons. sEPSCs were recorded from slices in low-Mg<sup>2+</sup> (50 μM) ACSF with GABA receptors blocked by the combination of extracellular bicuculline and intracellular Cs<sup>+</sup>. sEPSCs recorded under these conditions contained both fast, α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid receptor (AMPAR)-mediated, and slow, NMDAR-mediated components (see Fig. 1). Application of the NMDAR antagonist, D-AP5 (50 μM), allowed assessment of NMDAR synaptic function by comparing the difference in sEPSCs duration at half amplitude (half-width) before and after block of NMDARs.

We applied this technique to examine effects of sleep deprivation (see Fig. 2). In cells from both naive and control animals, D-AP5 caused substantial decreases in sEPSC half-width. For cells from naive animals, sEPSC half-width was 10.9 ± 1.0 ms before and 7.6 ± 0.7 after D-AP5 application (mean change of -27.6 ± 5.7%; n = 11). For cells from control animals, sEPSC half-width was 12.1 ± 1.0 ms before and 8.1 ± 0.5 ms after D-AP5 application (-31.1 ± 3.4%; n = 12). In contrast, sEPSCs in cells from sleep-deprived animals were much less sensitive to the NMDA receptor antagonist, with sEPSC half-width averaging 8.3 ± 0.5 ms before D-AP5 and 7.1 ± 0.6 ms after D-AP5 (-12.6 ± 6.1%; n = 9). ANOVA indicated a significant overall difference among the three groups (P < 0.05), with pairwise comparisons showing a significant difference between control and sleep-deprived (P < 0.02), but no difference between control and naive. These findings are in agreement with a previous report (37) that examined evoked NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents and NMDA-induced currents in outside-out patches from CA1 dendrite membranes. sEPSC rise times (10–90%) appeared slightly reduced by D-AP5 application in cells from control and naive animals (Fig. 2F), but not in cells from sleep-deprived animals; however, this difference was not significant. Examination of isolated AMPAR-mediated sEPSCs (measured after application of D-AP5) revealed no differences among the three treatment conditions in half-width (Fig. 2B), amplitude (Fig. 2D), or rise time (Fig. 2E). We also examined cumulative probability distributions for sEPSC amplitude and inter-event interval (not shown), but found no differences among the groups for these measures. These findings indicate that AMPAR synaptic function was not affected by sleep deprivation.

To assess NMDAR current stability over time, we attempted to wash out D-AP5 during all recordings. Because complete washout required a relatively long time (20 min), we achieved washout in a total of only eight recordings. As shown in Fig. 3, sEPSC half-width recovered completely following washout.
indicating that NMDAR function remained stable over the entire duration of whole cell recording under our recording conditions.

