HIF-1α response to hypoxia is functionally separated from the glucocorticoid stress response in the in vitro regenerating human skeletal muscle
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Pirkmajer S, Filipovic D, Mars T, Mis K, Grubic Z. HIF-1α response to hypoxia is functionally separated from the glucocorticoid stress response in the in vitro regenerating human skeletal muscle. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 299: R1693–R1700, 2010. First published October 13, 2010; doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00133.2010.—Injury of skeletal muscle is followed by muscle regeneration in which new muscle tissue is formed from the proliferating mononuclear myoblasts, and by systemic response to stress that exposes proliferating myoblasts to increased glucocorticoid (GC) concentration. Because of its various causes, hypoxia is a frequent condition affecting skeletal muscle, and therefore both processes, which importantly determine the outcome of the injury, often proceed under hypoxic conditions. It is therefore important to identify and characterize in proliferating human myoblasts: 1) response to hypoxia which is generally organized by hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α); 2) response to GCs in which is mediated through the isoforms of glucocorticoid receptors (GRs) and 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (11β-HSDs), and 3) the response to GCs under the hypoxic conditions and the influence of this combination on the factors controlling myoblast proliferation. Using real-time PCR, Western blotting, and HIF-1α small-interfering RNA silencing, we demonstrated that cultured human myoblasts posses both, the HIF-1α-based response to hypoxia, and the GC response system composed of GRα and types 1 and 2 11β-HSDs. However, using combined dexamethasone and hypoxia treatments, we demonstrated that these two systems operate practically without mutual interactions. A seemingly surprising separation of the two systems that both organize response to hypoxic stress can be explained on the evolutionary basis: the phylogenetically older HIF-1α response is a protection at the cellular level, whereas the GC stress response protects the organism as a whole. This necessitates actions, like downregulation of IL-6 secretion and vascular endothelial growth factor, that might not be of direct benefit for the affected myoblasts.

HIF-1α is a transcriptional factor directly controlling several hundred genes encoding proteins that are involved in metabolic adaptation, vascular homeostasis, and some other adaptive processes to hypoxia (26, 37). The HIF-1α response has been demonstrated in practically all tissues, including adult skeletal muscle (3, 26, 34, 56). Additional, more tissue-specific factors, like HIF-2α (25) and HIF-3α (45), have been shown to participate in the HIF-1-organized hypoxic response. A natural antisense version of HIF-1α transcript (aHIF) was also identified and proposed as a key element of a HIF-1α expression negative loop regulation (40, 46).

The cellular response to GCs is regulated by the system composed of the tissue-specific combination of glucocorticoid receptors (GRs) and 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases (11β-HSDs) (1, 42). Two GR isoforms, GRα and GRβ, organize this response; GRα resides in the cytoplasm, acts as a ligand-dependent transcription factor, and is downregulated by increased exposure to GCs (42). GRβ does not bind GC ligands. It has been proposed that it resides in the nucleus where it controls GRα activity either by forming transcriptionally inactive GRα/GRβ heterodimers preventing in this way GRα from binding to the GC response element and/or titrating a coactivator needed by GRα for full transcriptional activity (14, 33). Type 1 (11β-HSD1) is upregulated by increased GC concentration and, although being a bidirectional enzyme, mostly generates active cortisol from inactive cortisone (42, 53). Type 2 (11β-HSD2) has only dehydrogenase activity that inactivates 11β-hydroxyglucocorticoids (corticosterone, cortisol) and in this way confers specificity on less abundant aldosterone to activate mineralocorticoid receptors which bind GCs with practically the same affinity as mineralocorticoids (42).

To understand how regenerating human muscle adapts to hypoxia and how this adaptation interferes with stress response it is necessary to: 1) identify and characterize the HIF-1α response in human myoblasts; 2) identify and characterize...
response to GCs in human myoblasts, and 3) investigate the effects of hypoxia on the GC stress response and the influence of this combination on the factors controlling myoblast proliferation.

