Rehydration with Soft Drink-like Beverages Exacerbates Dehydration and 
Worsens Dehydration-associated Renal Injury
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Running headline: Sugary beverages worsen dehydration induced renal injury
Abstract

Recurrent dehydration, such as commonly occurs with manual labor in tropical environments, has been recently shown to result in chronic kidney injury, likely through the effects of hyperosmolarity to activate both vasopressin and aldose reductase-fructokinase pathways. The observation that the latter pathway can be directly engaged by simple sugars (glucose and fructose) leads to the hypothesis that soft drinks (which contain these sugars) might worsen rather than benefit dehydration associated kidney disease. Recurrent dehydration was induced in rats by exposure to heat (36°C) for one hour/24 h followed by access for 2 hours to plain water (W), a 11% fructose-glucose solution (FG, same composition as typical soft drinks), or water sweetened with non-caloric stevia (ST). After 4 weeks plasma and urine samples were collected, and kidneys examined for oxidative stress, inflammation and injury. Recurrent heat-induced dehydration with ad libitum water repletion resulted in plasma and urinary hyperosmolarity with stimulation of the vasopressin (copeptin) levels and resulted in mild tubular injury and renal oxidative stress. Rehydration with 11% FG solution, despite larger total fluid intake, resulted in greater dehydration (higher osmolarity and copeptin levels), and worse renal injury, with activation of aldose reductase and fructokinase, whereas rehydration with stevia water had opposite effects. In animals that are dehydrated, rehydration acutely with soft drinks worsens dehydration and exacerbates dehydration associated renal damage. These studies emphasize the danger of drinking soft drink-like beverages as an attempt to rehydrate following dehydration.
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Introduction

A major epidemic of chronic kidney disease is occurring in Central America among workers in the sugarcane fields. To date there have been reported to be over 20,000 deaths. While the etiology is unknown, most studies suggest that recurrent dehydration is a major risk factor. (10, 15, 24, 32).

Subjects working in hot environments tend to lose both salt and water, but usually have a greater loss of water, leading to transient hyperosmolarity. Hyperosmolarity activates two major systems—vasopressin release from the posterior pituitary, and the aldose reductase enzyme. Vasopressin has been shown to drive low grade renal injury and to exacerbate chronic kidney disease in laboratory animals (8). Likewise, the aldose reductase system converts glucose to sorbitol, which can then be converted to fructose which is a substrate for fructokinase in the proximal tubule (11). In turn, the metabolism of fructose in the proximal tubule can result in tubular injury and the release of oxidants and inflammatory mediators (11, 28). Indeed, we recently reported that recurrent heat-associated dehydration could lead to chronic kidney disease in mice due to endogenous generation of fructose in the kidney from the aldose reductase pathway, and this was prevented in mice unable to metabolize fructose (fructokinase-knockout mice) (34).

The observation that fructose plays a role in dehydration-associated renal injury raises major concerns that rehydration with sugary beverages that contain fructose might worsen rather than help dehydration associated renal injury. Furthermore, fructose has a peculiar ability to stimulate vasopressin release in humans that is not observed with other sugars such as glucose (42).
We therefore utilized a model of heat-induced dehydration to test the hypothesis that brief (2 hour) rehydration with a soft drink beverage (consisting of 7.15% fructose-3.85% glucose similar to standard soft drinks) might worsen renal injury compared to rehydration with water or stevia containing water. Our studies raise serious concerns for the common practice, especially among adolescents and young adults, to drink soft drinks as a means to quench thirst following an episode of dehydration.

Methods

Ethical approval:

This investigation was performed in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, published by the U.S. National Institutes of Health, and the Mexican Federal Regulation for animal experimentation and care (NOM-062-ZOO-2001) and for the disposal of biological residues (NOM-087-ECOL-1995).

