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ABSTRACT

Occurrence of liver gas embolism after rapid decompression (D), was assessed in 31 female rats, decompressed in 12 min after 42 min compression at 7 ATA (protocol A).

Sixteen rats died after D (group I). Of the surviving rats 7 were sacrificed at 3 h (group II) and 8 at 24 h (group III).

Group I. Bubbles were visible in the right heart, aortic arch, liver, mesenteric veins, and on intestinal surface. Histology showed perilobular microcavities in sinusoids, interstitial spaces and hepatocytes.

Group II. Liver gas was visible in 2 rats. Focal to perilobular vacuolization and significant plasma aminotransferase increase were present.

Group III. Liver edema was evident at gross examination in all cases. Histology showed perilobular cell swelling, vacuolization or hydropic degeneration. Compared to basal, enzymatic markers of liver damage increased significantly.

Additional 14 rats were decompressed twice (protocol B). Overall mortality was 93%. In addition to diffuse hydropic degeneration, centrilobular necrosis was frequently observed after the second D.

Further 10 rats were exposed to 3 D sessions (protocol C) with doubled decompression time. Mortality rate decreased to 20% but enzymatic markers still increased in surviving rats compared to pre-D, and perilobular cell swelling and vacuolization were present in half.

Study challenges existing paradigm that liver is not part of the pathophysiology of D. Although significant cellular necrosis was observed in few animals, zonal or diffuse hepatocellular damage was frequently demonstrated, associated with liver dysfunction. Liver participation in human decompression sickness should be looked for and clinically evaluated.
INTRODUCTION
According to gas laws when the body is exposed to increased hydrostatic pressure, as occurs during diving, gas phases are forced to go into solution in body fluids. As the diver descends, the increased pressure causes more nitrogen to dissolve into tissue fluids. This is particularly important for long-lasting dives, as in the case of scuba divers. Upon ascent, if the diver returns to the surface too quickly, the excess gas will not have the chance to be gradually eliminated through the lungs while in solution in the blood but will go into a gas phase (bubbles) in both tissues and blood.

Bubbles entering the blood at the level of microcirculation flow through the systemic veins to the right heart and embolize in the lungs. From the lung microcirculation bubbles can lose their gas content in the alveolar spaces or, in some adverse circumstances reach the left heart and the systemic arterial circulation with dramatic clinical complications such as ischemia or necrosis of embolized tissues. The trapping of autochthonous bubbles in tissues can also produce injury. These unfavorable outcomes of rapid decompression are components of decompression sickness (DCS) which is treated with recompression in the hyperbaric chamber (6, 23).

Considerable literature is available on bubble embolism in both pulmonary and arterial circulations following decompression. In contrast, few mentions can be found of gas microbubbles traveling through the third largest circulatory system in the body, namely the portal vein circulation, which transports venous blood from the splanchnic organs to the liver. Marginal and contradictory findings on portal, mesenteric, liver and spleen gas bubbles are only reported in old experimental studies on dysbarism or in occasional case reports (1, 4, 23). Similarly, abnormal blood markers of liver dysfunction have been occasionally reported.
in divers (15, 20). In conclusion the common view is that the liver is not a target organ of DCS.

Apparently there is no physiological reason for the exclusion of the portal vein system from participating in the process of bubble formation and delivery. On the contrary, some peculiarities of the portal circulation make this a vascular area of particular interest for the study of diving physiopathology.

The first peculiarity is that bubbles originating in the intestinal microcirculation do not travel directly to the systemic venous circulation, as occurs for all bubbles originating in any other organ, but instead reach the liver microvascular filter. In this instance, only bubbles escaping from the liver sinusoids or bypassing them via pre-existing porto-caval collateral veins will eventually reach the pulmonary circulation. One possible reason for the supposed negligible involvement of the liver in DCS might be inadequate liver embolization due to ‘loose’ microvascular filtering. However, this view has never been supported by experimental testing.

The second peculiarity is related to the presence of gas in the bowel and to the special condition of the intestinal wall tissue which interfaces blood on one side and intestinal gas on the other. This condition is not unlike the one in the lung where gases contained in the blood, tissue and alveolar spaces move along the three compartments according to the gas laws (figure 1).

