Rostral ventrolateral medullary but not medullary lateral tegmental field neurons mediate sympatho-sympathetic reflexes in cats
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Barman SM, Orer HS. Rostral ventrolateral medullary but not medullary lateral tegmental field neurons mediate sympatho-sympathetic reflexes in cats. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 299: R1269–R1278, 2010. First published September 1, 2010; doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00422.2010.—This study was designed to build on past work from this laboratory by testing the hypothesis that medullary lateral tegmental field (LTF) neurons play a critical role in mediating sympathoexcitatory responses to activation of sympatheticafferent fibers. We studied the effects of microinjection of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) or non-NMDA receptor antagonists or muscimol bilaterally into the LTF on the area under the curve of the computer-averaged sympathoexcitatory potential in the right inferior cardiac nerve elicited by short trains of stimuli applied toafferent fibers in the left inferior cardiac or left splanchnic nerve (CN, SN) of baroreceptor-denervated and vagotomized cats anesthetized with a mixture of diallylbarbiturate and urethane. In contrast to our hypothesis, sympathoexcitatory responses produced by stimulation of sciatic or trigeminal nerve afferent fibers were markedly reduced by blockade of non-NMDA receptors in the LTF (S. M. Barman and G. L. Gebber, unpublished observation) or by chemical inactivation (muscimol microinjection) of this region (35). Sympathoexcitatory responses produced by activation of arterial chemoreceptors and electrical stimulation of cervical vagal afferent fibers were markedly reduced by blockade of non-NMDA receptors in LTF (32). In contrast, sympathoexcitatory responses elicited by stimulation of sciatic or trigeminal nerve afferent fibers, mesencephalic periaqueductal gray, or posterior hypothalamus were not attenuated, and, in fact, in some cases, they were enhanced by microinjection of EAA receptor antagonists or muscimol into the LTF (32).

Those autonomic reflexes that were shown to have a synapse in the LTF have at least two features in common that distinguish them from the responses shown not to require the LTF. One, the pathways mediating the reflexes have their first synapse in the NTs. Two, the afferent fibers involved in the reflexes arise from cardiorespiratory or visceral rather than somatic effector organs. These two facts raise two questions: Is the LTF an element of all autonomic reflex pathways, in which the primary afferent terminates in the NTs? Is the LTF involved in mediating all visceral-sympathetic, but not somato-sympathetic reflexes? These questions served as the focus of the current study, in which we sought to identify the pathways that mediate the sympathoexcitatory response to activation of cardiac and splanchnic nerve afferent fibers (sympatho-sympathetic reflexes). We studied the responses to electrical stimulation of afferent fibers in the left inferior cardiac and splanchnic nerve (CN, SN). Little is known about the essential supraspinal components of the pathways mediating these reflexes. By studying responses elicited by activation of both CN and SN afferent fibers, we can determine whether the same or different medullary pathways mediate two types of sympatho-sympathetic reflexes, one initiated by activation of receptors in a cardiovascular organ (i.e., the heart) and the other by activation of receptors in abdominal organs (i.e., gastrointestinal tract and gallbladder).
The current study was designed to test the hypothesis that LTF neurons play an essential role in mediating sympa-thoexcitatory responses to activation of sympathetic afferent fibers. The first aim of the study was to test the effects of blockade of EAA receptors or microinjection of muscimol into the LTF on the magnitude of the sympathoexcitatory responses elicited by electrical stimulation of CN and SN sympathetic afferent fibers in baroreceptor-denervated and vagotomized cats anesthetized with a mixture of diallylbarbiturate and urethane. The second aim of the study was to determine the role of the RVLM, CVLM, and NTS in mediating sympatho-sympathetic reflexes. The results demonstrate that the RVLM (but not the LTF, CVLM, or NTS) is a major synaptic station within the sympa-tho-sympathetic reflex arc in the cat.