Reagents:

Chemicals were of reagent or higher grade from Sigma–Aldrich (St.Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise specified. Anti-urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (N-GAL), anti-nephrin, anti-vasopressin V1a receptor, anti-SOD-1, anti-catalase and anti GPx anti-antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas TX). Anti-fructokinase (KHK) and anti-aldose reductase were obtained from GeneTex (Irvine CA), anti-vasopressin V2 receptor antibody was obtained from Abcam (Cambridge MA) and anti- β-actin antibody was obtained
from Cell Signaling (Danvers MA). Secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase were from Cell Signaling (Danvers MA).

**Experimental protocol:**

Heat-induced dehydration (HD) protocol. Three groups of male Wistar rats were placed in a 36°C closed environment for 1 h without food and water from Monday to Friday during four weeks. Thermal-exposure is considered a valid model to induce dehydration in rats (2-6, 29-31). After heat-induced dehydration, animals were allowed to re-hydrate for two hours with either tap water (W, n=6), a sweetened beverage made with an 11% of a fructose-glucose combination, a similar composition used in major brands of soft drinks (FG, 7.15% fructose and 3.85% glucose, respectively, n=7) (10, 12), or water sweetened with the non-caloric edulcorant stevia (ST) (Svetia, Metco Mexico, 4 g/L water, n=7). Pelleted food was provided ad libitum. For the rest of the day and during weekends animals received tap water and food ad libitum. The loss of weight induced by heat and the amount of drinking fluid consumed during re-hydration period were recorded daily.

**Normal control group (C).** This group consisted of 5 male Wistar rats of similar body weight and age. They received food and water *ad libitum* during 4 weeks. The amount of water and food consumed were measured daily. Body weight was measured weekly.

**Measurements**

At the end of the 4 week study period, systolic blood pressure was measured, and urine was collected for 18 hours (overnight) in metabolic cages.
Food was not provided during the urine collection. Then, rats were euthanized by anesthesia with isoflurane and exsanguination. A blood sample was collected and centrifuged. Plasma and urine samples were frozen until further analyses. Both kidneys were perfusion-washed with cold PBS and the right kidney excised and divided into cortex and medulla, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored until further processing. The left kidney was fixed by perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde for histology.

**Blood and urine analyses**

Plasma and urine osmolality was measured using a freezing point depression osmometer (Advanced Instruments Inc. Norwood MA). Plasma and urine creatinine were measured by a validated enzymatic method (22) and creatinine clearance was calculated. Plasma and urine urea nitrogen concentrations were analyzed by autoanalyser (Instrumentation Laboratory, Bedford MA). Sodium concentration was analyzed by flame photometry. Fructose was measured by a colorimetric assay (18), and uric acid with a fluorometric kit (Amplex® Red, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Plasma copeptin was extracted using Sep-pack C18 cartridges (Waters, Milford, MA), and then measured by a rat-specific competitive enzyme immunoassay (Peninsula Laboratories, San Carlos, CA).

Solute-free water reabsorption \( (T^{5}\text{H}_2\text{O}) \) during the 18 hours of urine collection was calculated accordingly to the following formula:

\[
T^{5}\text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{osmolar excretion} - \text{urine volume} = \left( \frac{U_{\text{osm}}}{P_{\text{osm}}} \right) \times V - V
\]
where $U_{osm}$ and $P_{osm}$ are the urine and plasma osmolality, respectively, and $V$ the urine volume collected in 18 h.

**Blood pressure**

Systolic blood pressure (SBP) was measured in conscious rats by a validated volume-based tail cuff method (17) at the end of the follow-up.

**Evaluation of markers of tubular damage**

For the determination of N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) activity in urine samples, 4-nitrophenyl-N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminide was used as a substrate. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) expression, a sensitive marker of renal proximal tubule damage (25), was evaluated by Western blotting. Renal cortex proteins were extracted using a MAP kinase lysis buffer, as previously described (33) and incubated with a primary antibody against NGAL (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas TX) at 4°C overnight, using β-actin antibody (Cell Signaling Danvers MA) as load control.