The present understanding of the HIF-1α response to hypoxia in human muscle is fragmentary (26) and has not been studied yet in human myoblasts. Also, the reports on the expression of GR isoforms and both types of 11β-HSD in the human muscle are not consistent. For example, Oakely et al. (33) and Pujols et al. (36) demonstrated GRα but failed to find GRβ in the human skeletal muscle, whereas Whorwood et al. (53) and Fruchter et al. (15) reported GC-dependent downregulation of GRα accompanied by upregulation of GRβ and 11β-HSD1 in human myoblasts. Early studies using Northern blotting or conventional RT-PCR did not detect 11β-HSD2 mRNA in skeletal muscle (1, 2, 52); however, Jang et al. (22) demonstrated 11β-HSD2 in the in vivo human muscle and found its mRNA decreased after dexamethasone (Dex) treatment. Induced GRα expression has been demonstrated in hypoxic human proximal tubular cells (23), but the response to GCs is tissue specific, and therefore the response in these cells might not be the same as in human myoblasts.

Here we approached the issues raised above by: 1) following the time course of expression of HIF-1α and αHIF and of HIF-1α downstream targets phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in human myoblasts; their expression was determined under normal and hypoxic conditions and in combination of these with the Dex treatment; the hypoxic response was additionally characterized by studying PGK1 expression after small-interfering RNA (siRNA) silencing of HIF-1α; and 2) determination of the expression levels of GRα, GRβ, 11β-HSD1, and 11β-HSD2 under normal and hypoxic conditions and in combination of these with Dex treatment. We also tested the combined effects of Dex and hypoxia on the IL-6 secretion because IL-6 release from human myoblasts importantly facilitates muscle regeneration by promoting myoblast proliferation (5, 6, 7, 10). In our previous study, we found robust IL-6 secretion from human myoblasts that was strongly inhibited by Dex treatment (35).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Cultured human myoblasts.* All studies reported here were approved by the Ethical Commission at the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Slovenia (permit No: 63/01/99). Muscle cultures were prepared from muscle tissue routinely discarded at orthopedic operations. Donors were free of neuromuscular disease. Muscle tissue was cleaned of connective and adipose tissue, cut to small pieces, and trypsinized at 37°C to release muscle satellite cells. Isolated cells were grown in 100-mm petri dishes (BD Falcon, Franklin Lakes, NJ) in growth medium AdvancedMEM supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS, 0.3% (vol/vol) fungizone, and 0.15% (vol/vol) gentamicin (all obtained from Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) at 37°C in 5% CO2-enriched air at saturation humidity. Concentrations of fungizone (amphotericin B) and gentamicin used in our experiments correspond to 0.75 and 15 μg/ml, respectively, which is below the concentration ranges at which fungizone (24, 47) and gentamicin (11, 17, 41) affect proliferation. Myoblast colonies were selectively trypsinized just before fusion, transferred to 75-cm2 cell culture flasks, and were grown under the same conditions as the primary cultures for two to three more passages, when they were used for experiments.

Experiments were carried out in polystyrene-treated six-well plates (BD Falcon).

*Treatment with Dex and introduction of chemical hypoxia.* Myoblasts were incubated with 1 μM dexamethasone phosphate (Dexamethason; Krka, Nova Mesto, Slovenia) and/or exposed either to hypoxia (1% O2) or to 250 μM CoCl2. O2 (1%) is commonly used as a hypoxic condition in vitro muscle research and corresponds to PO2 of ~7.6 mmHg. Although this level of oxygen is already starting to limit aerobic metabolism (38), it is still compatible with myoblast survival and myogenesis progression (54). Frequently used treatment with CoCl2 is referred to as “chemical hypoxia,” because, like decreased PO2, it also leads to increased expression of HIF-1α and its downstream targets (48, 43). Although its precise mechanism of action is not known, the prolylhydroxylases (PHD 1–3) that regulate HIF-1α expression posttranslationally are probably an important site of CoCl2 action (13, 28, 55).