METHODS

General procedures. The protocols used in these studies on 25 male cats (3.4 ± 0.2 kg) were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Michigan State University. Cats were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of sodium diallylbarbiturate (60 mg/kg), urethane (240 mg/kg), and monooxy-lurea (240 mg/kg). A femoral artery and femoral vein were cannulated to measure arterial pressure and to administer drugs, respectively. Cats were placed in a stereotaxic apparatus, paralyzed (gallamine triethiodide, 4 mg/kg iv, initial dose), pneumothoracotomized, and artificially respired with room air. Normocapnia (end-tidal CO₂, 4.34 ± 0.05% was maintained with the parameters of artificial ventilation set at 36.3 ± 0.9 cc and 20.3 ± 0.5 cycles/min. Rectal temperature was kept near 38°C with a heat lamp. Before neuromuscular blockade, the adequacy of anesthesia was indicated by the inability of noxious stimuli (pinch, heat, surgery) to increase arterial pressure or SND. Level of anesthesia was indicated by the inability of noxious stimuli to increase arterial pressure or SND. Baroreceptor denervation. Stimulation of afferent nerves can change arterial pressure and, thus, the level of baroreceptor nerve activity, which, in turn, might affect the amplitude of the sympathoexcitatory response to stimulation of afferent fibers in the CN and SN. To eliminate this confounding influence, we completed this study in baroreceptor-denervated and vagotomized cats. The carotid sinus, aortic depressor, and vagus nerves were sectioned bilaterally. Two observations verified the completeness of baroreceptor denervation. First, spectral analysis failed to show a cardiac related rhythm in SND. Specifically, there was no sharp peak in the autospectrum of SND at the frequency of the heart beat, and coherence values relating SND to the arterial pulse were <0.1 at this frequency. Second, SND was not reflexly inhibited during the pressor response produced by an injection of norepinephrine bitartrate (1–2 μg/kg iv).

Preparation of sympathetic nerves for recording and electrical stimulation. The vertebral portions of the first and/or second rib on the right side was removed to expose the stellate ganglia; the inferior cardiac postganglionic branch of the ganglia was then isolated retropleurally. A monophasic recording was made from the cut central end of the ganglia. A monophasic recording was made at depths of 3 and 4.5 mm from the dorsal medullary surface were used as landmarks for placement of the micropipette in LTF, RVLM, CVLM, or NTS. All injections were made bilaterally. We targeted regions of the LTF, RVLM, and CVLM, where we have identified neurons whose naturally occurring activity is correlated to SND in baroreceptor-innervated and -derenervated cats (3–6, 9). We targeted the region of the NTS where pulse-synchronous neuronal activity can be recorded in baroreceptor-innervated cats (29). The micropipette was positioned into LTF 2 and 3 mm rostral to the obex and 2.8 mm lateral to the midline; microinjections were made at depths of 3 and 4.5 mm from the dorsal surface. The micropipette was positioned into RVLM 4.5 and 5.5 mm rostral to the obex and 3.5 mm lateral to the midline; microinjections were made 4.5 and 5.5 mm from the dorsal surface. The micropipette was positioned into CVLM 1 and 2.5 mm rostral to the obex and 4 mm lateral to the midline; microinjections were made 4.5 and 5.5 mm from the dorsal surface. The micropipette was positioned into the NTS 1.0 mm rostral to the obex and 1.6 mm lateral to the midline, at the level of the obex and 1.4 mm lateral to the midline, and 1.0 mm caudal to the obex and 1 mm lateral to the midline. Microinjections were made at depths of ~1.1 mm from the dorsal surface in each track. The target sites for the injections are marked by asterisks (* in the schematics of medullary cross sections in Fig. 1A.

To reduce the number of animals needed to complete this project, in most cats, the same or a different drug was injected into two or more medullary regions. Additional injections were made a minimum of 30 min after one set of injections and only after allowing time for recovery from the effects (if any) of microinjection of a drug into an area on the sympathoexcitatory responses. NBQX was microinjected into both the LTF and RVLM of four cats and into both the CVLM and NTS of five other cats. D-AP5 was microinjected into both the LTF and RVLM of four cats, into both the LTF and CVLM in another cat, and into both the CVLM and NTS of two cats. Muscimol was microinjected into both the LTF and RVLM of one cat, into the
CVLM, NTS, and RVLM of two cats, and into both the CVLM and NTS of another cat. The order of injections was random with the exception that microinjection of muscimol into the RVLM was always a final injection. In some cases, a second drug was injected into the same medullary region after waiting at least 1 h. Both NBQX and D-AP5 were microinjected into the LTF of one cat, into the RVLM of two cats, into the CVLM of two cats, and into the NTS of two cats. Both NBQX and muscimol were injected into the CVLM or NTS of two cats. Importantly, the effects of microinjection of a drug into an area were not affected by a previous injection of a drug into the same or a different area.