**Renal cortex content of fructose and uric acid**

Fructose was extracted from cortical renal tissue by perchloric acid precipitation, and its concentration was measured by the anthrone based colorimetric method (18). Uric acid (UA) is a byproduct of fructose catabolism in tissues expressing KHK and is associated with its detrimental effects (21). Therefore, tissue UA was measured both as a marker of renal damage and also as a surrogate of fructose increased metabolism. UA was extracted as previously
described (11). UA was measured using Amplex Red assay kit (Life Technologies Carlsbad, CA). Fructose and UA concentrations were normalized by protein concentration.

**Renal cortex markers of oxidative stress**

Tissue was homogenized in phosphate buffer containing a cocktail of protease inhibitors. Protein carbonyls and lipid peroxidation (4-HNE) were measured using previously published methods (27, 39) and normalized by protein concentration.

**NOX4, catalase, glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD-1) protein expression:** Renal cortex proteins were extracted using a MAP kinase lysis buffer, as previously described (22). Each of the following primary antibodies were incubated at 4°C overnight: anti-NOX4 (GeneTex, Irvine, CA), anti-catalase, anti-GPx and anti-SOD-1. Protein loading was controlled with an anti-β-actin antibody (Cell Signaling Danvers MA). Chemiluminescence was captured using Clarity HRP chemiluminescence kit (BioRad, Hercules CA) and exposure of membranes over X-ray film inside a standard developing cassette. Film was developed manually and exposure was repeated varying the time as needed for optimal detection; thereafter the film was scanned. Blots were recorded and densitometry performed using the Image Studio Lite Software (Licor, Lincoln, NE).

**Aldose reductase, KHK, vasopressin V1a and V2 receptors, and NOX4 protein expression by Western blot analysis**
Renal cortex proteins were extracted using a MAP kinase lysis buffer, as previously described (33). Each of the following primary antibodies were incubated at 4°C overnight: anti-KHK (GeneTex, Irvine CA), anti-aldose reductase (GeneTex Irvine CA), anti-vasopressin V1a receptor (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas TX), anti-vasopressin V2 receptor (Abcam, Cambridge MA), and anti- β-actin antibody (Cell Signaling Danvers MA). Chemiluminescence was recorded and quantified using the Image Studio Lite Software (Biotech, Lincoln, NE).

Histological analysis

Fixed renal tissue was embedded in paraffin and processed accordingly. The evaluation was performed blinded. Sections were stained with periodic acid-Schiff's stain (PAS). Glomerular changes (glomerulosclerosis, or hypoperfusion as evidenced by wrinkling and collapse of the glomeruli) were qualitatively evaluated. Tubulo-interstitial cellular infiltration was studied in PAS-stained sections taking advantage that the nucleus of inflammatory cells is stained in dark blue. The number of inflammatory cells were quantified in 20 non-overlapping fields at X400 magnification and expressed as positive cells in 20 fields.

Statistical analysis

Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). One-way ANOVA determined significant differences between groups. When the ANOVA P-value was <0.05, post-test comparisons were made using Sidak’s multiple-comparison test assuming an α[PF (per family of tests)]=0.05. Each computed P value was adjusted to account for 6 multiple comparisons per family. The possible
relationship between variables was tested by correlation analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with Prism version 6.05 (Graph Pad Software, San Diego CA).

Results

Dehydration Protocol

The central hypothesis of this study was that rehydration with fructose/glucose concentrations similar to that observed in soft drinks might accelerate heat-induced dehydration renal injury. Heat induced dehydration was performed by exposure of rats daily to heat (1 hour at 36°C) followed by 2 hours of ad libitum rehydration with either water or a fructose-glucose (FG) solution similar in composition to that present in soft drinks. The rest of the 24-hour period all groups only received water for fluid intake. Because rats drank more FG solution than regular water, we also included a group given stevia water, as rats drank the same amount of stevia water as FG water thus providing a control for fluid intake following the dehydration procedure. These three groups were than compared to normal control rats that were not exposed to heat.

