Effect of the estrous cycle and surgical ovariectomy on energy balance, fuel utilization, and physical activity in lean and obese female rats
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Giles ED, Jackman MR, Johnson GC, Schedin PJ, Houser JL, MacLean PS. Effect of the estrous cycle and surgical ovariectomy on energy balance, fuel utilization, and physical activity in lean and obese female rats. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 299: R1634–R1642, 2010. First published October 6, 2010; doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00219.2010.—This study presents an in-depth analysis of the effects of obesity on energy balance (EB) and fuel utilization in adult female rats, over the estrous cycle and immediately after surgical ovariectomy (OVX), to model pre- and postmenopausal states, respectively. Female Wistar rats were fed a high-fat (46%) diet for 16 wk to produce mature lean and obese animals. Stage of estrus was identified by daily vaginal lavage, while energy intake (EI), total energy expenditure (TEE), and fuel utilization were monitored in a multichamber indirect calorimeter and activity was monitored by infrared beam breaks. Metabolic monitoring studies were repeated during the 3-wk period of rapid OVX-induced weight gain. Component analysis of TEE was performed to determine the nonresting and resting portions of energy expenditure. Obesity was associated with a greater fluctuation in EI across the estrous cycle. Cycling obese rats were less active, expended more energy per movement, and oxidized more carbohydrate than lean rats. The changes in EB over the cycle in lean and obese rats were driven by changes in EI. Finally, OVX induced a large positive energy imbalance in obese and lean rats. This resulted primarily from an increase in EI in both groups, with little change in TEE following OVX. These observations reveal a dominant effect of obesity on EB, fuel utilization, and activity levels in cycling rats, which has implications for studies focused on obesity and EB in female rodents.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Experimental design. Female Wistar rats (126–165 g body wt, 5 wk of age; Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) were housed in the University of Colorado Denver Center for Comparative
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Measurements acquired every 16 min over the 24-h period using the Weir disappearance. Subsequent 2 wk of OVX-induced weight gain (OVX-Gain). Urine or 7 days post-OVX. The animals were then monitored over the 3 kcal) was identified as the EB day (OVX-EB). On day of calorimetry following OVX during which intake and expenditure (V˙CO2) from each chamber every 16 min (27, 36). The chambers system allows for the continuous monitoring of up to eight rats, respectively, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Urinary nitro- gen was estimated from measurements of urea and creatinine in 24-h urine collections, as previously described (34, 36).

Statistical analysis. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA (obesity, cycle day, obesity-cycle day interaction), with planned comparisons between lean and obese groups, using SPSS software version 17.0. Cycling sham and pre-OVX data were combined, as there were no differences between the two groups in any of the measured parameters. For some parameters, the data were further analyzed by analysis of covariance to adjust for the variation due to specified covariates. Significance was set at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Morphometrics. Morphometric characteristics of intact (cycling) and OVX animals are shown in Table 1. When entering in to the study period, obese rats had a higher percentage of body fat (P < 0.001) and weighed ~20% more than lean rats (P < 0.001). This greater body weight was due to a 35% higher fat mass and a 12% higher fat-free mass (P < 0.001 for both).
In response to OVX surgery, lean and obese animals experienced a brief period of weight loss. By 5 days post-OVX, all animals began to gain weight. Using this experimental paradigm, we previously showed that OVX induces rapid weight gain for ~3 wk; then the rate of weight gain returns to sham or pre-OVX levels (33). In the present study, animals were studied during this critical 3-wk window of rapid weight gain to determine whether OVX-induced weight gain is due to changes in EI, EE, or both. Although we did not follow the animals long enough for their total body weight to significantly surpass their pre-OVX weight, we did see a significant increase in the rate of weight gain in the lean and obese groups following OVX ($P < 0.001$).