**Data processing.** Data were acquired using a Digidata1322A digitizer (Axon Instruments; Union City, CA) at a 200-Hz sampling rate. Datapac software (RUN Technologies; Mission Viejo, CA) was used to construct an average of the sympathetic nerve responses to 45 short trains of stimuli applied to sympathetic afferent fibers in the CN and SN. ASCII files of the evoked responses were saved for transfer to spreadsheet, graphics, and statistical programs (GraphPad Prism version 5.03 for Windows and GraphPad Instat, GraphPad Software, San Diego CA). A macro written in Microsoft Excel was used to measure the area under the curve of the evoked response (i.e., the area above the dotted horizontal black line in Fig. 2A); this was used as an index of the magnitude of the sympato-sympathetic reflex.

Fast Fourier transform of SND was performed on 2-min data blocks (47 5-s windows of data with 50% overlap) with a 5-ms sampling interval. Details of the methods used to construct autospectra of SND can be found in other reports from this laboratory (8, 22). In the context of the current study, these data were used primarily to confirm the results of past studies (5, 7, 9, 10, 32), in which we quantified the effects of microinjection of these drugs into the medulla on basal SND of baroreceptor-denervated cats.

**Experimental protocol.** The protocol used in these studies was as follows. We collected control data blocks of responses to trains of stimuli to CN and/or SN afferent fibers, as well as baseline SND and blood pressure. A second set of control stimulations were collected 15 min later to show that the responses were stable. The micropipette was then positioned into one of the medullary regions, and a complete set of injections was made on the left and right sides. Test data blocks were collected 5–10 min after completing the microinjection of a drug into a medullary region. We waited 5–10 min to quantify the effects of these drugs on the sympato-sympathetic reflex because the maximum changes in basal SND produced by microinjection of excitatory amino acid receptor antagonists or muscimol into the medulla. A: schematics of medullary cross sections with asterisks (*) marking target sites around which these injections were made into the lateral tegmental field (LTF), rostral and caudal ventrolateral medulla (RVLM, CVLM), and the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS). Although only the left side of the medulla is shown, injections were placed symmetrically on the left and right sides. -1 to +5.5 refer to approximate distance (in mm) from the obex according to the stereotaxic atlas of Berman (12). B: representative histological sections showing the track made with the pipette used for injections into the NTS, CVLM, LTF, and RVLM of four cats. A, nucleus ambiguus; IO, inferior olive; Py, pyramid; 5Sp and 5St, spinal trigeminal nucleus and tract. Scale bar: 1 mm.
Fixed in 10% buffered formalin. Frontal sections (40–60 μm) of the brain stem were then removed and determined whether there was a spinal component to the sympathetic reflex (38–40). The brain stem was then removed and determined whether there was a spinal component to the sympathetic reflex, data were analyzed at steady-state level (7, 10, 32, 35). If the drug altered the sympathoexcitatory response in the inferior cardiac nerve elicited by stimulation of left CN afferent fibers were 53 ± 1 ms and 85 ± 1 ms (n = 54), respectively. The corresponding values for stimulation of left SN afferent fibers were 52 ± 1 ms and 79 ± 1 ms (n = 53). These values are the composite data for all control stimulations (before microinjection of a drug into a medullary region) during the course of this study. Except as noted below, these values were not significantly changed by microinjection of drugs into the medulla.

At the start of most experiments, we recorded blood pressure responses to high-frequency (40 Hz) stimulation of the cut central ends of the CN and SN to ensure that the afferent fibers were located in mediating cardiovascular responses. We used the same stimulus intensity and duration as used for the short trains of stimuli. High-frequency stimulation of CN and SN significantly (P < 0.001; n = 19) increased MAP from 99 ± 4 to 128 ± 5 mmHg and from 100 ± 4 to 145 ± 7 mmHg, respectively.