Effects on Weight and Fluid Intake (Table 1)

Heat-induced dehydration resulted in equivalent mean daily body weight loss among the three groups (water, stevia and FG) at the end of the heat period (Table 1). During the two-hour ad libitum rehydration period, rats administered FG and stevia water drank more fluid (approximately 30%) compared to water alone.
However total 24-h water intake was similar among the 3 groups (Table 1) (although it was higher than the normal control group.

Rehydration with Soft Drinks is associated with Evidence for Persistent Dehydration despite normal Renal Function (Table 1)

Rats underwent blood testing in the morning after having ample time to be rehydrated. Despite daily rehydration, rats that had been exposed to daily heat showed higher mean levels of plasma and urine osmolarity with higher plasma copeptin levels compared to normal control rats (Figure 1). Nevertheless, rats hydrated with FG showed significantly higher plasma and urine osmolality and higher copeptin levels and higher free water reabsorption by the kidneys despite greater fluid intake during the 2-hour rehydration period (Figure 1). In contrast, rehydration with stevia water was associated with lower plasma osmolarity and lower copeptin levels than that observed with water alone.

Heat induced dehydration raised absolute sodium urine excretion and sodium fractional excretion; this effect was enhanced in fructose/glucose rehydrated group. In contrast, in rats rehydrated with stevia the rise in sodium excretion, both absolute and fractional, was prevented (Table 1).

Heat-induced dehydration alone also increased blood pressure compared to normal control rats. Rehydration with fructose/glucose did not further raise blood pressure. Stevia rehydration was associated with lower blood pressure than the group rehydrated with water (Table 1).
In summary, recurrent and transient heat induced dehydration results in some persistent evidence for dehydration (elevations in plasma and urine osmolarity, elevated copeptin levels) and this is significantly worse in rats rehydrated with FG solutions.

Renal Injury induced by Dehydration is worsened by rehydration with soft drink (Figure 2).

No differences in plasma urea were observed in these four groups as measured in the fasting morning blood samples. However, rehydration with water to heat exposed rats significantly increased plasma creatinine and decreased Cr clearance (Table 1).

We evaluated two markers of proximal tubule damage: N-acetyl-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) urine excretion and the expression of neutrophil-gelatinase associated lipocalin (NGAL). Increased oxidative stress in rats that received fructose/glucose as rehydration fluid was associated with significant increments in the excretion of NAG in urine and in NGAL renal cortex expression. Rehydration with stevia prevented those changes (Figure 2a).

We also evaluated whether renal structural damage was already present. Dehydrated rats with fructose/glucose rehydration had significantly more glomerular hypoperfusion and glomerulosclerosis compared to water and stevia rehydration (Figure 2b). Mild tubulointerstitial inflammation was also observed in the group that received fructose/glucose.
These data document that heat induced dehydration is associated with oxidative stress and both structural and urinary biomarker evidence of renal injury and this is worsened with hydration using FG solutions.

**Potential Mechanisms for Renal Injury: The Aldose Reductase-Fructokinase-Uric acid pathway and the Vasopressin Pathways (Figures 3 and 4).**

We also measured uric acid and fructose as both may be induced by dehydration (by renal retention of uric acid coupled with increased generation of both fructose and uric acid via the aldose reductase pathway (34)). As expected, heat induced dehydration was associated with a numerical rise in plasma uric acid and a significant rise in urine fructose although no change in plasma fructose from normal controls was observed (Table 1). In contrast, rehydration with FG was associated with similar rise in plasma uric acid as control heat-dehydrated rats, but with higher plasma levels, despite that urine levels were found similar to water rehydrated rats. Stevia treated rats showed lower plasma uric acid and comparable levels of plasma and urine fructose as control rats.