Thus, if sequestered in a ‘closed’ intestinal segment intestinal gas will dissolve into the surrounding tissue according to the increased hydrostatic pressure during diving and to the partial pressure and diffusion coefficient of its components. It is worth to note that intestinal gas in rats on the usual laboratory diet, has been shown to be composed by the same gases present in the air but in different proportions and thus with different partial pressures (12). In this situation the intestinal tissue wall will contain a mixture of both respiratory and intestinal
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Gases which, upon ascent, will move toward the portal circulation or toward the bowel lumen according to respective partial pressure gradients. Thus, a second reason for the supposedly negligible involvement of the liver in DCS could be the escape of gas from the saturated intestinal wall to the intestinal lumen. In this case the liver would be ‘protected’ by the intestinal cavity, which would work as the lung does for the systemic circulation. However, once again this supposition is not supported by experimental data.

In this study we investigated the hypothesis that, after decompression and similarly to the systemic venous circulation, gas bubbles form in the splanchnic organs, move into the portal vein and embolize in the liver, with possible consequent hepatic dysfunction.

Moreover, we investigated liver abnormalities secondary to single as well as to repeated decompressions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and experimental protocol

Experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the Italian Ministry of Health and conformed to the “Guiding Principles for Research Involving Animals and Human Beings” approved by the Council of the American Physiological Society.

We studied a total of 63 adult female Wistar rats aged 6-9 months and weighing 275 ± 40 g (range 220-370 g). Female rats were chosen because of the higher fat to muscle ratio as compared to males that is an important factor in gas dissolution in tissue fluids.

Animals were housed under controlled 12/12 h light/dark cycle, temperature (21 ± 0.5 °C) and relative humidity (55% ± 2%) in animal rooms. Rats were fed with 4RF18 pelleted rodent
diet for long-term maintenance (Mucedola, Italy) and water ad libitum until 1 hour before the experiments.

Of the 63 rats studied, 55 were compressed (simulated dive) in a hyperbaric chamber, usually in pairs, but housed in single cages. The remaining 8 rats were not compressed in the hyperbaric chamber and represented the control group for gross anatomy and histological liver examination.

Study design envisaged three decompression protocols:

(Protocol A). Thirty-one rats were compressed to 7 ATA and after 42 min rapidly (12 min) decompressed.

(Protocol B). In order to investigate the effects of repeated decompressions we exposed 14 rats, 48 hours apart, to two decompression sessions with the same diving profile as in protocol A.

(Protocol C). Ten rats were exposed, 48 hours apart, to three decompression sessions using a mitigated diving profile (see below).

Hyperbaric chamber characteristics and profile of simulated dive

The experimental chamber was a 50-liter homemade compression chamber (OMASA, Rome, Italy) that simulated dry and humid hyperbaric conditions up to 12 ATA (~1216 kPa). The chamber’s operating monitor was equipped with one input pressure aneroid manometer (Wika 39.4 ATA max, class 0,25) and one large screen aneroid manometer (Wika 24.6 ATA max class 0,25). The chamber was prepared with internal illumination and two video cameras for visual monitoring of the animals during compression and decompression periods (figure 2). A PC was connected to internal sensors to monitor both pressure and temperature and to record animal video imaging. A scuba diving bottle containing 18 liters at 200 ATA (20165 kPa) of air was used to fill the chamber by means of a standard first stage scuba regulator which
provides a constant 10 ATA (~2016 kPa) source of air. The experimental immersion profile was obtained manually by simply operating the inflating-deflating lock-gates; in particular, the compression was controlled by monitoring the pressure inside the chamber while the decompression was assured by a constant deflating flow monitored by a Pitot tube flowmeter. In protocols A and B animals were compressed at a rate of 2 ATA (~203 kPa) min\(^{-1}\) to a final pressure of 7 ATA (~709 kPa, 60 water meters), and kept at that pressure for 42 min, breathing air. At the end of the compression period, rats were almost linearly decompressed to the ‘surface’, i.e. 1 ATA (~101 kPa), at a rate of 0.5 ATA (~51 kPa) min\(^{-1}\) in 12 min. Using the above protocol, Wisloff and Brubakk (26) were able to reproducibly induce DCS and bubbles, as documented by echo imaging of the right heart, in rats surviving early death. In rats exposed to three decompression sessions (protocol C) the diving profile was mitigated doubling the decompression time to 24 min while the other variables remained constant.