Hypoxic conditions were established by flushing the Modular Incubator Chamber MC-101 (Billups-Rothenberg, Del Mar, CA) with hypoxic gas mixture (1% O2/5% CO2/94% N2) at 20–25 °C/min for 5 min. To decrease diffusional barrier for gas exchange, 0.1 ml growth medium/cm2 was used when culturing cells in hypoxia. Atmosphere in the Modular Incubator Chamber was at saturation humidity. Chemical hypoxia was introduced by treating myoblasts with 250 μM CoCl2 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO).

*Western blot analysis.* Myoblasts were washed two times with ice-cold PBS and lysed in 200 μl lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 10% (wt/vol) sucrose, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 1% (vol/vol) Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (all obtained from Sigma), pH 7.4). Samples were scraped from the culture plates, and insoluble components were removed by centrifugation (12,000 g, 10 min, at 4°C). Protein content was determined in supernatants by the Bradford protein assay (Thermo Scientific Pierce, Rockford, IL).

Protein samples, prepared in Laemmli buffer, were separated in 10% NuPage Novex Bis-Tris Gel (Invitrogen) by using the XCell SureLock electrophoresis system (Invitrogen) and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Membranes were blocked in blocking buffer (0.2% (wt/vol) 1-Block (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) and 0.3% (vol/vol) Tween 20 (Sigma) prepared in PBS). After an overnight incubation at 4°C with primary antibodies, membranes were incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Sigma). Blots were developed in 2% (vol/vol) NBT/BCIP (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) solution prepared in developing buffer (0.1 M Tris, 0.05 M MgCl2, and 0.1 M NaCl, pH 9.5). Quantifications were performed with the Chemi Genius BioImaging System (Syngem, Cambridge, UK).

We used primary antibodies against GRα (rabbit polyclonal antibody PA1–516, diluted 1:200; ABO-Affinity BioReagents, Rockford, IL), GRβ (rabbit polyclonal antibody PA3–514, diluted 1:200; ABO-Affinity BioReagents), HIF-1α (rabbit polyclonal antibody NB100–449, diluted 1:500; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO), and actin (rabbit polyclonal antibody SC-1616-R, diluted 1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA).

*Quantitative PCR.* Total RNA, extracted with the RNeasy Mini Plus Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), was reverse transcribed with a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed on an ABI PRISM SDS 7500 (Applied Biosystems), using TaqMan chemistry in a 96-well format. We used TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and the following Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems): Hs01005213_m1 (for GRα, see below), Hs00354508_m1 (for GRβ), Hs00194153_m1 (for 11β-HSD1), Hs00388669_m1 (for 11β-HSD2), Hs00936368_m1 (for HIF-1α), 4333765F (for PGK1), Hs00153153_m1 (for aHIF), and Hs00173626_m1 (for VEGF). Gene Expression Assay Hs01005213_m1 can detect both GRα and GRβ, but we established in our preliminary experiments that the GRβ mRNA level is >1,000-fold lower compared with GRα mRNA (data not shown). In these experiments, GRα mRNA was detected by
using 800 nM forward primer (5′-GAAGGAAATCCCAAGGAAA-3′), 800 nM reverse primer (5′-CAGCTAACCTGCGGATATG-3′), and 200 nM sense probe (6FAM-5′-GCTTCCAAAATCATTTTGATAGCCCAT-3′-TAMRA) of NM_000176.2 (29). For all other GRm mRNA analyses reported in this paper, Gene Expression Assay Hs01005213_m1 was used, whereas GRβ mRNA expression was simultaneously evaluated with Hs00354508_m1 (which detects only GRβ mRNA). GRβ mRNA level was measured at least 1,000-fold lower compared with GRx and GRβ mRNA combined, but in most cases the difference was 8,000- to 10,000-fold or more. Reaction efficiency of all the assays was tested by constructing relative standard curves. The slopes of the relative standard curves between target genes and endogenous controls differed by <0.3, and relative (ΔΔCt) quantification was performed to assess expression levels of target genes. Relative quantification was also used for GRβ mRNA, although it was not possible to construct a reliable relative standard curve, since its expression level was very low (usually ΔCt ≥35).