Effects of microinjection of EAA receptor antagonists or muscimol into LTF on sympatho-sympathetic reflexes. We studied the effects of bilateral microinjection of NBQX into the LTF on the sympathoexcitatory responses in the right inferior cardiac nerve to short trains of stimuli applied to afferent fibers in the left CN (n = 5) or left SN (n = 4). As shown in Fig. 2, A and B, the sympathoexcitatory responses were nearly identical before (black traces) and after microinjection of the non-NMDA receptor antagonist (solid gray traces). However, they were eliminated by subsequent cervical spinal cord transaction (dashed gray traces). As summarized in Fig. 3A, bilateral microinjection of NBQX into the LTF did not significantly change the area under the curve of the sympathoexcitatory responses elicited by stimulation of either CN or SN afferent fibers.

We studied the effects of bilateral microinjection of D-AP5 into the LTF on the sympatho-sympathetic reflex induced by stimulation of CN (n = 5) or SN (n = 6) afferent fibers. Fig. 4A shows an example in which the sympathoexcitatory response to CN stimulation was similar before (solid black trace) and after bilateral microinjection of D-AP5 into the LTF (gray trace). As
summarized in Fig. 3A, the area under the curve of the sympathoexcitatory response was not significantly affected by microinjection of the NMDA receptor antagonist into the LTF.

As a final test to determine whether LTF neurons contribute to the sympatho-sympathetic reflex, we tested the effects of chemical inactivation of this region on the responses to CN or SN stimulation. As summarized in Fig. 3A, bilateral microinjection of muscimol into the LTF did not significantly change the area under the curve of the sympathoexcitatory responses to CN and SN stimulation. An example of the persistence of the sympathoexcitatory response to SN stimulation is shown in Fig. 5B; note that the response was eliminated by subsequent cervical spinal cord transaction (dashed gray trace). Although not shown, microinjection of vehicle (saline) into the LTF also did not affect the area under the curve of the sympathoexcitatory responses to CN (101 ± 6% of control, n = 3) or SN (98 and 102% of control; n = 2) stimulation.

Effects of microinjection of EAA receptor antagonists or muscimol into RVLM on sympatho-sympathetic reflexes. Figure 5A shows data from one of the 5 cats, in which the response in the right inferior cardiac nerve to short trains of stimuli applied to the left SN was recorded before (solid black trace) and after bilateral microinjection of NBQX into the RVLM (gray trace). In this example, the area under the curve of the sympathoexcitatory response was reduced to ~25% of control after the injection; the time to peak of the sympathoexcitatory response was increased from 70 to 85 ms. As was typically the case, the positive potential was reduced in parallel to the excitatory response. The area under the curve of the sympathoexcitatory response was ~88% of control 45 min after microinjection of NBQX (dashed black trace); the time to peak of the response also recovered.

As summarized in Fig. 3B, bilateral microinjection of NBQX into the RVLM significantly reduced the area under the curve of the sympathoexcitatory responses elicited by stimulation of afferent fibers in CN (P = 0.0110; n = 6) or SN (P = 0.0131; n = 5). Although there was not a significant change in the onset latency of sympathoexcitatory response, the time to peak of the response to stimulation of CN was significantly increased from 83 ± 3 to 95 ± 5 ms (P = 0.0042; n = 5). The time to peak of the response to SN stimulation was also significantly increased from 81 ± 5 to 93 ± 7 ms (P = 0.0052; n = 4). In one cat, NBQX essentially eliminated the evoked responses; thus, the number of animals is reduced by one for these comparisons.

The NMDA receptor antagonist, d-AP5, was microinjected bilaterally into the RVLM in 5 cats in which sympatho-sympathetic reflexes were elicited by stimulation of CN and SN. Figure 5B shows an example of the response to stimulation of CN afferent fibers before (solid black trace) and after microinjection of d-AP5 (gray trace). Although the area under the curve (97% of control) was essentially unaffected, the time to peak was delayed by 10 ms. The response was eliminated by subsequent transection of the cervical spinal cord (Fig. 5B, dashed black trace). The effect of microinjection of d-AP5 into the RVLM on the magnitude of the sympathoexcitatory response to CN and SN stimulation was variable. The area under the curve of the CN stimulus-induced response was only ~60% of control in 2 of 5 cases after microinjection of d-AP5 into the RVLM; likewise, the SN stimulus-induced response was only 55–75% of control in 3 of 5 cases. However, on a group basis, microinjection of the NMDA receptor antagonist into the RVLM did not significantly affect the magnitude of the evoked responses to CN or SN stimulation (Fig. 3B). Nonetheless, there was a modest, but significant (P = 0.0339), prolongation in the time to the peak of the sympathoexcitatory response elicited by CN stimulation from 90 ± 7 to 98 ± 6 ms. This was not the case for the responses to SN stimulation.