*Enzymatic markers of liver damage*

Heparinized blood samples were obtained from the femoral vein of animals subjected to decompression protocol. A blood sample was collected before simulated diving (basal) and at 3 and 24 h in the surviving rats in protocol A, and at 24 h after each decompression in protocols B and C. Plasma was assayed for concentrations of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and creatinine kinase (CK) by routine laboratory methods using a CX9PRO autoanalyzer (Beckman Coulter, USA).

*Gross morphology and microscopy*

After early spontaneous death or sacrifice at 3 or 24 h, each rat’s abdomen was incised and liver and intestine exposed for gross examination and digital photography. The right lobe of the liver was transversally sectioned to examine the internal gross appearance and the
mesentery was distended to visualize blood vessels. The chest was then opened to explore gas content in the right heart and aortic arch.

Four samples from the right and median lobes from each liver were excised, rinsed in physiologic solution, fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin for 4 days and then embedded in paraffin. Additional samples were also collected for liquid nitrogen freezing. From the paraffin-embedded tissue blocks, 5-µm to 6-µm sections were obtained. Four to eight sections of each liver were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE). Additionally, adjacent serial sections stained for hepatic glycogen with periodic acid Shiff staining (PAS) were examined. Tissue sections, following Tissue Tek® (Sakura Finetek Europe) inclusion and liquid nitrogen freezing, were also processed in the cryomicrotome for red oil staining (lipid specific stain). All sections were examined at 100x, 200x and 400x magnification under light microscopy (Leitz Orthoplan, Germany, interfaced to Olympus, DP 20 digital acquisition system, Japan) by two independent blinded observers. Categorized histological alterations (see results) were semi-quantitatively scored as mild (focal), moderate (zonal) and severe (diffuse, bridging the lobules). Tissue density of stainless microcavities (air microbubbles) was expressed as mean number of vacuoli per 100µm² ± SEM in at least 10 fields of each liver specimen.

In four animals from group I (early spontaneous death), in protocol A, histological examination of the spleen was also performed.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance of differences between groups was determined by ANOVA followed by post-hoc Dunnett's test or by paired and unpaired
Student's t-test as appropriate. A probability (P) value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Animal survival

In protocol A, out of the 31 decompressed rats, 16 died within 1 h after decompression (52% mortality). Of these, 14 rats (88%) died within 10 min while the remaining two died between 20 and 40 min (group I, n = 16). Of the 15 surviving animals, seven were randomly selected and sacrificed by an anesthetic overdose (Sodium pentobarbital at 200-250 mg/kg i.p) at 3 h after decompression (group II, n = 7). The remaining 8 animals were returned to the cage and sacrificed at 24 h (group III, n = 8). There were no spontaneous deaths between 3 and 24 h.

In protocol B, out of 14 rats exposed to two decompression sessions, 7 died after the first decompression, in agreement with the results of protocol A, and 6 after the second one (overall mortality rate 93%). In contrast, in protocol C, out of 10 rats exposed to a milder decompression profile as compared to protocols A and B, 8 survived to three decompression cycles (20% overall mortality rate).

Enzymatic markers of liver dysfunction

Changes in plasma enzymatic markers of liver dysfunction in surviving animals, relative to their own basal values (intra animal comparison) are reported in Table 1.

In protocol A, the simulated dives induced significant increases, relative to basal condition, in AST at 3 h and 24 h after decompression and in ALT and GGT at 24 h only. CK and LDH did not change significantly.

In rats exposed to three decompression sessions (protocol C) with the modified (less severe) diving profile AST and ALT values increased significantly at 24 h after each decompression
session relative to basal values, as also reported in Table 1. Conversely GGT, CK and LDH did not change significantly.

Post-mortem gross examination

Control cases all showed normal anatomy of liver, intestine and mesenteric veins.

Protocol A. Group I (spontaneous early death). At autopsy all the animals in this group showed a swollen, spongy liver of normal color. The organ was soft and when cut a considerable amount of bloody foam emerged at the surface as micro- and macrobubbles which clustered and dissolved on the cut surface. Multiple large gas bubbles were also evident on the intestine surface under the peritoneal membrane, and within intestinal and mesenteric veins in all cases (figure 3, panel A). Gas was also evident by transparency in the inferior vena cava, right heart, pulmonary artery and, as shown in figure 4, also in the aortic arch. No gas bubbles were perceived on cutting the spleen.