Selection of endogenous controls for qPCR. Both hypoxia and GCs affect a great number of genes, which implies careful selection of standards in qPCR determinations. To avoid artifacts resulting from endogenous control selection, we carried out two sets of experiments where either β-actin (ACTB) or 18S rRNA (4333760F), whose level was relatively stable under different experimental conditions in preliminary experiments (data not shown), were used. Moreover, ACTB was shown to undergo only minor changes in skeletal muscle cells during the first 24 h of hypoxia (50). To confirm that the fall in HIF-1α mRNA after 24 h of hypoxia was not an artifact, we verified that the result in separate experiments using cyclophilin (4333763F) as an additional endogenous control (data not shown).

**HIF-1α knockdown by siRNA.** HIF1A ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool set of four siRNAs (J-004018-07, J-004018-08, J-004018-09, and J-004018-10) (Thermo Scientific Dharmacon RNAi Technologies) Rockford, IL) were used for HIF-1α knockdown experiment. Myoblasts were grown until reaching 50% confluence. A day before transfection, growth medium was replaced by growth medium without transfection solution was removed and replaced by growth medium prepared in serum-free Opti-MEM (Invitrogen). After 24 h, incubation transfection solution was removed and replaced by growth medium. Experiments were performed 48 h after the start of transfection.

**Determination of IL-6 secretion from cultured human myoblasts.** IL-6 secretion from human myoblasts was determined as described previously (35). In brief, an Endogen Human IL-6 ELISA Kit (Thermo Scientific Pierce Endogen) was used. IL-6 concentration was measured in cell culture supernatants and normalized to total cellular protein content (Bradford assay).

**Statistics.** One-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni post hoc test or Dunnett’s test (as appropriate), was performed to test for differences among groups. Results are expressed as means ± SE. If the normal distribution could not be assumed, data were subjected to nonparametric tests (Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by Dunn’s test, or Mann-Whitney U-test). For experiments where two sets of data with two different endogenous controls (ACTB and 18S rRNA) are shown, one-way ANOVA (followed by Bonferroni post hoc test) was used to test for differences within each set of experiments. Statistical analyses were carried out with SPSS 15.0 for Windows and GraphPad Prism 5.

**RESULTS**

Expression of HIF-1α, aHIF, and HIF-1α downstream targets in human myoblasts under hypoxic conditions with or without Dex treatment.

To characterize the hypoxic response in human myoblasts, we followed the time course of HIF-1α expression during 24 h exposure to either hypoxic conditions (1% O2) or 250 μM CoCl2, also referred to as chemical hypoxia, since it also results in an increased HIF-1α level (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). Under both conditions, we found a peak expression level of HIF-1α after 4–6 h of exposure; after 24 h, it practically returned to the control level (Fig. 1A). To test if expressed HIF-1α exerts its effects on the downstream targets, we determined the PGK1 mRNA level in myoblasts 24 h after the onset of hypoxia. This time point was selected because 24 h is the time when, as a result of HIF-1α actions, the level of PGK1 mRNA becomes significantly increased and is also in the time frame of the effects of Dex. We found an approximately sevenfold increase of the PGK1 mRNA level after 24 h of hypoxia accompanied by a decreased level of HIF-1α mRNA to 40% of control (Fig. 1B). The decreased HIF-1α mRNA level reflects the increase in the level of aHIF (Fig. 1B), which downregulates HIF-1α expression (40). To show that PGK1 induction is dependent on HIF-1α expression, we partially silenced HIF-1α expression in myoblasts by siRNA and followed the PGK1 mRNA levels after exposure to hypoxia. The decrease of HIF-1α mRNA to 40% control due to siRNA silencing led to a proportionally stronger decrease of its mRNA under hypoxic conditions (Fig. 2A). HIF-1α mRNA silencing did not affect the PGK1 mRNA level in the normoxic but decreased it in the hypoxic myoblasts (Fig. 2B). The decrease of HIF-1α mRNA due to siRNA silencing (Fig. 2A) resulted in a proportionally lower increase of PGK1 mRNA under hypoxic conditions (Fig. 2B).