**EB.** Daily EI, TEE, and EB (calculated as $E = TEE$) throughout the estrous cycle and following OVX are depicted in Fig. 1. Obese and lean rats exhibited a positive energy imbalance (EI $> TEE$) during D1, D2, and P and shifted to negative energy imbalance during E (EI $< TEE$; Fig. 1A). The pattern of this cycle-dependent change in EB was significantly different in lean and obese rats ($P = 0.021$). Most notably, the range of EB across the cycle was much wider for the obese (from +17 to −10 kcal/day) than lean (+13 to −3 kcal/day) animals. On D1 and D2, lean and obese rats exhibited a similar positive energy imbalance. As the animals progressed to P, obese rats maintained this level of positive imbalance, while the caloric excess in the lean rats decreased significantly ($P = 0.046$). Finally, during E, both groups exhibited a negative energy imbalance, and this imbalance was significantly greater in the obese group ($P = 0.047$). Rats that underwent OVX were examined in EB, prior to any OVX-induced weight gain (OVX-EB). This allowed us to examine the impact of OVX on EB, without the complication that increased food intake and increased body weight would impart on these measurements during OVX-induced weight gain. After OVX, lean and obese animals were in significant positive imbalance (27 and 25 kcal/day excess, respectively), with no difference between groups.

**EI.** The fluctuations in EB through the cycle were driven primarily by changes in EI (Fig. 1B). In lean and obese cycling rats, EI varied significantly according to day of estrous cycle ($P < 0.001$), with EI reaching its peak during diestrous (D1 in lean rats and D2 in obese rats) and its lowest during E. In obese rats, EI was higher during D2 and P ($P < 0.05$ for both) and range of mean intakes was wider than in lean rats across the cycle (25 vs. 14 kcal/day). After OVX surgery, EI increased significantly in both groups ($P < 0.001$), reaching levels beyond the highest intake in cycling animals. Given that, across the estrous cycle, the average EI tended to be higher in the obese animals ($P = 0.096$) and that obese and lean animals did not differ in their intake following OVX, it would appear that the impact of OVX on altering food intake may be more substantial in the lean than obese animals. While this difference did not reach statistical significance, there was a trend for a greater increase in intake from pre- to post-OVX in the lean animals ($P = 0.061$).

**TEE.** The cycle itself had no impact on TEE; however, cycling obese rats expended more energy than their lean counterparts (Fig. 1C; $P < 0.001$). This can be explained primarily by differences between the two groups on D1 ($P = 0.037$), D2 ($P = 0.003$), and E ($P = 0.034$). In general, fluctuations in TEE throughout the cycle were smaller (~4 kcal/day range) than the fluctuation in EI (~25 kcal/day range). Similarly, the impact of OVX on TEE (assessed in OVX-EB rats) was negligible, while EI was increased by >15 kcal/day in response to OVX.

**Component analysis of TEE: REE and NREE.** TEE was separated into its resting (REE; Fig. 2A) and nonresting (NREE; Fig. 2B) components. As expected given their higher body weight and lean body mass, REE was greater in obese rats across the cycle ($P < 0.001$). Differences in REE between lean and obese rats are often attributed to differences in body composition; however, the higher REE in the obese rats remained significant after statistical adjustment for fat-free mass ($P < 0.001$). REE did not change significantly over the days of the estrous cycle, although REE was higher during E than P ($P = 0.043$). After OVX, REE increased in the lean group to match REE in the obese group. In contrast to REE, NREE was similar for lean and obese rats across the estrous cycle and decreased following OVX in both groups ($P = 0.002$; Fig. 2B).
Given the greater body weight of obese rats, a similar NREE in lean and obese rats required that activity levels be lower in the obese rats. This was found to be the case, whether examined as total activity (Fig. 3A; $P < 0.001$) or separated into ambulatory activity or nonambulatory activity ($P < 0.001$ for both; data not shown). Obese rats were $40\%$ less active than lean rats across the entire cycle. In both groups, activity also varied across the estrous cycle. Activity was $14\%$ higher.
during E than D1, D2, and P in the lean animals (P = 0.027) and 20% higher in the obese animals (P < 0.001). After OVX, obese rats remained less active than lean rats, and activity in both groups decreased to levels below those observed across the estrous cycle. The fact that lean rats were more active than obese rats, with no difference in NREE, would suggest that the energetic cost of each activity movement is greater in the obese than in the lean animal. Further examination of the relationship between NREE and activity supports this notion. As shown in Fig. 3B, NREE positively correlated with activity in the lean and obese animals. Additionally, the slope of the regression curve for this relationship was significantly greater in the obese than lean animals. Not only does this indicate that the obese animals have a higher energetic cost for each unit of movement, it also demonstrates that this energetic cost increases precipitously as overall activity increases.