In renal cortex of rats that received water as rehydration fluid, concentrations of fructose and uric acid were not different compared to normal control rats (Figure 3). On the contrary, rats that received fructose-glucose during rehydration period, a significant increment in the concentration of fructose and uric acid were observed. In stevia rehydrated groups, fructose and uric acid renal concentrations remained comparable to water rehydrated and control groups (Figure 3).
As activation of fructokinase-uric acid pathway is associated with increased oxidative stress via activation of NOX4 (23, 35, 37, 44) we also evaluated lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation in renal cortex (Figure 3). Heat dehydrated rats that received water as rehydration fluid showed a slight but significant increment in lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation in renal cortex (Figure 3). The increased content of fructose and uric acid induced by rehydration with fructose-glucose was associated with a significant augmentation in oxidative stress as noted by a further increase in lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation. Stevia rehydration was associated with lower oxidative stress compared to fructose/glucose groups. In agreement with the increment in oxidative stress observed in dehydrated rats rehydrated with fructose-glucose beverage, we also observed significant overexpression of NOX4 as well as the antioxidant enzymes catalase, GPx and SOD-1 in the kidneys (Figure 3).

We also evaluated the renal cortex expression of the enzymes involved in fructose-uric acid pathway, and vasopressin receptors (Figure 4). Rats that received water as rehydration fluid showed a slight but significant increment in the expression of aldose reductase in renal cortex. In parallel to the further increment in cortex fructose, uric acid and oxidative stress, the group of dehydrated animals that received fructose/glucose for rehydration showed a significant increment in the expression of fructokinase, vasopressin receptors 1a and 2, and a further increase in the expression of aldose reductase. In contrast, rats that received stevia did not showed those changes (Figure 4).

Discussion
In this study, we tested the hypothesis that the type of rehydration solution might influence renal outcomes associated with recurrent mild dehydration. Specifically, we hypothesized that short-term rehydration with sugary beverages containing fructose might have adverse effects on the kidney. To test this hypothesis, we performed studies in a model of thermal dehydration and compared water, stevia-containing water and a solution of fructose-glucose that is similar in composition to standard soft-drinks. The present study shows that short-term rehydration with a FG sugary beverage after a mild dehydration stimulates the two systems that have been implicated in kidney injury, i.e., vasopressin (1) and aldose reductase-fructokinase activities (34). After rehydration with a fructose-rich beverage, we observed a greater renal oxidative stress and mild renal injury (glomerular and tubular alterations). In contrast, rehydration with plain water or with the non-caloric edulcorant stevia did not produce such deleterious effects.

Fructose is a substrate for fructokinase that is present in the proximal tubule, and a 60% fructose diet in rats can induce modest tubular injury (11, 28). Fructose infusion in humans can also stimulate vasopressin release whereas an equimolar solution of glucose does not (42). Our basic hypothesis was that recurrent stimulation of these pathways might induce renal disease and that hydration with fructose-containing solutions could increase vasopressin release and provide a substrate for fructokinase that might lead to further renal damage.

The type of rehydration fluid had significant effects on most of the outcomes. The administration of FG but not that of ST as rehydration fluid resulted in a further and significant increment in plasma copeptin. Moreover, rats continued to show
signs of dehydration with higher urine osmolality and increased free water reabsorption, likely due in part to increased urinary sodium excretion observed in the FG-rehydrated rats. The evidence for worse dehydration despite increased fluid intake compared to the water only group was striking. The consequence was also a greater renal oxidative stress, renal tubule injury, and subtle inflammatory infiltration. An additional marker of renal impairment in fructose rehydrated rats was a significant fall in creatinine clearance. This finding suggests that glomerular filtration was reduced in this condition. However, it cannot be excluded that the observed differences in the creatinine clearances are due to different renal handling of creatinine by organic anion and/or cation transporters (16, 40).

An effect of a beverage containing simple sugars as replacement fluid was a significant increase in the accumulation of fructose and uric acid in the renal cortex. In previous studies, we and others have reported that tissue accumulation of uric acid is associated with augmented oxidative stress and damage (12, 26, 35). The results of the present studies are in agreement with those previous works.