Muscimol was microinjected bilaterally into the RVLM in cats in which we stimulated afferent fibers in the CN (n = 4) or SN (n = 5). As summarized in Fig. 3B, microinjection of the GABA agonist significantly (P < 0.0001) decreased the area under the curve of the sympathoexcitatory responses to CN or SN stimulation. In fact, the responses were essentially obliter-
ated in most cases. Figure 5C shows data from one of only three experiments in which there was a bimodal peak in the sympathoexcitatory response to stimulation of a sympathetic afferent. Note that the late component of the excitatory response to SN stimulation was abolished by chemical inactivation of RVLM (gray trace), and the early component persisted even after cervical spinal cord transection (dashed gray trace). The spinal component of the response was not included in the assessment of the area under the curve of the response. Although not shown, microinjection of saline into the RVLM of three cats did not affect the area under the curve of the sympathoexcitatory responses to stimulation of CN (102 ± 7% of control) or SN (97 ± 8% of control).

Fig. 5C shows the absence of an effect of bilateral microinjection of d-AP5 into the RVLM on the CN stimulus-induced sympathoexcitatory response (dashed black line) in the same cat in which d-AP5 had been injected into the LTF 30 min earlier.

Fig. 5. Effects of microinjection of drugs into the RVLM or cervical spinal cord transection on the sympatho-sympathetic reflex recorded in the right inferior cardiac nerve. A: response to left SN stimulation (0.5 mA) before (black trace) and 5 min after spinal cord transection (dashed gray trace). B: response to left CN stimulation (0.5 mA) before (black trace) and after (solid gray trace) microinjection of muscimol into RVLM and 5 min after spinal cord transection (dashed gray trace). C: response to left CN stimulation (0.5 mA) before (black trace) and after (solid gray trace) microinjection of muscimol into RVLM and 5 min after spinal cord transection (dashed gray trace). Vertical calibration, 50 μV (A) and 25 μV (B).
Effects of microinjection of EAA receptor antagonists or muscimol on total power in SND and MAP. Table 1 summarizes the effects of bilateral microinjection of EAA receptor antagonists or muscimol into the four medullary regions on MAP and total power in the autospectrum of the right inferior cardiac SND. Microinjection of either NBQX or muscimol but not D-AP5 into the LTF significantly reduced total power in SND and MAP. Microinjection of each of the three drugs into the RVLM or CVLM significantly reduced total power in SND and MAP. Neither SND nor MAP was affected by microinjection of any of the drugs into the NTS. These data corroborate some of the findings from other studies in which EAA receptor antagonists or muscimol were injected into the medulla of baroreceptor-denervated cats (7, 10, 32). Microinjection of saline into the LTF or RVLM did not affect SND or MAP. Earlier work from his laboratory (5, 7, 9–11, 31, 32, 35) has also shown that microinjection of saline into the LTF, RVLM, or CVLM does not affect SND or MAP in baroreceptor-denervated cats.

DISCUSSION

The major new finding of the current study is that, among four major medullary nuclei involved in cardiovascular control, only the RVLM is a critical synaptic station within sympatho-sympathetic reflex pathways. This was evidenced by marked attenuation of the area under the curve and a prolongation in the time to peak of the sympathetic excitatory responses to short trains of stimuli applied to afferent fibers in the CN and SN after bilateral microinjection of NBQX or muscimol into the RVLM of baroreceptor-denervated and vagotomized cats. In contrast, these responses were not significantly affected by microinjection of EAA receptor antagonists or muscimol into the LTF, CVLM, or NTS. These data were counter to our hypothesis that the LTF is involved in mediating sympatho-sympathetic reflexes.