**Synaptic NMDAR function was impaired by sleep deprivation and rescued by growth hormone treatment.** Previous investigations have established that growth hormone is released during sleep, and prevention of sleep, in turn, suppresses circulating growth hormone. If growth hormone is a mediator of sleep effects on hippocampal synaptic function, then experimental restoration of growth hormone to sleep-deprived animals should reverse effects of sleep loss. In our first test of this prediction, we injected sleep-deprived or control animals with growth hormone (1 mg/kg) or an equivalent volume of saline vehicle (1 ml/kg) once on each of three consecutive days of treatment, and we measured NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents as described above (Figs. 1 and 2). In cells from vehicle-injected control animals, sEPSC half-width was consistently reduced from a mean 9.0 ± 0.6 ms to 6.7 ± 0.5 ms after the addition of D-AP5 (−25.0 ± 2.8%, n = 13; see Fig. 4). In contrast, sEPSCs in cells from vehicle-injected, sleep-deprived animals were less sensitive to D-AP5; half-widths averaged 8.0 ± 0.3 ms prior to and 6.8 ± 0.3 ms after D-AP5 application (−15.1 ± 2.4%, n = 13). This difference in D-AP5 sensitivity indicates a decrease in NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents as a consequence of sleep deprivation, in agreement with results shown above (Fig. 2) and in a prior report (37). This loss of NMDAR synaptic function was rescued by growth hormone treatment. In cells from growth hormone-injected, sleep-deprived animals, sEPSC half-width was consistently reduced from a mean 9.0 ± 0.6 ms to 6.7 ± 0.5 ms after the addition of D-AP5 (−25.0 ± 2.8%, n = 13; see Fig. 4). In contrast, sEPSCs in cells from vehicle-injected, sleep-deprived animals were less sensitive to D-AP5; half-widths averaged 8.0 ± 0.3 ms prior to and 6.8 ± 0.3 ms after D-AP5 application (−15.1 ± 2.4%, n = 13). This difference in D-AP5 sensitivity indicates a decrease in NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents as a consequence of sleep deprivation, in agreement with results shown above (Fig. 2) and in a prior report (37). This loss of NMDAR synaptic function was rescued by growth hormone treatment. In cells from growth hormone-injected, sleep-deprived animals, sEPSC half-widths averaged 10.1 ± 0.6 ms and were reduced to 7.7 ± 0.5 ms by D-AP5 (decrease of −24.3 ± 2.7%, n = 15). This change in sEPSC half-width was virtually identical to that observed in cells from growth hormone-injected control animals (9.6 ± 0.9 ms before D-AP5, 7.2 ± 0.4 ms after D-AP5, −23.1 ± 4.0%, n = 11). ANOVA indicated a significant interaction (P < 0.05) between sleep condition (control vs. sleep-deprived) and injection treatment (vehicle vs. growth hormone). The basis of this interaction was a significant decrease in sEPSC half-width in the vehicle sleep-deprived group compared with the vehicle-injected control group (P < 0.05), with no other pairwise comparisons being significant. This pattern of results indicates that growth hormone
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Fig. 4. NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents were reduced after sleep deprivation, but were restored by growth hormone (GH) treatment. Whole cell patch-clamp was used to record sEPSCs. A: averaged sEPSCs from 4 different CA1 pyramidal neurons. sEPSCs were recorded in low-Mg²⁺ ACSF with GABA receptors blocked (thin line) and after addition of the NMDAR antagonist, D-AP5 (thick line). Recordings are from single neurons in slices from (left to right): SD animals that received daily injections of saline vehicle (SD-Veh), control animals that received daily injections of Veh (C-Veh), SD animals that received daily injections of GH (SD-GH), and control animals that received daily injections of GH (C-GH). The D-AP5-sensitive portion of each sEPSC reflects the NMDAR-mediated component of synaptic current. B: NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents were quantified by measuring sEPSC half-width before and after application of D-AP5. sEPSC half-widths were averaged across all cells from the same treatment condition. sEPSC half-width was minimally affected by D-AP5 in cells (n = 13) from SD-Veh animals, but was substantially reduced in cells (n = 13, 15, 11) from the remaining 3 treatment conditions. C: mean change in sEPSC half-width after D-AP5 application was calculated from the data shown in B. The change in half-width was significantly smaller in the SD-Veh group compared with C-Veh. In contrast, sEPSC half-width was restored to control levels in SD-GH animals. sEPSC amplitudes (D) and rise times (E) are shown. Following block of NMDARs with D-AP5, there were no differences among the 4 groups in sEPSC half-width (B), amplitude (D), or rise time (E), indicating no effect of sleep deprivation or GH on AMPAR function.

selectively rescued NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents in sleep-deprived animals. sEPSC peak amplitudes, either before or after D-AP5 application, were not different among the four groups (Fig. 4D), nor were there differences in sEPSC half-width or rise time in D-AP5 (Fig. 4, B and E), indicating that AMPAR function was not affected by either sleep deprivation, as reported previously (37), or by growth hormone treatment.

LTP was impaired by sleep deprivation but rescued by growth hormone treatment. In hippocampal area CA1, induction of LTP occurs in response to calcium influx through postsynaptic neurons through NMDARs. Because growth hormone treatment during sleep deprivation was able to prevent the loss of NMDAR function, which otherwise occurs as a consequence of sleep deprivation, we next asked whether growth hormone treatment could rescue the LTP deficit that also follows sleep deprivation. We made recordings of evoked field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) in stratum radiatum of area CA1 and used theta burst stimulation (TBS) of Schaffer collateral/commissural afferents to assess LTP. Following a stable 15-min baseline recording period, each slice received TBS consisting of 20 bursts of four stimuli (at 100 Hz) with bursts repeated at 5 Hz. Slices from vehicle-injected control animals consistently showed an LTP that was maintained throughout a 60-min post-TBS recording period (25.3 ± 5.5% increase in EPSP slope at 50–60 min post-TBS, n = 10; see Fig. 5A). As shown previously (6, 12, 20, 37), LTP in slices from sleep-deprived (vehicle-injected) animals was significantly reduced compared with control slices (Fig. 5A). In these slices, EPSP slopes completely returned to the baseline level after ~45 min, and at 50–60 min post-TBS, were slightly reduced (~2.6 ± 5.5%, n = 7) compared with baseline. This loss of LTP following sleep deprivation is consistent with the significant loss of NMDAR function shown above. Just as growth hormone treatment of sleep-deprived animals rescued normal NMDAR function, growth hormone treatment also rescued LTP (see Fig. 5B). Slices from sleep-deprived animals that received daily injections of growth hormone demonstrated robust LTP after TBS (24.4 ± 8.8% increase in EPSP slope, n = 10) similar to that obtained in slices from growth hormone-injected control animals (38.8 ± 10.4% increase in EPSP slope, n = 8, P > 0.25). ANOVA showed significant main effects for both sleep condition (control vs. sleep-deprived) and injection treatment (vehicle vs. growth hormone); however, the only significant pairwise comparison was between the vehicle-injected, sleep-deprived, and vehicle-injected control groups (P < 0.05).