**Nonprotein RER.** Calculations of nonprotein RER (NP-RER) are used to estimate which fuels are being oxidized, with 1.0 representing carbohydrate oxidation and 0.7 representing fat oxidation. NP-RER changed significantly over the estrous cycle (Fig. 4A; P < 0.001), reaching its highest values during D1/D2 (enhanced carbohydrate oxidation) and lowest values during E (enhanced fat oxidation). While the pattern of 24-h NP-RER was similar in lean and obese animals, the magnitude of the changes was greater in the obese rats, with a trend for higher NP-RER in the obese animals on D2 (P = 0.081) and significantly higher NP-RER on P (P = 0.031). In general, NP-RER varied primarily as a function of EB (R = 0.744, P < 0.001), but it was also inversely related to activity levels (R = −0.211, P < 0.01). Together, EB and activity levels explained 52% of the variation in NP-RER over the cycle. Notably, NP-RER was similar for lean and obese animals on E, even though the obese rats exhibited a more substantial negative energy imbalance. This provides indirect evidence that lean animals are more prone and/or have a greater capacity to utilize fat under conditions, such as negative EB, that favor the oxidation of this substrate. After OVX, 24-h NP-RER increased in lean and obese animals, with no differences between the two groups. When NP-RER was examined during the dark and light cycles, a similar pattern was observed in lean and obese animals.

**Substrate disappearance.** In EB, substrate disappearance data provide a good reflection of substrate oxidation. Substrate disappearance was averaged over the entire cycle, during which the net energy imbalance was minor (~1 kcal/day) in lean and obese groups. Given this slight energy imbalance, it is important to make the distinction between substrate disappearance and oxidation, as there remains a possibility of metabolite interconversions and/or net retention of de novo synthesized lipid. Carbohydrate, lipid, and protein disappearance across the estrous cycle and following OVX are shown in Fig. 4. In cycling animals, the average carbohydrate disappearance was increased in obese compared with lean animals (P = 0.005). Lipid disappearance did not differ when averaged across the cycle (Fig. 4B); however, it was significantly higher in the obese animals on E (P = 0.048; data not shown). No differences in protein disappearance were identified between lean and obese cycling rats. There were also no differences in substrate disappearance immediately following OVX, when animals were in EB (OVX-EB) (Fig. 4C). In contrast, during rapid, OVX-induced weight gain, protein disappearance was significantly higher in obese than lean animals (Fig. 4D; P = 0.025). Substrate disappearance was correlated with total activity during OVX-induced weight gain. In lean animals, activity levels were correlated with lipid disappearance (R = 0.688, P < 0.001); yet, in obese animals, activity was correlated with carbohydrate disappearance (R = 0.577, P = 0.003).

**Plasma measurements.** Levels of circulating glucose, free fatty acids [nonesterified fatty acids (NEFAs)], cholesterol, TGs, and estradiol are shown in Table 1. Plasma glucose levels did not vary significantly between obese and lean animals; however, after OVX, glucose levels were elevated in both groups (P < 0.001). Similarly, NEFAs did not vary significantly between lean and obese animals, but, after OVX, NEFA
levels decreased in both groups ($P = 0.048$). TGs were the only factor that varied significantly between obese and lean animals, with higher TGs in obese than lean animals ($P = 0.008$). While there was a slight rise in TGs following OVX in both groups, this did not reach statistical significance. Neither obesity nor OVX altered the cholesterol levels. Finally, estradiol levels decreased significantly following OVX, as expected following removal of the ovaries, but we saw no difference between lean and obese animals at the time points measured.

**DISCUSSION**

This study is the first to present an in-depth analysis of the effects of obesity on EB and fuel utilization in adult female rats over the estrous cycle and during weight gain following surgical OVX. In contrast to our original hypothesis, obesity was associated with a greater positive energy imbalance during D2 and P and a more substantial negative energy imbalance during E. The majority of these fluctuations in EB over the cycle in lean and obese rats was driven by changes in EI, rather than EE. Obesity, however, was associated with lower activity levels; yet NREE in the obese animals was similar to NREE in the lean animals, suggesting a higher energetic cost for each movement in obese animals. Obese animals were also more dependent on carbohydrate over the cycle. Finally, OVX induced a large positive energy imbalance in obese and lean rats, increasing EI in the lean animals to match that of the obese animals, while reducing EE in the obese animals to match that in the lean animals. These observations reveal an effect of obesity on EB, fuel utilization, and activity levels over the estrous cycle and with loss of ovarian function, which may have implications for studies focused on obesity and EB in female rodents.