Certainly, it was not surprising to find that the RVLM is a major synaptic relay station within the sympatho-sympathetic reflex pathways originating from afferents in upper (CN) and middle/lower (SN) spinal thoracic levels. As pointed out by Guyenet (21), neurons in the RVLM “are a nodal point for most, if not all, sympathetic reflexes that involve cardiovascular targets ….” Guo et al. (20) showed that the increases in SND and MAP elicited by epicardial application of bradykinin were significantly attenuated by microinjection of an inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase into the RVLM of cats. To our knowledge, prior to the current study, no one had directly evaluated the role of EAA receptors in the RVLM in mediating the response to activation of afferents in the CN; however, as discussed below, a study by Zhou et al. (45) studied the effects of blockade of EAA receptors in the RVLM on the pressor response to chemical activation of the gallbladder. On the basis of data from the current study, we conclude that sympatho-sympathetic reflexes initiated by activation of both CN and SN afferent fibers are dependent primarily on non-NMDA receptors within the RVLM.

Afferent fibers in the CN and SN relay information from both mechanically and chemically sensitive receptors in the heart (16, 28, 39) and gastrointestinal tract and gallbladder (15, 18, 39), respectively. These afferent fibers contribute to cardiovascular control under at least pathophysiological (e.g., myocardial or abdominal ischemia) conditions (15, 16, 18, 28). Activation (either “natural” or electrical) of these afferent fibers often leads to an increase in efferent sympathetic nerve activity and consequently MAP (1, 17, 19, 20, 23–27, 29, 37–45) in cats and rats. In the current study, we chose to use electrical stimulation of CN and SN afferent fibers because it provided a robust and stable sympathoexcitatory response that was easily quantified. We selected parameters of stimulation that elicited a maximal response in the right inferior cardiac nerve and a prominent pressor response during high-frequency stimulation that was comparable to that reported by others who used chemicals (e.g., bradykinin or capsaicin) or distension to activate cardiac, gastrointestinal, or gallbladder receptors (1, 17, 19, 20, 23, 25–27, 37).

The use of computer-averaged evoked responses in sympathetic nerves was popularized in the late 1960s for studies of somato-sympathetic (see Ref. 38) and sympatho-sympathetic reflexes (40). Computer averaging diminishes the influence of spontaneous fluctuations in SND. Another advantage of averaging the response to short trains of stimuli separated by 2 s vs. using continuous high-frequency stimulation is that the evoked response is readily isolated from any stimulus artifact. Also, one can easily separate spinal and supraspinal components of the evoked response based on differences in onset latency and subsequent spinal cord transection. The peak of the evoked response reflects the synchronous activation of the fibers in the sympathetic nerve and thus the synchronous firing of the RVLM spinal nerves. In our experiments, microinjection of NBQX or D-AP5 into the RVLM prolonged the time to the peak of the evoked response to stimu-

Table 1. Effects of microinjection of NBQX, D-AP5, muscimol, or saline into the medulla on sympathetic nerve discharge and mean arterial pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection Site</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Total Power in SND, % of Control</th>
<th>MAP of Control, mmHg</th>
<th>MAP After Injection, mmHg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NBQX, 100 pmol/100 nl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTF</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54 ± 11*</td>
<td>94 ± 10</td>
<td>73 ± 6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVLM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46 ± 6*</td>
<td>92 ± 7</td>
<td>72 ± 9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVLM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71 ± 12*</td>
<td>106 ± 12</td>
<td>84 ± 10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTS</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>105 ± 5</td>
<td>97 ± 5</td>
<td>95 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-AP5, 300 pmol/100 nl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTF</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>79 ± 11</td>
<td>92 ± 5</td>
<td>90 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVLM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55 ± 17*</td>
<td>99 ± 5</td>
<td>78 ± 5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVLM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>73 ± 1*</td>
<td>112 ± 5</td>
<td>90 ± 3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>102 ± 9</td>
<td>92 ± 5</td>
<td>95 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscimol, 1 nmol/100 nl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTF</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57 ± 3*</td>
<td>109 ± 6</td>
<td>91 ± 6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVLM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 ± 2*</td>
<td>96 ± 9</td>
<td>65 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVLM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>67 ± 11*</td>
<td>87 ± 9</td>
<td>79 ± 8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>94 ± 3</td>
<td>95 ± 8</td>
<td>87 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9% saline, 100 nl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTF</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>102 ± 6</td>
<td>115 ± 4</td>
<td>116 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVLM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>104 ± 8</td>
<td>103 ± 9</td>
<td>101 ± 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as means ± SE; n, number of experiments. NBQX, 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6-nitro-2,3-dioxobenzo-[f]quinoline-7-sulfonamide; D-AP5, D(-)-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid; MAP, mean arterial pressure; LTF, lateral tegmental field; RVLM, rostral ventrolateral medulla; SND, sympathetic nerve discharge; CVLM, caudal ventrolateral medulla; NTS, nucleus of the tractus solitarius. *Significantly different than control (P ≤ 0.05; paired t-test).
luation of CN and/or SN afferent fibers. This implies that these EAA receptor antagonists altered the excitability of RVLM neurons to delay their synchronous firing.