Synaptic NMDAR 2B subunit protein level was decreased by sleep deprivation but restored by growth hormone treatment. To determine whether the subunit composition of NMDARs in hippocampal synapses might be altered by sleep deprivation, we prepared synaptosomal membranes from whole hippocampus and quantified subunit protein levels using immunoblotting (see Fig. 6). There were no differences among the four groups (all n = 5) for subunits NR1 or NR2A, nor were there differences in PSD-95 levels. For subunit NR2B protein, however, there was a significant interaction (P < 0.05) between sleep condition (control vs. sleep-deprived) and injection treatment (vehicle vs. growth hormone), with NR2B protein significantly decreased in the vehicle-injected, sleep-deprived group compared with the vehicle-injected control group (P < 0.01). Critically, growth hormone treatment of sleep-deprived animals restored NR2B protein to control levels, with no differ-
injected, sleep-deprived animals (28). In agreement with previous
stimulation, and IGF-I, in turn, mediates some, but not all,
demonstrates that differences in stress levels cannot explain
absence of any significant differences in serum corticosterone
released in stressful situations, and sleep deprivation may be
ence between growth hormone-injected, sleep-deprived and
growth hormone-injected control animals.

No differences in corticosterone levels. Corticosterone is
released in stressful situations, and sleep deprivation may be
associated with stress (10, 20). To determine whether differences
in stress level might have contributed to the differences
we reported above, we measured serum corticosterone, using
ELISA, in each of our four experimental groups (see Fig. 7A).
Serum corticosterone averaged 65.7 ± 20.3 ng/ml in
vehicle-injected, sleep-deprived animals (n = 14), 31.7 ± 9.0 ng/ml in
vehicle-injected control animals (n = 10), 33.8 ± 13.6 ng/ml in
growth hormone-injected, sleep-deprived animals (n = 8), and
26.4 ± 10.2 ng/ml in growth hormone-injected control
animals (n = 6). ANOVA failed to find a significant difference
in corticosterone concentration among these four groups. The
absence of any significant differences in serum corticosterone
demonstrates that differences in stress levels cannot explain
our findings.

Reduced IGF-I in sleep-deprived animals. IGF-I is produced
by liver and target tissues in response to growth hormone
stimulation, and IGF-I, in turn, mediates some, but not all,
effects of growth hormone (28). In agreement with previous
findings of reduced growth hormone during sleep deprivation
(5, 15, 21, 52), a reduction in circulating IGF-1 has also been
observed during sleep deprivation (15). To verify that growth
hormone/IGF-1 signals were reduced in our sleep-deprived
animals, and to investigate whether growth hormone treatment
during sleep deprivation restored IGF-1 levels, we used ELISA
to measure serum IGF-1 level in animals from each of our four
experimental conditions (see Fig. 7B). As expected, IGF-1 was
reduced in vehicle-injected, sleep-deprived animals (1,659.0 ±
228.4 ng/ml, n = 10) compared with vehicle-injected controls
(3,171.7 ± 293.0 ng/ml, n = 11). Surprisingly, growth hor-
mone treatment did not restore IGF-1 in sleep-deprived ani-
mals, with IGF-1 remaining low (1,909.5 ± 259.3 ng/ml, n =
8) compared with growth hormone-injected control animals
(3,000.5 ± 284.03 ng/ml, n = 7). ANOVA revealed a signif-
icant main effect for sleep condition (control vs. sleep-de-
prived) only, with no effect of growth hormone injection and
no interaction. Pairwise comparisons showed reduced IGF-1 in
sleep-deprived animals compared with controls regardless of
whether they received vehicle (P < 0.001) or growth hormone
(P < 0.05) injections.