Dehydration tended to increase systemic blood pressure in W and FG- but not in ST- rehydrated rats. This effect may be a consequence of increased renal oxidative stress in those groups (41) Whether this adverse influence of the rehydration fluid on SBP participated in kidney damage deserves further investigation.

We have previously shown that recurrent exposure to heat can induce renal injury through a fructokinase-dependent mechanism. In that study, the dehydration
procedure was severe (34). We have also shown that a 60% fructose diet induces renal damage in the course of 8 weeks (36). Moreover, rats that received a similar FG beverage *ad libitum* developed mild renal damage, however those animals ingested approximately 80 mL of this fluid/day (39) in contrast to the present study in which rats had a limited consumption of this sweetened beverage (12 mL/d). It was also observed that rats that received a similar daily amount of fructose/glucose beverage (14 mL/d) but without dehydration did not develop renal alterations (data not shown). Therefore, the power of the current study is that the renal injury was found even with very mild recurrent dehydration when short-term rehydration with fructose-containing beverages was provided.

An interesting finding in this study was that dehydration was associated with increased urinary sodium excretion and that this was worsened by the rehydration with fructose/glucose. Although volume contraction is known to cause a pre-renal state with a decrease in fractional excretion of sodium, dehydration-induced hyperosmolarity can cause a mild natriuresis (known as dehydration natriuresis). The signaling mechanisms (Sgk1 and TonEBP) involved in causing dehydration-induced natriuresis are the same as those known to stimulate the aldose reductase-fructokinase pathway and thus may account for the potentiation of this mechanism with the fructose-glucose solutions. It was also observed a significant increase in urinary urea excretion in fructose/glucose rehydrated animals. We do not have a definite explanation for this effect, however, a mechanism that might contribute to increased urea excretion could be an overall increase in proteolytic activity induced by dehydration (9). Thus, cellular
dehydration is believed to be a driving force behind the severe protein wasting observed within the liver and skeletal muscles of extremely ill patients (19).

There is evidence that water intake is decreasing in the general population (20). Taking into account that, at present, a common practice is to rehydrate with sugary beverages when the threshold of mild dehydration has been reached (a situation in which vasopressin secretion is already increased), these findings might provide evidence for a pathophysiological mechanism that partially explain the association between sugary beverages consumption and renal damage (7, 14, 38).

Finally, stevia solution used as rehydration fluid prevented the rise in vasopressin secretion and preserved plasma and urine osmolality to normal levels. In addition, stevia-rehydrated animals had normal blood pressure, and no evidence of renal tubule damage. It is not possible to know if this protective effect is due to a significantly higher volume ingested for rehydration in the stevia groups than in the plain water groups or if it is an effect induced by stevia itself.

In conclusion, this study shows that short-term rehydration with fructose-containing beverages in rats undergoing mild recurrent dehydration results in enhanced renal injury in association with greater stimulation of the vasopressin and polyol-fructokinase pathways. The simultaneous triggering of both systems was associated with increased urinary concentration, oxidative stress, renal injury and systemic hypertension. On the other hand, increased ingestion of fluids devoid of simple sugars (plain water or stevia solution) prevented the stimulation of vasopressin induced by mild dehydration.
**Perspectives and significance**

This study is relevant to the epidemic of CKD in Central America, in which sugarcane and other workers are developing chronic kidney diseases associated with recurrent heat-associated dehydration. However, it may also be an important factor in the high frequency of CKD that is occurring in hot climates such as Mexico and the southern United States. Further studies investigating the mechanisms involved in this injurious process are warranted in the future.
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Figure 1. Markers of vasopressin stimulation caused by mild dehydration induced by heat-exposure in animals rehydrated for two hours with water (W), an 11% fructose-glucose beverage (FG), or water sweetened with the non-caloric edulcorant stevia (ST). Statistical comparisons: A= vs. Normal control; B= vs Water C= vs. FG