Group II. In surviving animals sacrificed at 3 h, the liver appeared of normal color and normal tissue consistency in all cases. However, when sectioned, a small amount of gas bubbles emerged and dissolved on the cut surface in 2 out of 7 cases. Bubbles in the mesenteric veins were seldom observed (figure 3, panel B right) while no gas bubbles were visible on the intestine surface, nor in the inferior vena cava, right heart, or aortic arch.

Group III. All surviving animals sacrificed 24 h after decompression showed a swollen, apparently edematous liver at autopsy. Color was normal in four cases, pale in four; in all cases the lobules were clearly visible on the surface, as outlined by a dark perilobular contour, with a resulting trabecular ‘fishnet’ pattern (figure 3, panel C, left). No gas bubbles were macroscopically apparent in the liver, intestine and mesenteric veins or in the right heart.

Protocol B. In the rats who died spontaneously after the second decompression session, gross examination of the liver and intestine showed extensive gas embolism as in group I animals of
protocol A; gas bubbles were invariably present in the inferior vena cava, right heart, pulmonary artery and aortic arch.

In the only surviving rat of this series massive liver edema, subcapsular gas bubbles and the loss of the trabecular lobular pattern were evidenced.

Protocol C. In the 2 rats who died spontaneously during the repeated decompression protocol with the modified diving profile we observed the same distribution of gas embolism as in group I animals of protocol A, although with less and smaller bubbles.

Finally in the surviving rats of protocol C we found a normal gross anatomy of liver and intestine.

Liver histology and histochemistry

Controls. Normal lobular architecture with preserved hepatocellular morphology was present in all cases, with few red blood cells in the central veins, portal veins and sinusoids.

Protocol A. Group I. Two distinct histological features were present in the seven cases processed for microscopic examination, out of the 16 non-surviving animals: a) enlarged sinusoids and central venules filled with packed red cells and sinusoids containing empty spaces of irregular or cylindrical shape in correspondence with dilated segmental profile; b) round unstained cavities 2-20 µm in diameter, both within and outside the hepatocytes, consistently located in the perilobular zone with an average tissue density of 25±7 vacuoli/100µm². All microcavities failed to stain with HE, PAS and red oil. This feature suggests the gaseous nature of the microcavities, at least for those contained in the sinusoids, while for the others (in the perilobular interstitium or in the hepatocytes), water content (hydropic vacuolization) cannot be categorically excluded. The high microcavities tissue density of all cases, however, was not associated with significant cellular injury, with the exception of a single case of centrilobular hydropic degeneration associated with massive
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(40±10 vacuoli/100µm²) perilobular vacuolization (figure 5, panel A). PAS staining for hepatic glycogen was either totally negative or positive only in single centrilobular cells while red oil was consistently negative (figure 6, panel A).

Histologic examination of the spleen in four animals of this group did not evidence any pattern suggestive of autochthonous gas microbubble formation (data not shown).

Group II. Extra- and intracellular vacuolization with the same characteristics described above was also present in all cases surviving 3 h after decompression. Unstained microcavities showed a strictly perilobular zonation with a lower tissue density (14±5 vacuoli/100µm²) than in Group I (figure 5, panel B). Lobular architecture was intact, moderate cellular swelling was present and sinusoids and portal veins appeared congested with red blood cells. PAS and red oil stains were both negative (figure 6, panel B).

Group III. Two distinct histological patterns were observed: a) zonal (perilobular) cell swelling and vacuolization with PAS negative stain in four cases; b) hydropic degeneration, either massive, with no zonal distribution, or prominently mid- and centrilobular, with collapsed sinusoids and compressed central veins, few cellular foci of PAS and red oil positive stains in four cases. Nuclear morphology was generally normal (no karyolysis or picnosis), plasma membrane was intact and lobular architecture preserved. No significant cellular necrosis was detected: neither ballooning degeneration nor shrinking hepatocytes (eosinophilic "councilman bodies") were seen. No mononuclear or polymorphonuclear cell infiltrates were observed.

Finally, portal terminal venules appeared congested with red blood cells (figure 5, panel C), a finding which could explain the trabecular “fishnet” macroscopic appearance of the liver surface (see figure 3, panel C, left).
**Protocol B.** Microscopic liver examination performed in 4 animals who died spontaneously after the second decompression showed in all moderate to diffuse hydropic degeneration either with no zonal distribution or prominently mid- and centrilobular, associated to mild focal centrilobular necrosis in one animal. Few large cavities (100 to 200 µm in diameter) adjacent to perilobular venules and compressing surrounding hepatocytes were also found in one case (figure 7, panel A). This pattern suggests the persistence of entrapped gas in the liver as encapsulated cavities partially filled with plasma fluid.