DISCUSSION

Growth hormone is released in a major surge during sleep,
and sleep deprivation substantially reduces circulating growth
hormone level (5, 15, 21, 52). We hypothesized that loss of
growth hormone as a consequence of sleep deprivation was
responsible for the altered synaptic function that has been
reported in the hippocampus after sleep deprivation (6, 12, 20,
36, 37). Our findings confirmed a critical role for growth
hormone in maintaining hippocampal synaptic function. Hip-
ocampal CA1 neurons from sleep-deprived animals had re-
duced NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents, reduced NMDA
receptor-dependent LTP, and reduced synaptic NR2B subunit
expression. Restoring growth hormone to sleep-deprived ani-
mals caused recovery of NMDAR function, NMDA receptor-
dependent synaptic plasticity, and synaptic NMDAR subunit
expression.

The decrease in NR2B subunits in hippocampal synaptosomal
membranes from sleep-deprived animals may explain our obser-
vation of reduced NMDAR contribution to EPSC half-width. The
decay kinetics of NMDAR synaptic currents depend on NR2
subunit identity: receptors composed of NR1 and NR2A subunits
have currents with faster decay times than receptors composed of
NR1 and NR2B subunits (54). The decreased NR2B subunit
abundance we saw after sleep deprivation would have increased
the fraction of hippocampal synapses with faster decay time
constants and therefore produced EPSCs with shorter half-widths
after sleep deprivation. Restoration of normal NR2B subunit
expression with growth hormone treatment can also explain the
recovery of EPSC half-width that we observed in neurons from
growth hormone-injected, sleep-deprived animals. The loss of
NR2B subunits after sleep deprivation, and the recovery of NR2B
levels with growth hormone treatment may also explain the
changes in LTP that we report here. In our study, LTP was
deficient in hippocampal slices from sleep-deprived animals,
which had a lower NR2B subunit level. Growth hormone resto-
rator to sleep-deprived animals rescued LTP and NR2B subunit
expression in parallel. Previous studies suggest a mechanism for
this association between NR2B subunits and LTP. Subunit NR2B
of the NMDAR is critical for targeting activated calcium-calm-
odulin-dependent protein kinase II, a downstream target for Ca2+/H11001 during LTP induction (34, 46), to the synapse (3, 49), and loss or specific inhibition of NR2B containing NMDA receptors impairs LTP.