To find out if the presence of GCs change or modify the response to hypoxia described above, we determined the expression of HIF-1α under normoxic and hypoxic conditions in combination with the Dex treatment. Treatment of myoblasts with 1 μM Dex for 24 h, which is the time when its effects are
GRβ could be detected only at the mRNA level in our experiments. However, Ct values were in the 35th–40th cycle range, where the reliability of the determination of the specific target mRNA becomes questionable. GRβ mRNA remained unaffected after all treatments: Dex, hypoxia, or combined (Dex + hypoxia) (Fig. 5C). Although using GRβ specific antibodies in our Western experiments, we were not able to detect a band that could reliably be ascribed to GRβ. Western blot is therefore not shown.

Using real-time PCR, we found expression of both 11β-HSD1 and 11β-HSD2 in human myoblasts. Treatment with 1 μM Dex for 24 h under normoxic conditions resulted in the three- to sixfold increase in 11β-HSD1 mRNA (Fig. 5A). We also detected a 50–60% decrease of 11β-HSD2 mRNA after Dex treatment. The difference was significant if ACTB was used as a standard (Fig. 6B). These effects of Dex remained practically unchanged under hypoxic conditions, indicating their resistance to the HIF-1α-induced cellular adaptations to hypoxia (Fig. 5A and B).

**DISCUSSION**

Our results show functional separation between the pathway of HIF-1α-organized adaptation to hypoxia and the mechanisms responsible for the regulation of the GC response. We demonstrated an HIF-1α-mediated response in human myoblasts exposed to hypoxia. Since HIF-1α protein and mRNA expected to be already fully expressed, had no significant effect on the HIF-1α protein level in either normoxic or hypoxic conditions (Fig. 3). The same lack of Dex effect was observed at the HIF-1α mRNA level (Fig. 4A). The downstream effect of HIF-1α on the metabolic target PGK1 mRNA expression (Fig. 4B) also remained unchanged in the Dex-treated myoblasts. In the case of angiogenic target VEGF (Fig. 4C), we observed the opposite effects of Dex treatment and hypoxia; while Dex significantly decreased, hypoxia significantly increased the level of VEGF mRNA.

**The effects of Dex treatment on the expression of GRα, GRβ, 11β-HSD1, and 11β-HSD2 under normoxic and hypoxic conditions.** GRα mRNA was detected in our cultured human myoblasts and was downregulated to ~60–70% of control after 24 h of Dex treatment under normoxic conditions. Hypoxic conditions did not significantly change this effect of Dex (Fig. 5A). At the protein level, we found a significant ~40% increase of the GRα under the hypoxic conditions (Fig. 5B); treatment with Dex abolished this upregulation of GRα and reduced it to the same level as observed under normoxic conditions, i.e., to ~30–40% of control (Fig. 5B).
levels do not reflect HIF-1α activity because it is the HIF-1α/HIF-1β dimer that acts as a transcription factor, we decided to use the expression of the HIF-1α downstream target PGK1 as an indicator of HIF-1α effects. The time between the increase of HIF-1α and its effects determined at the level of expression of PGK1 is in accordance with the observations in other cells and tissues (16, 21), suggesting that the mechanism is conservative among tissues. This response, which has not been reported in human myoblasts before, remained practically unchanged if hypoxic myoblasts were simultaneously treated with Dex. We observed mutual independence also when we studied the opposite, i.e., the influence of hypoxia on the

![Fig. 4. Hypoxic response in human myoblasts in the presence of Dex; mRNA level (qPCR). Myoblasts were exposed for 24 h to 1 μM Dex alone (Dex), hypoxia (1% O2) alone (Hyp), or hypoxia in combination with Dex treatment (Dex + Hyp). Bars represent means ± SE. A: HIF-1α mRNA. B: PGK1 mRNA level. C: vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) mRNA level. ACTB (filled bars) (n = 10) or 18S rRNA (open bars) (n = 3–7) was used as the endogenous control. Data were normalized to respective normoxic controls. Levels of HIF-1α and PGK1 mRNA in Dex vs. Hyp and Dex vs. Dex + Hyp treated groups were also statistically significant (not indicated for reasons of clarity), but there was no difference among Hyp vs. Dex + Hyp-treated groups. Although VEGF in the 18S rRNA data set showed the same biological response as those in the ACTB set, changes were not statistically significant with Bonferroni’s post hoc test because of higher variability (Student’s t-test, however, showed a significant difference (P = 0.021) between the control and Hyp group). VEGF levels in Dex vs. Hyp groups were significantly different in both the ACTB and 18S rRNA data set. *P < 0.01, **P < 0.005, and ***P < 0.001 vs. respective (ACTB or 18S rRNA) control (Bonferroni post hoc test was performed separately within each data set).](http://ajpregu.physiology.org/)