In the only surviving rat in this series, focal centrilobular necrosis associated to diffused hydropic degeneration was present with early remodeling of trabecular structure by initial fibrosis (figure 7, panel B, C, D). No mononuclear or polymorphonuclear cell infiltrates were observed in any animal.

**Protocol C.** In the 8 surviving rats microscopic examination revealed either no hepatocellular changes (4 cases) or mild ( ≤ 10 vacuoli/100µm²) intracellular microvacuolization (unstained vacuoli with HE, PAS or red oil ) strictly in the perilobular zone (figure 7, panel E and F).
DISCUSSION

We were able to document the occurrence of gas embolization in the liver following simulated diving and rapid decompression. Only a few older reports have described large bubbles either in the portal vein or in the liver, in animal models of DCS. The apparently low incidence of the phenomenon led to the conclusion that the intestine may serve as a gaseous exchanger for nitrogen elimination rather than as a potential source of gas embolism (1, 23). Actually, this optimistic view is quite widespread among scuba divers, and text books of underwater and hyperbaric medicine do not treat liver gas embolization as a possible complication of scuba diving nor as a component of DCS. We obtained evidence of consistent liver embolization in a rat model of DCS, previously employed by Wisloff and Brubakk to investigate post-decompression gas bubble formation in the systemic circulation by means of ultrasound imaging of the right heart cavities (26).

In agreement with these authors, the death rate in our study was around 50%. In spite of a selected population of animals (all females, small weight range, same diet, same environmental conditions) death was unpredictable and only occurred in the first hour (88% in the first 10 min). Even a pair of animals exposed to the same compression-decompression session underwent different and unpredictable outcomes. The reason/s for this discrepancy remain unknown and deserve further study. In addition we exposed 14 animals to the same dive profile twice, 48 hours a part. Six out of the 7 animals surviving the first decompression died following the second one with an overall mortality rate of 93%. Interestingly enough this finding rules out the occurrence in the Wistar rat strain of individual animals resistant (or susceptible) to gas embolization.

At gross macroscopy, the spontaneously deceased animals showed a massive presence of gas in the systemic venous circulation as well as in the aorta, possibly due to pulmonary
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barotrauma (4), a finding that easily explains sudden death. This was not the case in surviving animals who, however, at 3 h necroscopy showed clear evidence of intra-hepatic gas accumulation.

Gas bubble dynamics and liver embolism

The presence in early-deceased animals of gas bubbles on the intestinal surface and in mesenteric veins, periportal space and sinusoids along with their absence in the spleen strongly indicates that the intestine is the major source of gas for liver embolization. A similar but milder picture was evident in surviving animals sacrificed at 3 h. In conclusion, macro- and micro-morphological observation suggests that gas drained from the intestinal wall (where large bubbles were visible even on the surface) into intestinal veins, and became visible in the mesenteric veins as long cylindrical empty spaces interrupting the blood column. Bubbles traveling across the portal terminal veins primarily embolize the periportal zone of hepatic lobules and lodge in the proximal portion of the sinusoids. Trapping of gas bubbles within the liver microcirculation is also evident at 3 h. These findings demonstrate the efficacy of the filtering properties of the hepatic microvasculature for embolizing gas bubbles. Long-lasting mechanical obstruction of sinusoids would cause portal flow impairment and possibly ischemia.

The gaseous nature of interstitial and intracellular cavities observed in the present study cannot be stated with certainty, in spite the fact that all our attempts to stain its content failed, even in cryoslices. Relevant to this matter might be the description of encapsulated, gas-filled cavities in the liver in some stranded cetaceans. The nature of such cavities is still controversial although some authors consider this peculiar feature the anatomical fingerprint of a particular type of DCS resulting from the animal exposure to mid-range sonar and
consequent bubbles formation in the splanchnic district, possibly in response to either rapid decompression or acoustic exposure of nitrogen-supersaturated tissues (8, 16).