Few studies have entertained the question of which medul-

lary regions contain neurons that are essential elements of sympa-tho-sympathetic reflex loops. Guo et al. (19) reported that activation of cardiac sympathetic afferent fibers by epica-
drial application of bradykinin was accompanied by an increase in c-Fos immunoreactivity in NTS, LTF, CVLM, and RVLM neurons in cats. Also, electrical or chemical activation of cardiac sympathetic afferent fibers has been shown to excite neurons in the RVLM (13, 20, 24, 42, 44), CVLM (13, 44), NTS (29, 41, 43), and LTF (13, 43) in cats and rats. Zhou et al. (45) reported that chemical stimulation of the gallbladder increased c-Fos immunoreactivity and glutamate release in the RVLM. Also, electrical stimulation of the SN has been shown to activate neurons in the RVLM (41, 45), CVLM (33, 34), and NTS (2) in cats and rats. Thus, there was reason to expect that neurons in any one of these areas might contribute to the sympathoexcitatory response elicited by electrical activation of afferent fibers in the CN or SN.

With the exception of a study by Li et al. (23), in which blockade of non-NMDA receptors in the commissural region of the NTS prevented the increases in renal SND and blood pressure produced by epicardial application of capsaicin in rats anesthetized with a mixture of chloralose and pentobarbital, we are unaware of other studies that tested the effect of disrupting neurotransmission in the medulla on the reflex responses to activation of cardiac sympathetic afferent fibers. We did not specifically target the commissural region of the NTS in this study, as we injected drugs into the region of the NTS where blockade of non-NMDA receptors disrupts the baroreceptor reflex (31, 36) and where neurons with pulse-synchronous activity have been identified in baroreceptor-innervated cats (29). Nonetheless, injection volumes of 100 nl 1 mm caudal to the obex and 1 mm lateral to the midline in the current study might be expected to spread to the commissural region of the NTS (30). Our failure to alter the response to electrical stimulation of CN afferent fibers by microinjection of drugs in the NTS might mean that there is a species difference regarding the role of this region in mediating sympatho-sympathetic reflexes. One might also argue that the use of a mixture of diallylbarbiturate and urethane in our experiments interfered with the role of the NTS in mediating sympatho-sympathetic reflexes. However, several studies (3, 4, 11, 22, 29, 35) show that this anesthetic regimen does not hinder the ability of NTS to mediate other reflexes (e.g., baroreceptor, Bezold-Jarisch, and lung-inflation).

Zhou et al. (45) reported that unilateral microinjection of either an NMDA or a non-NMDA receptor antagonist into the RVLM nearly abolished the pressor response to application of bradykinin to the serosal surface of the gallbladder in cats. Our data offer some support for their finding in that microinjection of NBQX into the RVLM markedly attenuated the sympatho-excitatory response to electrical stimulation of sympathetic afferents. We also saw a modest but significant delay in the time to peak of the sympathoexcitatory response to stimulation of CN (but not SN) afferent fibers after microinjection of d-AP5 into the RVLM. Although in some cases, the magnitude of the sympathoexcitatory response to CN or SN stimulation was attenuated by microinjection of this NMDA receptor antagonist into the RVLM, on a group basis, it was not significantly affected. Thus, we did not identify a significant role for NMDA receptors in the RVLM in mediating sympatho-sympathetic reflexes. NMDA receptors in the RVLM may be critical only for mediating effects due to activation of chemosensitive receptors in the gallbladder. Perhaps this group of afferents accounted for only a small component of the sympathoexcitation elicited by electrical stimulation of the SN.