**EI over the cycle: effect of obesity.** Our findings that, during E, rats decreased their EI and increased their activity are consistent with the results of several other studies (4, 9, 17, 49). These cyclical changes in EI are generally thought to be driven primarily by changes in circulating estrogens (4). There are no studies, to our knowledge, that have examined the impact of obesity on estrous-related changes in food intake. The data presented here demonstrate that the decrease in food intake
commonly observed in response to rising estrogen levels is delayed in obese compared with lean animals. We measured plasma estradiol in both groups in D2, when estradiol levels are beginning to rise in preparation for E. While we saw no difference between lean and obese animals, we cannot rule out an effect of obesity on circulating estradiol levels at other stages of the cycle. It is also possible that, with the same hormonal profile, obese animals may be less sensitive to changes in estrogens over the cycle. Further studies with a more focused examination of estradiol’s regulation of EB are needed to delineate the role of estrogens and estrogen sensitivity on obesity’s impact on food intake over the cycle.

**TEE over the cycle: effect of obesity.** Activity levels have often been used as a surrogate for EE, as measuring activity using running wheels is more logistically feasible for many research groups. The vast majority of studies demonstrate that running wheel activity increases during E (1, 9, 17), and we observed the same increase in spontaneous activity levels in the present study. The decreased activity we observed in the obese animals is also consistent with literature on the obesity-prone phenotype (40, 50). From the present observations, however, it is critical to highlight that a lower activity level in the obese animals translated to a similar level of EE for lean and obese animals. Briefly, for a given change in activity, the energetic cost is greater in the obese than lean animals. These findings suggest that, for obesity studies, lower activity levels in obese rats cannot simply be translated to lower levels of EE. However, this assertion needs to be confirmed in a system with a rapid response time and measures of true basal metabolism (in the fasted state) (8, 39).

Few studies have measured EE in rodents across the estrous cycle, and no other studies have examined the impact of obesity on E-related changes in TEE, NREE, and REE. In a study of young, rapidly growing rats (starting at 5 wk of age), TEE did not change with cycle day; however, when animals were given access to running wheels, a decrease in TEE during metestrus (equivalent to D in this study) was observed (1). Our studies did not provide the opportunity for this type of volitional activity but only monitored spontaneous activity in the home cage. It would be interesting to repeat the present study with a running wheel option, as a more substantial impact may be observed in NREE, REE, and TEE. In a separate study, REE (measured over 2 h of the light cycle) was higher in E than in D in a cohort of young adult (<300 g body wt) rats (43). We observed a similar phenomenon, but the impact of this change on overall EB was minimal. In general, TEE remained relatively stable over the cycle, and this appears to be due to the offset cycles of food intake (affecting the thermic effect of food) and physical activity (affecting activity thermogenesis).

It is important to note that our animals were fed a HF diet for most of their lives. At this level of dietary fat, we previously observed that an acute bout of HF feeding increases TEE, NREE, and REE in obesity-resistant, but not obesity-prone, rats (26), suggesting that a more pronounced effect over the cycle may have been masked by this long-term dietary regimen. Moreover, the component analysis was performed in the ad libitum-fed animal. As such, our estimate of REE is not a measurement of basal metabolism (REE measured in fasted animals); rather, it reflects the average level of EE while the animal is at rest, and usually sleeping. This deviation from basal metabolic measurements becomes particularly apparent during OVX-induced weight gain when animals are overfeeding. During this time, the rats are likely to have a substantial amount of food in their digestive tract throughout the entire day, and REE will include some component of the thermic effect of food.

**EB over the cycle: effect of obesity.** When averaged across their cycle, female rodents in this study exhibited a minor positive energy imbalance. The timing of the fluctuation in EB across the estrous cycle suggests that this is an estrogen-mediated phenomenon, and there is substantial evidence to support this notion (15, 17). Whether the effect of obesity on EB is mediated through estrogens is less clear (22). While we did not see a difference in D2 estradiol levels between lean and obese rats, blood drawn across the entire cycle may have revealed an effect of obesity. Estrogens do not appear to directly alter leptin levels (44); however, their impact on leptin signaling pathways may be influenced by the reduced leptin sensitivity in obese rats (31). The signaling pathways of leptin and estrogens are known to overlap in peripheral tissues (51) and in the hypothalamus (22). It is likely that the impact of obesity on the fluctuation in EB across the cycle is the result of the interplay between estrogens, leptin, and the sensitivity of the hypothalamus (or other tissues) to these hormones.