**Functional and pathologic consequences of liver embolism**

In animals with a single exposition to D, histological findings and analysis of marker enzymes of liver damage suggest different time courses of liver gas embolism and hepatocellular injury. Although a massive portal, liver and systemic venous embolization was present in early-dying animals, microbubbles could be found even 3 h after decompression in surviving rats. Liver cell damage, on the other hand, was minimal at 3 h while it was present in all cases at 24 h after decompression, although with a wide range of severity (from mild vacuolization to diffuse hydropic degeneration). Only a single case of spontaneous early death showed a pattern of hydropic degeneration with centrilobular zonation, while no lesions were generally associated with the presence of periportal stainless microcavities. However, when the same decompression protocol was repeated after 48 hours (protocol B) severe hydropic degeneration both zonal and diffuse was invariably present as well as focal centrilobular necrosis in two cases, and associated to initial fibrosis in the only surviving animal of this series. Thus repeated exposition enhanced microscopic liver damage.

Among the hematochemical markers analyzed, only the enzymes of liver damage (AST, ALT and GGT) showed significant changes after decompression. Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) have long been used as sensitive and reliable indicators of liver diseases and are routinely used as clinical endpoints indicative of hepatotoxicity. Of the two, ALT is considered the most liver-specific enzyme in rats injury as it is present mainly in the cytosol of the liver but in low concentrations elsewhere; it is used as marker of hepatocellular necrosis or increased cell membrane permeability (3,7). AST has cytosolic and mitochondrial forms and its activity is high in rat liver but also in kidney,
pancreas and erythrocytes (25), thus elevated serum AST is indicative of tissue and cellular
damage without specificity for hepatotoxicity.

The findings of the present study are qualitatively in agreement with previous results of
experimental studies on liver ischemia of short duration in the rat (22); the lower levels of
AST and especially ALT in our study might be the consequence of milder, possibly
heterogeneous and discontinuous ischemic stimulus by microvascular gas embolism,
provoking cellular injury but not necrosis. This view seems supported by the presence of
vacuolization and cellular hydropic degeneration at histology, which is the result of defects in
membrane and/or mitochondrial function, secondary to intracellular ATP depletion. This
feature is common to several hepatic injuries including ischemia. It is well known that hepatic
cells, especially those of zone 3 (centrilobular), may tolerate relatively long periods of low
oxygenation without being irreversibly damaged (17); the usual pathological consequence of
a short reversible ischemic damage is cellular edema and hydropic degeneration (13).
Although this type of injury is potentially reversible, it can also lead to hepatocellular lysis,
ballooning degeneration, hepatocyte shrinkage (eosinophilic "councilman bodies") and finally
coagulative necrosis with final replacement by inflammatory cells. Following a single
decompression (protocol A) we did not observe hepatocellular necrosis even 24 h after gas
embolism, in contrast with studies of experimental ischemia induced by clamping of the
hepato-duodenal ligament for 30 min or longer, where focal hepatocellular necrosis was
detected much earlier than 24 h after reperfusion (11). On the other hand, hepatic glycogen
stores were totally depleted in early-dying animals, while they were partially replete at 24 h
after decompression, a finding in agreement with other studies of experimental liver ischemia
(5).
Histologic analysis evidenced minimal to mild perilobular cellular swelling and vacuolization at 3 h post-decompression. This picture evolved to perilobular or total lobular hydropic degeneration at 24 h. One can speculate that the consequence of portal venule and sinusoid obstruction, by gas microbubbles formed for a relatively long time after rapid decompression, is a mild, patchy and discontinuous impairment of blood flow supply to hepatocytes, which would cause potentially reversible hepatocellular damage progressing from perilobular to centrilobular cells. However, the possibility that gas embolism may indirectly induce cellular damage by activating plasma factors of inflammatory response (such as cytokines, TNF-α, etc.) (10, 14, 18) as well as a systemic stress response should also be considered. As a matter of fact, the evidence of centrilobular necrosis at 24 h in two cases of repeated rapid decompression (protocol B) strongly suggests that repeated hepatic injury by gas embolism can lead to cellular death and fibrosis. On the other hand, repeated decompressions using a mitigated dive profile (protocol C) were unable to elicit significant hepatocellular damage at 24 h after the last procedure and produced, at most, mild cellular microvacuolization similar to that observed at 3 h in protocol A. Despite minimal or no cellular changes, however, enzymatic markers of liver injury resulted elevated also in this group (with an apparent trend toward smaller changes with the number of expositions).