Our finding that hippocampal synaptic impairment caused by sleep deprivation was reversed by growth hormone treatment adds to a growing literature on the role of growth hormone in cognitive and memory functions. In both humans and animal models, growth hormone deficiency impairs cognitive functions, including memory (41), and growth hormone treatment of growth hormone-deficient humans and animals restores these functions (1, 13, 27). Changes seen during normal aging further emphasize the critical role of growth hormone/IGF-I in maintaining memory function. Circulating growth hormone and IGF-I are decreased during aging (11), and growth hormone or IGF-I treatment improves memory-dependent performance (35, 43). Aged rats show impaired hippocampal LTP and hippocampal-dependent learning and memory (2) that have been linked to decreased NR2B expression (8). In 2002, Le Grevès et al. (25) showed that chronic growth hormone treatment increased NR2B mRNA in adult rats, but increased NR1 and NR2A mRNAs in aged rats. These authors suggested that growth hormone facilitates hippocampal function and enhances LTP in young adult rats by upregulating NR2B gene transcripts; however, they showed no change in NR2B with growth hormone treatment in aged rats. In addition, mRNA levels of NR1, NR2A, and PSD-95 were increased by growth hormone treatment in hypophysectomized rats, but there was no change in NR2B subunit expression (27). Although these results indicate that growth hormone may regulate NMDAR composition differently depending on age, growth hormone regulation of hippocampal memory-related functions through altered NMDA receptor expression is of general and considerable significance.
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**Fig. 6.** Hippocampal synaptic NMDAR subunit 2B (NR2B) expression was decreased after sleep deprivation but restored by GH injection. Proteins were isolated from synaptosomal membranes, separated by gel electrophoresis, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and probed using antibodies specific for NMDAR subunits (NR2B, NR2A, NR1) and the postsynaptic density protein-95 (PSD-95). A results from 1 replication of this experiment. Each replication included 4 animals, 1 from each group (SD-Veh, SD-GH, C-Veh, C-GH). In this replication, NR2B expression (top) was substantially reduced in SD-Veh animals, but was restored to control level by GH injection, whereas NR2A and NR1 subunits (middle rows) were less affected. Subunit expression did not differ between control groups (GH or Veh). There were no differences in PSD-95. B, 1–4: this experiment was repeated a total of 5 times (a total of 5 animals/treatment condition). Protein expression was quantified by film densitometry and normalized within each blot to C-Veh. Normalized values were averaged across blots (animals). The only consistent change in protein expression was for the NR2B subunit (B1), which was significantly reduced in the SD-Veh group compared with the C-Veh group, and that was restored by GH injection (no significant difference between SD-GH and C-GH). B, 2–4: there were no differences between the SD-Veh and C-Veh or SD-GH and C-GH groups for NR2B subunit expression.
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**Fig. 7.** Serum corticosterone was not altered by SD or GH treatment; however, serum IGF-I was reduced by sleep deprivation but was not restored by GH treatment. Serum hormone concentrations were determined by ELISA. A serum corticosterone concentration was not significantly different among the 4 groups examined. B serum IGF-I concentration was significantly lower in SD-Veh animals compared with C-Veh animals. A significant difference remained between SD-GH and C-GH animals, indicating that GH treatment did not restore serum IGF-I following SD.
Many growth hormone effects are mediated by IGF-I produced by liver and target tissues. Reduced growth hormone release leads to a decrease in plasma IGF-I. Effects of growth hormone on hippocampal synaptic function or NMDA receptor expression could be mediated by IGF-I. In support of this possibility, repeated injections of IGF-1 increased NR2B mRNA levels in young (11 wk) adult rats (26). However, in our sleep-deprived animals, growth hormone treatment did not restore serum IGF-1 concentration, indicating that the growth hormone effects we report here were not mediated through increased circulating IGF-I. If the growth hormone effects that we obtained were not mediated by circulating IGF-I, then they may have resulted from either direct action of growth hormone on hippocampal neurons, or perhaps by growth hormone stimulation of IGF-I production within the hippocampus (or other central nervous system region), which then acted on hippocampal neurons as a growth hormone mediator. A direct role for growth hormone in altering hippocampal synaptic function is supported by our previous finding that direct application of growth hormone to in vitro hippocampal slices can enhance NMDA receptor-mediated EPSP/Cs (33). Alternatively, since the hippocampus is a site of IGF-1 production (28), growth hormone entry into the central nervous system might be capable of stimulating hippocampal IGF-1 production, with hippocampal-derived IGF-I regulating NMDARs in a paracrine or autocrine manner.

Growth hormone regulation of IGF-I production by the liver is well established (28). It was surprising, therefore, that growth hormone treatment of sleep-deprived animals did not restore circulating IGF-I levels. Several factors could explain this lack of effect. First, growth hormone is not the only hormone affected by sleep deprivation: circulating thyroid hormone, insulin, corticosterone, leptin, and ghrelin are all altered by sleep deprivation (48). Second, although IGF-I expression is regulated by growth hormone, circulating IGF-I concentration is dependent on the presence of IGF-binding proteins (IGF-BPs). Sleep deprivation has diverse effects on metabolic function and hormone levels (15, 42), which in turn may alter production of IGF-1, IGF-BPs, or decouple IGF-I production from growth hormone (38, 45, 51). Restoration of growth hormone alone may not have been sufficient to restore IGF-I levels.

Sleep deprivation may be associated with stress (10, 20). We therefore measured circulating corticosterone to determine stress levels in each of our experimental conditions. We found no significant differences in corticosterone levels among our four treatment groups, indicating that differences in stress cannot explain the findings we obtained. This conclusion is in agreement with recent studies showing sleep deprivation effects on hippocampal function that are independent of differences in stress or stress hormones (40, 44).

Taken together, our data indicate that growth hormone is required for normal hippocampal synaptic function. When growth hormone signals are lost during sleep deprivation, NMDAR-mediated synaptic currents and NMDAR-dependent LTP are impaired. This deficit in NMDAR function may reflect a specific loss of NR2B subunits from synaptic membranes. Restoration of growth hormone to sleep-deprived animals rescued hippocampal NMDAR function, LTP, and NMDAR subunit expression.

Perspectives and Significance

While sleep has long been recognized as an essential regulator of biological function, including brain function, the signals linking sleep to brain function have not been known. In this study, we demonstrated a role for growth hormone as a mediator between sleep and hippocampal function, establishing for the first time that growth hormone is an essential link between sleep and normal brain function. A better understanding of the role of growth hormone in the critical maintenance functions of sleep will ultimately lead to improved understanding and development of countermeasures for the long-term, chronic, sleep deprivation that affects many people.
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