Figure 2. Markers of tubular injury in mildly dehydrated animals (A) and glomerular changes evaluated as percent of glomeruli affected by hypoperfusion and glomerulosclerosis as well as tubulointerstitial inflammation (Peryodic acid Schiff’s staining, X400 magnification) in the various studied groups. Statistical comparisons: A= vs. Normal control; B= vs Water C= vs. FG

Figure 3. Renal cortex fructose and uric acid and markers of oxidative stress: lipid peroxidation (4HNE), protein oxidation, NOX-4, SOD-1, catalase and GPx protein expression in mildly dehydrated animals induced by heat-exposure in animals rehydrated for two hours with water (W), an 11% fructose-glucose beverage (FG) and water sweetened with the non-caloric edulcorant stevia (ST). Statistical comparisons: A= vs. Normal control; B= vs Water C= vs.

Figure 4. Renal cortex aldose-reductase, KHK, vasopressin V1a and V2 receptors expressions in mildly dehydrated animals induced by heat-exposure in animals rehydrated for two hours with water (W), an 11% fructose-glucose beverage (FG) and water sweetened with the non-caloric edulcorant stevia (ST). Statistical comparisons: A= vs. Normal control; B= vs Water C= vs. FG
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normal Control (n=5)</th>
<th>Heat-induced dehydration</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beverage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean fluid drunk during rehydration (mL/2h)</td>
<td>9±0.1</td>
<td>12±1.1^B</td>
<td>11±0.9^B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BW loss after HD (%BW)</td>
<td>1.7±0.2</td>
<td>1.7±0.1</td>
<td>1.7±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean total fluid consumption (mL/24h)</td>
<td>24±0.3</td>
<td>33±1.0^A</td>
<td>34±0.9^A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasma</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mg/dL)</td>
<td>20±2</td>
<td>22±3</td>
<td>24±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creat (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.85±0.12</td>
<td>0.93±0.07</td>
<td>1.73±0.13^A,B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose (μg/mL)</td>
<td>0.89±0.14</td>
<td>0.80±0.20</td>
<td>1.14±0.04^A,B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.28±0.15</td>
<td>0.50±0.19</td>
<td>0.50±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine flow (µl/min)</td>
<td>15±6</td>
<td>28±6^A</td>
<td>19±4^B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mg/18 h)</td>
<td>186±24</td>
<td>437±131^A</td>
<td>652±106^A,B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creat (mg/18 h)</td>
<td>11±2</td>
<td>10±2</td>
<td>11±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose (µg/18 h)</td>
<td>21±9</td>
<td>41±11^A</td>
<td>30±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNa (mEq/18 h)</td>
<td>0.29±0.13</td>
<td>1.81±0.32^A</td>
<td>4.91±1.21^A,B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrCl (mL/min)</td>
<td>1.24±0.1</td>
<td>1.01±0.13</td>
<td>0.60±0.06^A,B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FENa (%)</td>
<td>0.12±0.05</td>
<td>0.87±0.16^A</td>
<td>3.6±1.1^A,B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>116±7</td>
<td>143±3^A</td>
<td>139±7^A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BW= Body weight; SBP= Systolic blood pressure; Creat= creatinine; CrCl= creatinine clearance; UNa= Sodium excretion. FENa= Fractional sodium excretion. Statistical comparisons: A= vs. Normal control. B= vs Water. C= vs FG.
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N-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase urine excretion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>FG</th>
<th>ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>FG</th>
<th>ST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NGAL, 23 kD

beta-Actin, 42 kD

B

Glomerular changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>HD-Water</th>
<th>HD-FG</th>
<th>HD-S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TI Inflammation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>HD-Water</th>
<th>HD-FG</th>
<th>HD-S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ Cells/400 field</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.

Aldose reductase

Ketohexokinase

Vasopressin 1a-R

Vasopressin 2-R

AR, 37 kD
beta-Actin, 42 kD

V1a-R, 40 kD
beta-Actin, 42 kD

NC W FG ST

KHK, 34 kD
beta-Actin, 42 kD

NC W FG ST