Limits of the study

According to previous results from other laboratories (26), rat exposure to rapid decompression appears to be characterized by a binary outcome (fulminant DCS or survival without apparent distress, with almost equal chance). This peculiarity may collocate the experimental model far from the human diving condition, due to the ‘unsafe’ ascent profile. However, the primary aim of our study was to prove that the intestine does not protect the
liver from post-diving embolization as generally assumed. To this purpose we investigated the occurrence of liver embolism in a condition of well documented systemic gas embolism, as it was the case of the rat model and decompression profile adopted by Wisloff and Brubakk (26). Even considering the surviving animals alone, the conclusion of the study is that the liver is actually a target organ of splanchnic gas embolization following decompression and that the intestine is the primary source of embolizing microbubbles. Actually, even reducing severity of dive profile and thus related mortality, from 50% after a single decompression (protocol A) to 20% after three decompressions (protocol C), liver functional alterations were still evident. Thus, even considering safer decompression profiles, the liver should be considered a potential target of embolism not differently from the lung.

The methodology used in our study prevented us from investigating the dynamics of bubble formation and dissolution as well as the progression of liver damage over time, in single cases. Similarly, we could not correlate the type and extent of liver injury observed at 3 h and 24 h after decompression to the severity and the duration of initial liver embolism. The absence of a longer observation time after single or repeated decompressions limits the conclusions on the long term outcome of potentially reversible liver damage observed at 24 h. There is additional uncertainty about the duration of the microbubbles trapped in the liver. The Epstein-Plesset formula on microbubble dissolving time in water and solutions states that 10\(\mu\)m and 100\(\mu\)m bubbles last only 6 s and 600 s respectively (2, 9). We found bubbles in the sinusoids at 3 h following decompression and no data are available from the present study regarding the time and dynamics of bubble formation in the intestine during the first few hours after rapid decompression.
Finally, our study does not determine whether intestinal gas has contributed along with respiratory gases, and in what proportion, to the composition of the observed portal microbubbles.

Clinical implications

Hepatic portal venous gas is an unusual and unfavourable clinical entity recognized in the last years, as the result of the diagnostic diffusion of ultrasounds and computed tomography, in association with ischemic and non ischemic bowel diseases (19, 21). In contrast, only scanty and contradictory findings on portal, mesenteric, liver and spleen gas embolism as well as on abnormal blood markers of liver dysfunction have been reported in DCS (2, 4, 15, 20) favoring the firm belief that the liver is not a target organ of DCS.

In an experimental model, our study results document the occurrence of liver gas embolisms after rapid decompression, which induce functional and morphological organ alterations. Based on these results, the liver cannot be considered immune from damage related to scuba diving and stressful dive profiles or unsafe decompression. Even if reversible, repeated hepatic injury could lead to liver dysfunction, being this hypothesis particular pertinent to professional divers.

A prudent policy for safe diving would require short- and long-term studies on putative liver dysfunction in subjects exposed to decompression.

PERSPECTIVES AND SIGNIFICANCE

This is the first report documenting extensive gas bubble embolism in the liver occurring after rapid decompression not differently from the lung. However, as compared to the lung, embolizing bubbles in the liver may persist for a much longer time and produce tissue damage. Although we observed significant cellular necrosis only in few cases of repeated
severe decompression and inflammatory response in none, zonal or diffuse hepatocellular damage was clearly evident from 3 h to 24 h after decompression, associated with a significant increase in enzymatic markers of liver damage. Thus, liver cannot be considered, as generally conceived, immune from DCS gas embolism as the result of gas escape into the intestine. Based on these results, the occurrence of liver embolism and its pathological consequences on liver function in human DCS or even outside DCS should be carefully sought and clinically evaluated.
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**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of tissue-blood gas exchange in the intestine. Two hypotheses are compared: intestinal gas contributes to liver embolization (white arrows on the left panel) or the respiratory gas saturating the intestinal wall escapes into the bowel lumen (black arrow in the right panel), thus protecting the liver from gas embolization. A: systemic arterial circulation, V: systemic venous circulation, PV: portal venous circulation, IT: intestinal wall tissue.

**Figure 2.** Left: the experimental 50-liter home-made hyperbaric chamber. Right: schematic representation of the technical arrangement.