![Fig. 5. The effects of Dex on the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) α and GRβ expression in human myoblasts under normoxic or hypoxic conditions. Human myoblasts were treated for 24 h with 1 μM Dex, Hyp (1% O2), or both (Dex + Hyp). Bars represent means ± SE. A: GRα mRNA (qPCR). B: GRα protein level (Western blot). C: GRβ mRNA (qPCR). ACTB (filled bars; n = 10) or 18S rRNA (open bars; n = 5–9) was used as the endogenous control. Data were normalized to respective normoxic controls. None of the treatments had any significant effect on the GRβ mRNA level. GRα protein level was normalized to actin (n = 4–5). There is no difference among groups (Dex vs. Dex + Hyp). A representative Western blot is shown at top in B. #P < 0.05 and ###P < 0.001 vs. (ACTB or 18S rRNA) control or as indicated (Bonferroni post hoc test was performed separately within each data set with different endogenous controls).](http://ajpregu.physiology.org/)
system responsible for the reception of GC signals. We demonstrated expression of GRα, 11β-HSD1, and 11β-HSD2 in human myoblasts; as in other tissues, GRα and 11β-HSD1 were downregulated and 11β-HSD2 was upregulated by Dex. However, this response was not significantly different if Dex treatment proceeded under the hypoxic conditions.

Because both mechanisms, one mediated by HIF-1α and another by GRs and 11β-HSDs, can be considered as a response to stress imposed by the lack of oxygen, one would expect coordination among them. Their separation is therefore on the first sight surprising, but could be explained on the evolutionary basis. HIF-1α-mediated adaptation to hypoxia can be understood as a stress response organized at the level of an individual cell. It is phylogenetically older than the GR response; its vertebrate variant diverged from an even older ancestor about 500 millions years ago (20, 51) and remained preserved with further development of higher organisms. On the other hand, the stress response mediated by GC hormones released from the adrenal cortex is designed as a stress adaptation at the level of the whole organism. It was developed later (adrenal steroid receptors have not been found earlier than in vertebrates (8)) and does not work in a way to be protective at the level of the individual cells or individual tissues. For example, GCs promote protein catabolism in the skeletal muscle to provide substrates for gluconeogenesis in liver, which is a defensive measure toward hypoglycemia that must be prevented under stressful circumstances. Different levels of stress defense therefore also necessitate two separated sets of defense mechanisms.

A clear separation between the GC-mediated stress response and HIF-1α-mediated adaptation to hypoxia was observed at the regulation of the VEGF mRNA, which was downregulated by Dex and upregulated by hypoxia. The difference between the Dex effects on VEGF mRNA and another direct HIF-1α downstream target (PGK1 mRNA, which was not affected by Dex) can be explained by different roles of the two proteins. PGK1 is a glycolytic enzyme and therefore has very specific intracellular function, whereas VEGF is a growth factor released from the myoblasts. Physiological meaning of HIF-1α-induced VEGF upregulation is to promote vascularization as a compensation of hypoxia. However, VEGF also has a wide spectrum of other effects at the systemic level that must be regulated when the stress response is organized in the organism, which explains its downregulation by Dex (18). Separation of the two responses, however, cannot be generalized, since it seems to be tissue-specific. For example, Leonard et al. (23) reported potentiation of GC activity in hypoxia in the HK-2 human proximal tubular epithelial cells of the kidney.