Another difference between our study and that of Zhou et al. (45) may be the location of the microinjections. In contrast to the study by Zhou et al. (45), microinjection of EAA receptor antagonists into the RVLM in our experiments significantly reduced SND and MAP in agreement with earlier work (7, 10). We targeted the area of the RVLM where we have extensive experience with the identification of neurons with activity correlated to SND that project directly to the thoracic intermediolateral cell column (3, 4, 6). Another difference in the two studies is the anesthetic; Zhou et al. (45) used chloralose, and we used a mixture of diallylbarbiturate and urethane. Perhaps the role played by a particular EAA receptor subtype in mediating a reflex can be enhanced or suppressed depending on the type of anesthesia used.

Electrical stimulation of sympathetic afferents have been shown to elicit reflexes that have both spinal and supraspinal components (38–40). Failure to affect the area under the curve of the sympathoexcitatory responses by microinjection of EAA receptor antagonists or muscimol into the LTF, CVLM, or NTS cannot be explained by persistence of a spinal reflex as the sympathoexcitatory responses that we quantified were routinely eliminated by spinal cord transection. Indeed, we only rarely saw a spinal component to the sympatho-sympathetic reflex response in the right inferior cardiac nerve during stimulation of afferent fibers in the left CN or left SN.

The reduction in the sympathoexcitatory responses to either CN or SN after microinjection of NBQX or muscimol into the RVLM cannot be attributed to the decrease in baseline level of SND as the sympatho-sympathetic reflex responses were unaffected despite marked reductions in baseline levels of SND after microinjection of one or more drugs into the LTF or CVLM or after microinjection of d-AP5 into the RVLM.

As is commonly seen with stimulation of visceral and somatic afferents (32, 38, 40), short trains of three pulses elicited a stereotypical response that included an initial excitation followed by a period of reduced activity (positive potential). This latter phase is referred to as postexcitatory depression or a “silent period” and signifies that afferent stimulation induces both excitatory and inhibitory influences on the sympathetic nervous system (38). Since microinjection of NBQX into the RVLM reduced the magnitude of the positive potential in parallel to that of the excitatory response, this component of the response primarily may have simply reflected the recovery process of postganglionic neurons following their activation.

**Perspectives and Significance**

The original intent of this study was to gain insight into the role of the LTF in mediating excitatory sympatho-sympathetic reflexes. Data from past work from this laboratory (11, 31, 32, 35) raised two questions about the involvement of the LTF in reflex control of SND. Is the LTF an element of all autonomic reflex pathways in which the primary afferent terminates in the NTS? Is
the LTF involved in mediating all visceral-sympathetic but not somato-sympathetic reflexes? On the basis of the data from the current study, the answer to the first question is a “qualified” “Yes”; the answer to the second question is a definitive “No.” The LTF is involved in mediating sympathoexcitatory and sympathoinhibitory responses elicited by natural or electrical activation of afferent fibers in at least two visceral cranial nerves (vagus and glossopharyngeal), which synapse in the NTS (11, 31, 32, 35), but not a somatic cranial nerve (trigeminal), which does not synapse in the NTS (32). The LTF does not mediate reflexes elicited by activation of somatic (sciatric) or visceral (SN, CN) afferent fibers that synapse in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord (32, current study). It seems that the LTF is an element only within those reflex pathways, in which the first synapse is in the NTS; however, there may be some reflexes that are relayed through the NTS but have not yet been examined as to whether they also have a synapse in the LTF. Another common feature of the visceral reflexes that require the LTF is that they are active under physiological conditions (e.g., baroreceptor, lung inflation, and chemoreceptor reflexes). There is less evidence that CN and SN afferent fibers contribute remarkably to setting basal levels of SND and blood pressure.
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