**Figure 3.** Protocol A. Gross morphology of liver, intestine and mesenteric veins in a control animal and in three representative cases from groups I, II and III respectively (A- C). A: severe gas embolism of the liver (left) is evident as bloody foam at the cut surface; bubbles are present in the intestine wall (right, black arrows) as well as in a mesenteric vein, as a series of empty spaces (right, blue arrow). B: residual bubbles dissolve at the cut surface of the liver (left) and a single empty space can be seen in a mesenteric vein (right, blue arrow).
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C: a swollen, pale liver with a fishnet appearance on the surface is evident, indicating severe edema (left) with moderate congestion of mesenteric veins (right).

**Figure 4.** Enlarged photographs of the thoracic cavity of a rat that died a few minutes after the decompression procedure (Protocol A, group I). The massive presence of gas bubbles can be seen as a transparency in the inferior vena cava (icv, blue arrow), the right atrium, the pulmonary artery (p, blue arrow), and in the aortic arch (a, blue arrow).

**Figure 5.** Protocol A. Liver histology (HE staining), low magnification (100x, calibration bar: 200 μm) left, high magnification (400x, calibration bar: 50 μm) right. A: representative case from group I (early spontaneous death following decompression). Left: centrilobular hydropic degeneration (arrow-head) associated with severe perilobular vacuolization (star). Right: unstained round cavities 2-20μm in diameter in the perilobular zone both within the cells and in the interstitium (top), and centrilobular hepatocytes showing hydropic degeneration (bottom) are evident at high magnification. B: Representative case from group II (sacrificed at 3 h after decompression). Left: at low magnification the perilobular zonation of round unstained cavities is evident, as well as the intact lobule architecture. Right: microcavities 2-20 μm in diameter are mostly found within normal-appearing hepatocytes, while sinusoids are congested. C: Case from group III (sacrificed at 24 h). Left: severe hydropic degeneration with no zonal distribution, congested portobiliary spaces, collapsed sinusoids and compressed central veins. Right: enlarged view of periportal hepatocytes showing severe hydropic degeneration and scanty hepatocellular lysis (arrow).
**Figure 6.** Protocol A. Liver histology (PAS and red oil, 400x, calibration bar: 50 μm). A: group I. PAS (left) and red oil (right) negative intracellular round microcavities. B: group II. PAS and red oil negative microcavities. C: group III. PAS-positive isolated centrilobular cells, and red oil- positive intracellular microvesicles.

**Figure 7.** Protocol B. Liver histology (HE staining), low magnification (100x, calibration bar: 100 μm) left, high magnification (400x, calibration bar: 20 μm). A: Perilobular large cavity encapsulated by compressed hepatocytes in early spontaneous death following decompression. B-D: Focal centrilobular necrosis associated to diffused hydropic degeneration. (B and C) and mild fibrosis (stained in blue with Mallory trichromic in D) in the rat survived to protocol B. Arrowheads indicate necrotic zones.

Protocol C. Liver histology (HE staining, 100x bar:200μm, 400x, bar: 50 μm). Intracellular microvacuolization in the strictly perilobular zone (panel E and F) in representative case of survived animals.
### Table 1. Hematochemical markers of liver function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AST (unit/liter)</th>
<th>ALT (unit/liter)</th>
<th>GGT (unit/liter)</th>
<th>LDH (unit/liter)</th>
<th>CK (unit/liter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protocol A</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>n=15</td>
<td>54 ± 2</td>
<td>27 ± 1</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>774 ± 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 h post decompression</td>
<td>n=15</td>
<td>105 ± 14*</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td>1130 ± 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h post decompression</td>
<td>n=8</td>
<td>159 ± 26*</td>
<td>53 ± 13*</td>
<td>12 ± 3*</td>
<td>718 ± 142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protocol C</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>n=8</td>
<td>49 ± 3</td>
<td>27 ± 4</td>
<td>4 ± 2</td>
<td>329 ± 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h post I decompression</td>
<td>n=8</td>
<td>333 ± 123*</td>
<td>81 ± 23*</td>
<td>8 ± 2</td>
<td>602 ± 193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h post II decompression</td>
<td>n=8</td>
<td>133 ± 36*</td>
<td>35 ± 4*</td>
<td>7 ± 2</td>
<td>439 ± 219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h post III decompression</td>
<td>n=8</td>
<td>77 ± 10*</td>
<td>45 ± 8*</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
<td>319 ± 86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM; n, number of animals tested

*P<0.05 vs. basal values
Figure 1
Figure 2
Figure 3

A

B

C
Figure 7

A

B

C

D

E

F