Additional explanation for the independence of the GC response to hypoxia might be that GC actions in human myoblasts are not limited to the stress response. GCs are known to participate at the development of the mammalian organisms at their early stages (42), which might also be their role in the human myoblasts. mRNA expression of all compo-
ments constituting GC response suggests that myoblasts are well equipped for the adaptation and fine tuning of the GC response in various situations. This observation supports an alternative physiological role of this response. Especially intriguing is the role of 11β-HSD2, the actions of which are essential for the proper mineralocorticoid activity (see Introduction). These actions have a clear physiological meaning in the kidney, although its role in human myoblasts remains to be established.

One exception from the mutual independence of the two mechanisms described above was upregulation of GRα for ∼40% under hypoxic conditions. One can speculate that, similar to the well-documented increased stability of HIF-1α (15, 26, 44), the stability of this GR was also increased under hypoxic conditions. This effect was, however, annihilated by Dex, suggesting that hypoxia-protected GRα is overridden by the well-known acceleration of protein ubiquitation and subsequent catabolism induced by GCs in the muscle tissue.

A consistent finding in our experiments was a decreased level of HIF-1α mRNA to ∼40–50% of control after 24 h of hypoxia. This observation can be explained by the concomitant and complementary increase in aHIF. The role of this natural antisense version of HIF-1α transcript, reported in the human myoblasts for the first time in this paper, is to act as a negative loop regulation of HIF-1α expression serving in this way as a stabilizer of expression of mRNAs induced by hypoxia (32, 39, 40, 46, 30, 31). Such decrease of HIF-1α mRNA was also observed in the mouse liver after prolonged exposure of mice to hypoxia (52) and in THP-1 cells already after 6 h of hypoxia (14). Besides, our finding that the level of PGK1 mRNA, which is a downstream target of HIF-1α, changes in proportion to the level of HIF-1α mRNA also suggests that HIF-1α-regulated adaptation to hypoxia takes place also at the mRNA level and not only at the level of protein stability (26, 44). Although short-term regulation is exerted at the protein level, as also demonstrated in our experiments, there is also a mid- or long-term feedback regulation at the transcriptional level maintaining the HIF-1α response within the protective limits.

Our observations on the expression of GRβ in human myoblasts are in accordance with the reports of Oakley et al. (33) and Pujols et al. (36) who failed to find GRβ protein in the human skeletal muscle. We were able to detect mRNA of GRβ by real-time PCR, but only at the very low level close to the detection limit. Our results therefore do not support the participation of GRβ in organizing the GC response in human myoblasts.

It is well documented that IL-6 stimulates myoblast proliferation (5, 6, 7, 10). Because proliferation of myoblasts is the only part of the muscle regeneration where new nuclei and therefore the origins of the formation of new muscle mass are formed (9, 12), this stage decisively determines the mass of the regenerated muscle tissue. In our previous study, we demonstrated that Dex inhibits IL-6 secretion from human myoblasts (35). Here we show that this effect of Dex remains practically unchanged under hypoxic conditions except for a mild hypersensitivity of the IL-6 secretion inhibition by Dex. Together with our observation on VEGF, which is downregulated by Dex and upregulated in hypoxia, this observation additionally supports our conclusion that the HIF-α response to hypoxia is functionally separated from the system controlling GC actions in the in vitro regenerating human skeletal muscle.

Perspectives and Significance

Together with our previous studies on cultured human muscle (19, 27, 35), this report clearly demonstrates that, although morphologically poorly developed, human myoblasts are equipped with the complex molecular apparatus that enables them to respond specifically to various environmental stimuli. Here we demonstrated for the first time absence of synergism between the pathway of HIF-1α-organized adaptation to hypoxia and the mechanisms responsible for the regulation of the GC stress response. Although on the first sight surprising, this mutual independence can be explained on the evolutionary basis. However, our observations were obtained under in vitro conditions, and complementary in vivo studies are needed to confirm this conclusion. Detailed understanding of the mechanisms underlying these responses are essential for the design of the therapy that would improve muscle regeneration and in this way alleviate various and numerous conditions affecting skeletal muscle.
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