**Fuel utilization over the cycle: effect of obesity.** The primary determinant of RER is EB, which explains the higher RER in obese rats on D2 and P. However, during E, obese animals are in a much greater negative energy imbalance than lean animals, yet the RER of the two groups is approximately the same. This suggests that the obese animals may have an impaired ability to oxidize fat when faced with a metabolic state that typically ramps up the mobilization and utilization of fat for energy (a negative energy imbalance). Obesity is known to be associated with an impaired ability to regulate fat during metabolic stress, a characteristic that has been referred to as metabolic inflexibility (21). This characteristic of obesity, when overlaid on the changes in EB over the estrous cycle, may contribute to the obese phenotype. Over time, more ingested fat will be retained in adipose tissue, while carbohydrate will provide a greater portion of the substrate for energy production. This preferential use of carbohydrate for energy needs while fat is stored is an energetically efficient way of gaining weight (18, 48).

**Surgical OVX: effects on EI, TEE, and EB.** Our study is consistent with overwhelming evidence that OVX induces weight gain. In general, the relative contribution of changing EI or EE to create this energy imbalance may vary with species or model, but the impact on EB is the same. While some studies explain the weight gain as an OVX-induced suppression of EE (46), others report that most of the difference is due to increased food intake (54). As stated previously, the decline in EE from reduced activity levels may be masked by an increased thermic effect of food associated with the hyperphagia and/or an increased basal energy requirement from the gained weight. These opposing effects on the components of TEE are often overlooked in the interpretation of data in the post-OVX state and may explain why the OVX-induced decline in activity is not always accompanied by a decrease in TEE.

In previous studies, we observed that the OVX-induced period of rapid weight gain lasts ~3 wk and that feed efficiency was lower for obese rats (33). Eventually, the obese and lean rats gained a similar amount of weight, but during this
transient period, it appeared that the energy imbalance and the rate of weight gain were blunted in obese rats. Obesity from forced overfeeding resulted in a similar delay in OVX-induced weight gain (41), and we tended to see the same phenomenon in the present study. It is possible to speculate that OVX-induced leptin insensitivity could play a role in this delayed weight gain in the obese animals; however, evidence for such a mechanism is equivocal (13–15). We would hypothesize that this delayed rate of weight gain reflects an impaired ability of obese animals to clear and store the excess energy (33). The higher levels of circulating nutrients may feed back through known nutrient-sensing systems to attenuate their drive to overeat. Insulin resistance is associated with an impaired ability to adjust metabolism in response to metabolic challenges, such as fasting, exercise, and overfeeding (20, 21, 28, 29). After OVX, this 3-wk period of chronic overfeeding undoubtedly represents a substantial metabolic challenge. The lack of overfeeding-induced suppression of NEFAs and higher TG levels in obese animals would suggest this as a plausible explanation, but a more thorough examination of metabolism during this time with in vivo tracers is required to characterize the response of obese rats to the metabolic challenge of OVX.

**Perspectives and Significance**

While the estrous cycle adds a level of complexity in conducting studies in female rodents, many research questions can be addressed only through studying female animals. The results of this study highlight the importance of awareness of the stage of the estrous cycle when designing preclinical studies in female animals, particularly where design or outcomes include EB, obesity, and physical activity. In the present study, we observed three additional aspects of the obese phenotype in females rats, which may play a relevant role in health and disease. 1) Despite lower activity levels, the obese rats essentially expended the same amount of energy as the lean rats. 2) Obese rats exhibited a more dramatic fluctuation between the extremes of EB throughout the estrous cycle. 3) Obese rats tend to have a blunted OVX-induced energy imbalance, which explains, in part, previous observations of lower feed efficiency and delayed weight gain after OVX (33, 41). We suspect that the relative contributions of EI or TEE to the changes over the cycle or in response to OVX may vary with diet, housing conditions, strain, or species or even between individuals but that the overall impact of obesity on inducing positive energy imbalance is likely to prove more consistent.
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